



Pressed by financial disaster and BRICS initiatives,

# British Crown Seeks War, Dictatorship

1 November 2015—The intensifying global financial crisis is driving the Anglo-American elite to move for a showdown with China and Russia, and a global dictatorship under the fraudulent pretext of “climate change”.

Rarely has a powerful group of oligarchs been so at odds with the reality of their own bankruptcy and the availability of an alternative to their dominance, as the leaders of the United States, the UK and Australia are today. They can cope with neither the breakdown of the trans-Atlantic financial system of the City of London and Wall Street, nor the striking progress of the BRICS alliance (Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa), which has taken the first steps towards replacing the present, bankrupt global system. The Anglo-American fanning of the danger of World War III has been countered by Russian actions around Syria, but the threat of a thermonuclear global showdown remains high.

## The Next Crack

International finance is worse off now than even in 2007-08. Global debt (without even counting speculative derivatives obligations) has expanded since then by more than 40 per cent, from \$142 trillion to \$199 trillion, or 286 per cent of world GDP! The rise was fuelled by six years of central bank electronic money issues, the so-called “quantitative easing”, exclusively to prop up the London-Wall Street mega-banks. Economic produc-

tivity, meanwhile, has crashed worldwide, with the exception of China and a handful of other countries, because of austerity policies that slash living standards and choke off investment in productive industries and infrastructure.

The spark for a final implosion may come from any of the interconnected speculative bubbles in resources, real estate, and derivatives instruments, the latter estimated at more than US\$2 quadrillion (\$2,000,000,000,000). Resource-trading giant Glencore and derivatives mega-speculator Deutsche Bank have recently been pegged as the possible “next Lehman Brothers”—triggers for a global meltdown. With commodities prices already plunging, Glencore’s debt is estimated at more than \$100 billion, plus a nominal \$2 trillion derivatives exposure. Deutsche Bank in October declared a \$7 billion single-quarter loss, stoking fears over its \$75 trillion derivatives exposure.

As documented in previous issues of this newspaper, the Anglo-American Empire, the “informal financial empire” centred in the City of London and its junior partner Wall Street, is in its death throes. The British Crown, in which the real power of this system is institutionalised above any democratic control, will strive to preserve Anglo-American economic, military and political global dominance, at any cost. Foremost is their continued drive against Russia and China.

At the same time, the initiatives of BRICS and its allies are a rallying point for war-avoidance and economic recovery, for any nation that chooses to cooperate with them. The months since the July 2015 BRICS summit in Ufa, Russia have seen intensified talks on the coordination of China’s Silk Road Economic Belt program with the Russian-led Eurasian Economic Union, as well as such dramatic project news as Egypt’s opening of the Second Suez Canal, in August. Most recently, Chinese President Xi Jinping brought his “win-win” economic cooperation policy to London in a 20-23 Oct. visit, including talks on boosting trade, jet engine deals, and steps towards China’s building the first nuclear power station in the UK in three decades.

## War-avoidance vs. Confrontation

In the military-strategic realm, Russia—concerned about upheavals in Europe, still its major trade partner despite the past year’s anti-Russian sanctions, and about threats from Islamic jihadist radicals to Russia itself—has shocked the West with its military and diplomatic moves to defuse the Syrian civil war and migration crisis (page 4).

The Anglo-American war party around President Barack Obama is under the gun at home, too. Mid-October whistleblower leaks to The Intercept website, revealing details of the U.S. military assassination program



Photo: U.S. Navy

On 20 Oct. the USS *Ross*, a Spain-based guided-missile destroyer of the same class as the USS *Hopper*, shown here, fired the first live test of the U.S.-NATO European Ballistic Missile Defence system. President Vladimir Putin rightly identifies the Euro BMD as a threat to its strategic deterrent force and thus part of preparations for a first-strike nuclear missile attack against Russia.

and Obama’s personal role in it, are now being called “The Drone Papers”, an allusion to the 1971 Pentagon Papers scandal that helped end the Vietnam War and bring down President Richard Nixon.

The party of war has nothing to offer, except bad economic policies such as agitation for the free-trade Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) and Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), which enshrine corporate power above national governments in an economic power-bloc ruled by the bankrupt London City and Wall Street; and even worse strategic ones. Military provocations continue ceaselessly:

20 Oct. saw the first live test of the new U.S.-NATO European Ballistic Missile Defence system, with an anti-missile missile fired from the guided-missile destroyer USS *Ross*, based in Spain. The Euro BMD is a threat to Russia’s strategic nuclear deterrent, as President Putin emphasised to a Valdai Discussion Club meeting in Sochi 22 Oct. Right Sector, a U.S.-backed Nazi formation in Ukraine, continues provocations around Crimea and neighbouring Moldova. In the Pacific, U.S. warships on 27 Oct. infuriated China by sailing through Chinese-claimed waters around

islands in the South China Sea, barely a month after Xi’s state visit to Washington.

Between now and the end of 2015, foremost among the Crown’s power-plays will be the British Royal family’s push to realise its long-term goal of slashing the world’s population, under a global green fascist dictatorship whereby nations are forced to halt their economic development by submitting to global carbon-emissions rules. Such measures will have no impact on temperatures, but will end national sovereignty and eviscerate the world’s poor. This issue of the *New Citizen* exposes them (Editorial, this page; feature, pages 2-3).

## EDITORIAL

# Haven’t We Had Enough of Green Fascism?

This issue of the *New Citizen* appears on the eve of the UN Climate Change Conference opening 30 November in Paris, known as COP21<sup>1</sup>, and the associated hysteria on that subject in Australia. COP21 is intended to achieve what the 2009 Copenhagen climate conference could not: a global treaty requiring nations to make drastic cuts in electricity generation and industrial production, which produce so-called greenhouse gases, but are also what keep people clothed, housed, and fed. Prince Charles of the British Royal family will be a first-day speaker at COP21.

From 2007 to 2009, the CEC led the fight in Australia to expose “global warming” as a genocidal hoax and defeat Kevin Rudd’s and Malcolm Turnbull’s push for a carbon trading scheme, a defeat that contributed to the failure at Copenhagen. At the outset, polls showed 68 per cent of Australians feared man-made climate change. Former U.S. Vice President Al Gore

spearheaded a global scare campaign on the subject with his 2007 Oscar-winning film *An Inconvenient Truth*, while here in Australia climate change/overpopulation hysteric Tim Flannery was named as 2007 Australian of the Year. Politicians who today are identified as “sceptics” were then lying low or even endorsing the hoax, while in its final months the Howard government—which included Tony Abbott—had announced its own plans for a carbon trading scheme. Then Kevin Rudd swept into power in November 2007, declaring climate change to be the “greatest moral, economic and social challenge of our time”.

The CEC distributed millions of copies of the *New Citizen* with the headlines “Global Warming Is a Fraud” and “Carbon Trading Is Hitler-style Genocide”. Our articles proved that “climate change” was an anti-scientific hoax, used as a bludgeon to impose global policies of mass population reduction. In other words, this was just plain fascism, coloured green. In the wake of the CEC’s mobilisation, ABC on 12



Craig Isherwood  
CEC National Secretary

July 2007 aired the British Channel 4 documentary “The Great Global Warming Swindle”. CEC activists dominated the panel discussion that followed. As our mobilisation gained ground, the public’s view shifted, until late-2009 polls showed a drop in the number of Australians worried about climate change, to just 48 per cent. Climate-change radicals such as Clive Hamilton and John Quiggin savaged the CEC for driving that shift.

Desperate to enact an Australian carbon trading scheme before Copenhagen, Kevin Rudd did a deal with “Mr Goldman Sachs”, Malcolm Turnbull, then leader of the opposition. Turnbull’s old investment bank had become the dominant player in carbon trading worldwide, and was the money behind Al Gore. As environment minister, Turnbull had crammed the most egregious piece of green fascist legislation in the country to date, the *Water Act 2007*. It was premised upon Australia’s having signed the international Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, written by Prince Philip’s WWF as a tool to eliminate national sovereignty—a barrier to such deadly schemes as the *Water Act* specified for the Murray-Darling Basin.

Rudd’s deal with Turnbull cost both men their jobs. Under public pressure, the Liberal Party revolted, triggering the leadership row in which Tony Abbott toppled Turnbull. Some MPs publicly blamed the CEC for the unprecedented pounding they had received from the population—

over one million phone calls, letters and emails—against carbon trading. Turnbull himself was so stung by the CEC mobilisation, that in a July 2011 speech to the Virginia Chadwick Memorial Foundation he denounced American economist Lyndon LaRouche and the CEC, by name, for having discredited the carbon tax. Turnbull also, at that time, openly endorsed the Queen’s flunky Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, CBE (page 3).

Rudd went to Copenhagen empty-handed. His frantic efforts to salvage a treaty were stymied by China and India, which refused to bow to demands for CO<sub>2</sub> reductions that would condemn their populations to poverty and mass death. Six months later, Rudd’s ALP moved to dump the carbon trading policy, and then he too was gone. Once again, press accounts cited the “global warming” issue as the reason.

This time it is Turnbull who will traipse to the UN Climate Change summit. As in 2009 but with even more fury, the British Crown and its minions are pulling out all the stops to force

through a binding treaty on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Turnbull has already endorsed the 2030 goal of a disastrous 26 per cent reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> from 2005 levels. As in 2009, Queen Elizabeth will personally address a Commonwealth Heads of Government (CHOGM) conference just before the world climate summit. Turnbull will lunch with the Queen at the CHOGM meeting, which takes place 27-29 November in Malta and features Prince Charles at a Special Executive Session on Climate Action. In the previous case, the Queen keynoted the November 2009 CHOGM conference in Trinidad and Tobago with a demand that the entire Commonwealth endorse climate change at Copenhagen.

Do your part to defeat this green fascism! Study the feature on pages 2 and 3 of this newspaper. Order copies and spread them everywhere. We either defeat this Crown-led plot, or we will soon see draconian consequences, dwarfing even the destruction of the Murray Darling Basin.

1. COP21—21st Conference of Parties.

# Defend Mankind from the Satanic Climate-Change Swindle

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Founder of the Schiller Institute, chairman of the German Civil Rights Movement "Solidarity" (BüSo)

*This article was first published as the introduction to the September 2015 EIR Special Report, 'Global Warming' Scare is Population Reduction, Not Science.*

Exactly 70 years after the end of the Nazi dictatorship, preparations are under way—largely unnoticed or misinterpreted by unsuspecting contemporaries—for the establishment of a fascist world government which would exceed Hitler's most audacious dreams. In place of the discredited doctrine of eugenics, which provided the pretence then for the elimination of so-called "inferior races", today it is the swindle of alleged anthropogenic climate change which supplies the argumentation to establish a global dictatorship whose results, and whose declared intention is to eliminate six billion human beings—if it is not stopped.

On 30 November–11 December of this year, the COP21/CMP11 Climate Summit will

take place in Paris, also called "Paris 2015." There a binding international convention is supposed to be concluded, obligating every nation in the world to agree to so-called climate goals in order to hold global warming to less than two degrees Celsius. This largest diplomatic conference ever to have taken place in Paris, a mammoth spectacle with about 40,000 participants, is supposed to represent the crowning conclusion to an unprecedented series of dozens of international conferences all during this year on the subject of anthropogenic climate change, aiming to get the agreement of political leaders, industry representatives, union leaders, religious representatives, and social groups of all kinds to this oncoming social contract.

Although this theory, spread with an unprecedented expenditure of propaganda, has by now been swallowed by many credulous people, it is in no way "established science", but rather

very "old wine in new wine-skins"; namely, the attempt to establish a world government through which to eliminate national sovereignty and thereby the possibility of individuals to participate in government through a representative system. In its place is intended to be a kind of modern feudal oligarchy, in which the club of billionaires and millionaires live in gross luxury while the mass of the population is to be left in backwardness with sharply reduced living standards, lower life expectancies, and reduced cognitive capabilities.

The means to this end is the scare campaign around man-made climate change, which is supposed to induce people voluntarily to do without virtually all the achievements of material and social progress through industrialisation. The goal of such an eco-dictatorship is the "great transformation of the world economy" to the exclusive use of so-called renewable energy sources, and thus the de-

carbonisation of the economy, in which both nuclear energy and fossil fuels disappear as rapidly as possible.

The proof offered for anthropogenic climate change consists of pre-fixed computer models, in which the desired result is determined in advance, and segments of historical climate data are selected in such a manner that they appear to demonstrate the effect of so-called greenhouse gases caused by mankind's industrial production and agriculture. But numerous scientists have demonstrated that this game of selecting climate data is entirely wilful and staged for this purpose, and that computer-based scenarios are being consciously given out as "scientific" prognoses. There are many studies which show this fakery, and make clear that the connection between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and climate change is unproven, and thus that the entire argument is based on a spectacular swindle.

## Driving Energy Density Down

If one looks at the Earth's climate over the period of millions of years, the changes from warming periods, ice ages, interglacial periods, little ice ages, rewarming periods after these ice ages, etc. result from cosmic radiation in connection with our Sun's cycles of activity, for which the number of sunspots forms a measure of the Sun's energy production; changes in the characteristics of the Earth's orbit; and the changing position of the Solar System in our galaxy, to name only some of the changing parameters.

What is very well proven, by contrast to anthropogenic climate change, is the connection between the energy-flux density applied in the production process and the number of human beings which can be supported by that production process level. By the intended decarbonisation of the world economy combined with simultaneous demonisation of nuclear energy, thus reducing society to renewable energy sources, the potential population which can be maintained at these lower energy-flux densities is also reduced, and goes roughly to that of the pre-industrial era—a maximum of one billion people.

And just such population reduction is the expressed intention of, for example, Prince Philip, whose unspeakable statement of his wish to be reborn as a deadly virus in order best to support this intention, is notorious. This is also the cynical meaning of Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, head of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research (PIK) and lately climate advisor to Pope Francis, who—in the course of the fortunately failed Copenhagen Climate Conference of 2009—celebrated as a "triumph of science" and proof of climate change, that planetary equilibrium required a human population of less than one billion.

Behind this lurks the old oligarchical view that the ruling elite is allowed periodically to reduce the population of slaves, helots, or the lower classes which have become too numerous, the way a herd of cattle can be culled as necessary. The idea of man-

kind connected with this perverse view was exactly described, for example, by Joseph de Maistre in his "Letter to a Russian Nobleman on the Spanish Inquisition." It is the arrogant proposition that only one's own upper class is possessed of essentially God-given privileges, while the mass of people can be terrorised into anxiety and fear, and thus kept under control. The entire history of imperial and colonial periods is a single history of this bestial practice, in which an upper class is viewed as a master race, whose alleged superiority must be defended in every possible way.

A more recent variant of this oligarchical tradition appeared in the form of eugenics, the theory so named by British anthropologist Francis Galton in 1883, according to which it is desirable to strive for the intended purity of this master race by the same kinds of criteria used in the breeding of horses or dogs. At the start of the 20th-century eugenics societies were organised in various European nations and in the United States, which fostered the greatest admiration for the race theory of Adolf Hitler and the National Socialists, and an entire array of whose prominent members and adherents, such as Prescott Bush or Averell Harriman, gave active financial support to the seizure of power by Hitler.

## Eugenics Renamed 'Ecology'

After the "successful" application of eugenics in the holocaust operations of Tiergarten 4<sup>1</sup> and the concentration camps, this bestial method, naturally, was denounced. Julian Huxley, an open sympathiser of eugenics, wrote in 1946 in the official UN Document "UNESCO, Its Purpose and Its Philosophy": "Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake, so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable." Huxley launched a campaign to replace the discredited term eugenics with the terms "environmental protection", and/or "ecology".

Huxley, president of the British Eugenics Society from 1959-62, worked from 1961 onward, together with Prince Philip, on the development of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), an organisation responsible for preventing innumerable infrastructure and development projects around the world, and for a very large share of the misery suffered in countries of the so-called Third World. Prince Philip succeeded, through such fanatical propagandists, in getting the idea of population reduction raised to international political priority; and he pursued, above all, the goal of making "environmental protection" acceptable to the great monotheistic religions, in whose optimistic view of mankind he saw the greatest barrier to his perfidious policies. The Biblical rep-

resentation of man as the crown of Creation, as Christianity explicitly defined this, had to be replaced by any means, by the conception of human beings as mere caretakers of Nature, not occupying a pre-eminent position but, on the contrary, representing a cancer threatening Nature.

As one of many propagators of this brown-green idea, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber distinguished himself, becoming titled as none other than a CBE, Commander of the Order of the British Empire, and founder and director of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research. Moreover he is, among other things, currently co-chairman of the German government's Advisory Council on Global Change (WBGU, *Wissenschaftlicher Beirat der Bundesregierung Globale Umweltveränderungen*), and most recently a member of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences. Obscure despite his many titles, Schellnhuber suddenly gained a lamentable fame in the course of the failed Copenhagen Climate Summit in 2009, with his thesis that the carrying capacity of the Earth only allowed a human population of less than one billion.

## The Queen Deploys Schellnhuber


Already in 2004 he had been designated by Queen Elizabeth II, together with Sir David King, climate advisor to the British Government and Monarchy, to be sent on a mission to the United States to convince President George W. Bush of anthropogenic climate change. This operation must have far exceeded the bounds which Bush considered acceptable, because he later complained to Tony Blair about it.

Also in 2004, Queen Elizabeth travelled to Berlin to open the German-British Climate Conference, and there granted Schellnhuber the CBE as thanks for his services. The European Climate Foundation, an institution sponsored by hedge funds, whose Board of Advisors Chairman was Schellnhuber, thereupon increased its financing of climate activists in Germany from 2007 onwards, while he simultaneously advised the EU Commission on the development of guidelines for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. As an energy advisor to German Chancellor Angela Merkel, he was presumably responsible for the German exit from nuclear power after the earthquake-tsunami catastrophe of 11 March 2011 in Fukushima.


Interestingly, scarcely one month after the earthquake, on 7 April, Schellnhuber's WBGU published a study with the title: "World in Transition—A Social Contract for a Great Transformation". This was the blunt proposal for global eco-fascism, a Green world dictatorship in the tradition of Thomas Hobbes, H.G. Wells, and Carl Schmitt, which projected the complete "decarbonisation" of the world's energy industry. This means the final elimination of nuclear fission, which is advised against; nuclear fusion, which is claimed to be eventually attainable but too complicated; and the complete abandonment of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and natural gas by the year 2050.

## The Main Determinants of the Earth's Temperature

### 1. Sunspot Cycles



### 2. Orbital Cycles



### 3. Galactic Cycles



**1. Sunspot Cycles.** Variation in sunspot numbers indicates changing solar activity, which correlates with observed climate change. The Little Ice Age began in the 17th century during the Maunder Minimum. Worldwide temperatures were again cooler during the Dalton Minimum, when the River Thames last froze solid (in 1814). A more active sun in the 20th century made Earth's temperature rise.

**2. Orbital Cycles.** Three long-term cyclical variations in Earth's distance from and orientation towards the Sun, affecting the level of solar energy reaching the planet, are named Milankovitch cycles after the Serbian scientist who discovered them. They are the shape of Earth's orbit (eccentricity); the tilt (obliquity) of Earth's axis relative to the plane of the solar system; and axial precession (perceived as a change in the portion of the night sky seen from the same place on Earth), as the Earth's axis not only changes its tilt, but wobbles like a spinning top, as if an extension of the axis were rotating around a circle envisioned above the pole. These orbital cycles combine to effect climate shifts. Around 12,000 years ago Earth emerged from a 100,000-year-long freezing, glacial period into a warmer interglacial one. At the Last Glacial Maximum, some 20,000 years ago, sea levels were around 125 metres lower than today.

**3. Galactic Cycles.** Our solar system moves through the spiral arms of the Milky Way galaxy (l.) in a 145-million-year cycle, and bobs up and down across the galactic plane (r.) in a 62-million-year cycle. This galactic journey causes a varying flux of cosmic rays and energy to reach Earth, also making a dramatic impact on its climate.

1. The Nazi medical killing program for the severely ill and disabled.

## Pope Misled by Radical Depopulator



Photo: AFP

Hans Joachim (John) Schellnhuber is the intellectual author of Pope Francis's 24 May 2015 environmental Encyclical, *Laudato si'*, the included intent of which is to force nations to accept a dictatorial world authority to drastically cut CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, for the supposed purpose of limiting "global warming". The Pope must have been unaware of Schellnhuber's real agenda—global depopulation, even though this oh-so-eminent scientist had declared during the 2009 Copenhagen climate conference, "In a very cynical way, it's a triumph for science because at last we have stabilised something—namely the estimates for the carrying capacity of the planet, namely, below one billion people". That means mass genocide.

Awarded the CBE by the Queen in 2004, Schellnhuber was the long-time head of research at the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research at Britain's East Anglia University, the world's leading "climate change" institute. He abruptly quit in 2009 amidst "Climategate", when the Tyndall's global warming figures were exposed as witting frauds. One enthusiastic endorser of Schellnhuber's work is Australian PM Malcolm Turnbull.

The study had been six years in preparation and interestingly was designated as a "Master Plan for Social Transformation" by WBGU Chairman Schellnhuber, although it really should be called a master plan for a forced imperial consolidation or even "master plan for the collective suicide of the human race".

For Germany, then, this began its exit as a country in the world community which could contribute something significant, from a scientific standpoint,

for the existential problems of humanity. This began the wilful elimination of the potential for scientific discovery of necessary knowledge, because it began to direct human and industrial resources, as well as financial means, into completely delusory fields of technology with lowered energy-flux densities. Above all, the intellectual potential of students and researchers was thus absorbed into areas which ultimately represent a dead end in the development of mankind.

The study's methodological approach fully reflected the statistical linear thinking of complex computer models, as they are customarily used by systems analysts, and as we recognised already, for example, in the Club of Rome: The computer is programmed so that the planned result is produced.

One can only recommend that all citizens read this study for themselves (it can be found at the WBGU's Internet site, www.wbgu.de), and not make the same mistake that was made in Germany about a certain other piece published in 1925, Hitler's infamous book *Mein Kampf*—namely, that it was not read thoroughly or taken seriously.

### Pope Francis Capitulates

The fact that CBE Schellnhuber has gotten his program accepted in the Pontifical Academy of Sciences, raises the most serious questions as to how this was possible. For the most recent encyclical of Pope Francis, *Laudato si'*, in which anthropogenic climate change is presented as scientifically certain fact, represents a complete break with the view of mankind in the Augustinian tradition of the Catholic Church, and with the encyclicals since Pope Leo XIII. Schellnhuber was one of the three spokesmen who presented the new encyclical on 18 June in Rome.

At a climate conference organised by the Vatican in 2007, the president of the World Federation of Scientists, Antonino Zichichi, rejected the use of computer models as completely unsuitable for long-term climate forecasts on the grounds of the complexity of the problem, and pointed in addition to the multiple influences of the solar system and the galaxy on the Earth's climate, in opposition to which he character-

ised the manmade contribution to climate change as absolutely negligible. Several speakers contradicted then-Environment Minister Ed Miliband of Britain, when he claimed that the objectives of the British Government were the same as those of Popes John Paul II and Benedict XVI—rather those Popes were exactly opposed to birth and population control as proposed by the Brundtland Commission Report and the WWF. And even during the Copenhagen Climate Summit of 2009, the Vatican very clearly attacked the Malthusian tendency of the affair.

With the incorporation of Schellnhuber's ideas into the encyclical and thus the rejection of a serious approach, the Catholic Church has effectively involved itself in a new Galileo case. In that case the Church needed 346 years before Pope John Paul II in 1979, on the occasion of the hundredth anniversary of Albert Einstein's birth, initiated the review of the case, in order then finally to admit the Church's error, after a 13-year trial, in 1992.

In his address to the participants of the full congregation of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences, Pope John Paul II said, among other things:

From the Galileo affair we can learn a lesson which remains valid in relation to similar situations which occur today and which may occur in the future.

In Galileo's time, to depict the world as lacking an absolute physical reference point was, so to speak, inconceivable. And since the Cosmos, as it was then known, was contained within the Solar System alone, this reference point could only be situated in the earth or in the sun. Today, after Einstein and within the perspective of contemporary cosmology neither of these two reference points has the importance they once had. This observation, it goes without saying, is not directed against the validity of Galileo's position in the debate; it is only meant to show that often, beyond two partial and contrasting perceptions, there exists a wider perception which includes them and goes beyond both of them.

We can only hope that Pope Francis, who otherwise has said very important things about the character of today's system of financial capital—namely that it is a system which violates the Fifth Commandment, "Thou shalt not kill"—will include in his interpretation our current knowledge of the Universe, which encompasses not only our galaxy, whose influence on the climate of this planet is decisive, but billions of galaxies. One could then be confident that he would not support a pseudo-climate policy which thrusts the population potential of the Earth back to one billion.

### British Royal Nazis

The attempt of the participants in the "Paris 2015 Conference" to establish binding climate goals entirely premised upon a gigantic fraud, which could only be carried out by a global dictatorship—and this in a world in acute danger of destruction in a third, thermonuclear world war, a world whose trans-Atlantic financial system faces an implosion, and in which tens of millions are already refugees from hunger, war and epidemics—

Young Princess Elizabeth practiced the Nazi salute in a 1930s "palace home movie"; discovery of which reopened the issue of the Crown's and British nobility's support for Hitler and Mussolini. Prince Philip, who has more Nazi "connections" than any other royal, founded the World Wild-life Fund in 1961 to revive the Nazi "science" of eugenics, but, as co-founder Julian Huxley specified, under a more palatable name—"environmentalism".

must be decisively defeated in any case. It must go down in history as the last, miserable attempt of the failing British Empire to propagate its inhuman plans, as Prince Philip has proclaimed them *ad nauseam*, before this empire is finally ended.

The most recent revelations on the intensive connections of the House of Windsor to the Nazi regime are not really a surprise for historians. The disclosure of a 17-second family movie in which the 7- or 8-year old Elizabeth—later Queen Elizabeth II—can be seen as she presents the Hitler salute, is only the tip of the iceberg in this regard. In recent weeks hundreds of articles have been circulated, primarily in the British and American press and on the Internet, which throw light on the open admiration of various members of the monarchy and the British nobility for Hitler and the Nazis. The sympathies of Elizabeth's uncle, the later King Edward VIII, who after his abdication became Duke of Windsor, are known. More explosive is the role of Prince Philip, who maintained close connections to high-ranking Nazis through his three sisters, who were all married to leading members of the National Socialist Party and the SS.

The *Times of Israel* published a detailed interview with the German-British historian Karina Urbach of the University of London regarding the results of her research on this subject, which she has just published in a book entitled, *Go-Betweens for Hitler (Verbindungsleute zu Hitler)*. This involves the intensive alliance between broad sections of the British establishment and the Nazis, which played a central role in British geopolitics between the World Wars.

Prince Philip's advisor for religious and climate questions, Martin Palmer, who, in his function as general secretary of the "Alliance of Religions and Conservation," organised a so-called "consciousness summit" in Paris on 21 July in preparation for the December conference, attacked the "anthropocentric salvation doctrine" on that occasion. He meant by this that religions such as Christianity, Judaism and Islam had difficulty understanding that mankind is simply not so important. There must be debate between representatives of these religions, he said, in order to expunge the idea that the human species represents something unique.

### 'Decarbonisation' Is Green Genocide

Here the inhuman ideology comes out, which is just as characteristic of the Conservative Revolution directed against the "ideas of 1789", as it is of the Nazis and the Green movement: The human being is only a higher animal, and therefore human life is not the slightest degree more inviolable than that of animals; one can also reduce the number of human beings if necessary—

whether they were the helots in ancient Sparta, or the "useless eaters" of the Nazis, or now the six billion people who must be sacrificed to climate goals. Armin Mohler, the former head of the Siemens Foundation, already described in his 1950 book *The Conservative Revolution in Germany, 1918-1932*, that the Conservative Revolution therefore wants to turn back to the pre-Christian mythology of Gaia, because only the Christian view of mankind brought with it the cultural optimism which made the modern development of the human species possible.


Christianity had this liberating effect for Europe, in any case, and as Nicholas of Cusa formulated it, it was exactly the *vis creativa*<sup>2</sup> of the human being, arising from the human characteristic as *imago viva Dei*, the living image of God, which was the basis of unlimited human perfectibility and of the human identity as the crown of Creation, and not as merely a higher animal. The same culturally optimistic view is also found in Confucianism in China and was signified in the Vedic writings in India. In the pro-science traditions of these cultures can also be found the reason that both nations, at the Copenhagen Climate Conference in 2009, stood clearly opposed to the climate mafia of anthropogenic climate change, and thus offered backing to the G77 in finally refusing to sign "a suicide pact", as their then-chairman Lumumba Di-Aping of Sudan put it at a press conference.

The recently industrialised and developing countries certainly have all the environmental problems which arise either from forced cheap-labour production or a total lack of development; but this does not mean that they therefore were not in a position to recognise the consequences of the "master plan" for decarbonisation of the world economy. It is essentially their populations who belong to the six billion for whom the carrying capacity of the Earth allegedly does not suffice.


In the improbable case that the Paris 2015 Climate Summit should succeed in adopting binding CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions, we can look forward to a world which looks roughly as it would have, had Hitler won the war.

Therefore we must do everything possible to attain a new paradigm in the history of mankind, in which science no longer sells its integrity for money.

2. Creative force.



The theory that increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration causes global warming has spectacularly failed the test not only for the last 18 years, but for the last hundreds of millions of years.



CO<sub>2</sub> has never been the primary climate driver. The Ice Age 450 million years ago occurred when CO<sub>2</sub> concentration exceeded 4,000 ppm, ten times today's level.

## New Citizen

Published & printed by:  
Citizens Media Group Pty Ltd  
595 Sydney Rd Coburg Vic  
PO Box 376 Coburg Vic 3058  
ACN: 010 904 757  
Tel: 03 9354 0544  
Fax: 03 9354 0166  
Editor: Craig Isherwood  
cec@cecaust.com.au

# Syria: Russian Military Action, Diplomacy Outflank British and Obama

Since it commenced on 30 September, Russia's military intervention against the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria (ISIS)<sup>1</sup> has inflicted more damage to those brutal, terrorist, drug-running forces than almost a year of bombing by the U.S.-led coalition that includes Australia. Russia's military action at the invitation of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad, combined with an energetic diplomatic offensive, has also outflanked and enraged Barack Obama and David Cameron, whose strategy of using Islamist jihadists to achieve regime change in Syria is responsible for the deadly civil war there and the rise of ISIS. Russia has shifted the situation not only within Syria, but worldwide.

The Syrian civil war began in 2011 when the United States, UK, Turkey and Saudi Arabia backed an uprising against Assad. It has killed an estimated 250,000 people and wounded a million more. The UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs reports that by September 2015, 4.1 million Syrians had fled as refugees, with another 7.6 million internally displaced: half the population, in all. In the European summer months of 2015, the crisis spilled over into Europe, in the biggest refugee migration since World War II.

On 28 September, just before Russia's military move, President Vladimir Putin addressed the UN General Assembly in New York. He identified the Syria war as the latest disastrous consequence of the British-Obama regime change agenda. "Instead of the triumph of democracy and progress, came violence, poverty and social disaster," said Putin. "I cannot help asking those who have caused the situation, do you realise now, what you've done?"

(Obama did know what he was

doing. Declassified U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency [DIA] reports from August 2012 reveal that the DIA explicitly warned him that an unravelling situation in Syria could lead to ISIS taking over the country's eastern part. Then-head of the DIA Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn has bluntly charged that the rise of ISIS resulted from a "wilful decision".)

Putin called for a broad international coalition against terrorism and specifically ISIS, based on international law and including the Syrian government. Moscow then set about organizing that coalition, while more and more European leaders—overwhelmed by the influx of hundreds of thousands of refugees—acknowledged the need to dialogue with Assad. Even Australian Foreign Minister Julie Bishop admitted the need for a policy change, stating 25 September, "There is an emerging view ... that the only conceivable option would be a national unity government involving President Assad."

## Fighting to Win

With Russian pilots flying 50 to 80 sorties daily throughout October (compared to an average of seven bombing missions a day by the U.S. coalition), against ammunition dumps, operations centres, and other concentrations of ISIS and the Nusra Front (al Qaeda in Syria), the way was opened for advances by Syrian government forces on the ground. Helped by the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps, the Iranian-backed Hezbollah group, and volunteers from Iraq and elsewhere, the Syrian Army retook towns long held by ISIS.

While U.S. pundits insist Russia will be dragged into a quagmire in Syria, Russia in alliance with Assad and the above-named backup forces is in a better position to achieve military results than is the U.S.-led coalition of Persian Gulf kingdoms and Turkey, which has sponsored the ji-

hadists in their drive to topple Assad. Moreover, Russia has taken the U.S. military by surprise with such military capabilities as the launch of two dozen cruise missiles from small Russian Navy ships of the Caspian Sea Flotilla, striking ISIS targets 1,200km away in Syria.

In late October, Russian diplomatic efforts went into high gear. Assad was brought in secret to Moscow 20 Oct. for talks with Putin and Russian security officials on options for not only the military conflict in Syria, but a negotiated settlement. Putin then personally briefed the King of Saudi Arabia, the King of Jordan, and Presidents al-Sisi of Egypt and Erdogan of Turkey by phone on those discussions. On 22 Oct., Putin spoke for several hours with participants in the Valdai Discussion Club, meeting in Sochi, Russia. He called for new diplomatic efforts to end the Syrian civil war, this time involving all major parties in the region, along with the United States and Russia. On the dais with him were Ali Larijani, chairman of Iran's Parliament, Iran being a major regional player that was excluded from such talks in recent years, and former U.S. Ambassador to the Soviet Union Jack Matlock, one of the senior U.S. figures working to defuse Russian-American tensions.

Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov, meanwhile, conducted almost daily phone talks with U.S. Secretary of State John Kerry, the institutional face of those in Washington pushing for a less fanatically confrontational line against Russia and China. The two met in person in Vienna on 23 Oct., before broader talks including the Saudi and Turkish foreign ministers. At an even more inclusive conference on 30 Oct., bringing in Iran and several EU countries, 17 nations committed to continue efforts for a diplomatic settlement.

Anglo-American media would have you believe that the big is-

sue in Syria is Russia's allegedly using the anti-ISIS operation as a pretext to bomb so-called "moderate" groups opposed to Assad. Putin gave the lie to this in Sochi 22 Oct., revealing that in his talks with Assad two days before, the Syrian President had responded "positively" to Putin's question about how he would react to Russia's cooperating with "armed opposition forces" who wanted to fight ISIS. Russia has repeatedly sought data-sharing with the U.S. military for target identification, but this has been rejected. In any event, the search for "moderate" oppositionists in Syria is a dubious proposition. Veteran British Middle East reporter Robert Fisk, for example, wrote in the 4 Oct. *Independent* that "the moderates" don't even exist.

There is growing support even in the USA for Russia's intervention against ISIS. Congress-



Photo: Kremlin.ru  
President Putin (r.) with Iran's Parliament Chairman Ali Larijani, 22 Oct.

woman Tulsi Gabbard (Dem.-Hawaii), an Iraq and Afghanistan War veteran, tweeted 30 Sept.: "Bad enough U.S. has not been bombing al-Qaeda/al-Nusra in Syria. But it's mind-boggling that we protest Russia's bombing of these terrorists." Former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger warned 16 Oct., "The destruction of ISIS is more urgent than the overthrow of Bashar Assad".

Despite Obama and despite such scare-stories as the 11 Oct. *Sunday Times* leak of alleged Royal Air Force orders to "shoot down" Russian planes during tense encounters, the Russian and U.S. militaries have taken "deconfliction" measures to avoid incidents in or over Syria. Russian and Israeli planes have done joint flight training, also for conflict-avoidance.

## British Royals at Centre of UK Paedophile Scandal

On 24 October 2012 MP Tom Watson, now Deputy Leader of the Labour Party under Jeremy Corbyn, set off a keg of dynamite during the live, televised Prime Minister's Questions in Parliament, by demanding that the Metropolitan Police investigate "clear intelligence suggesting a powerful paedophile network linked to Parliament and No. 10", referring to the Prime Minister's office itself. The years that followed have seen a torrent of evidence backing his claim. *The Telegraph* of 20 May 2015 summarised, "Some 261 celebrities and politicians, including sports, TV and music stars, are being investigated for alleged child sex abuse, British police have revealed. They are among more than 1,400 men suspected by police of being sex predators, as the Home Secretary warned it is only the 'tip of the iceberg'." The uproar forced a reluctant Home Secretary Theresa May to establish an investigative commission on the subject, in July 2014.

By Sept.-Oct. 2015, however, the very paedophile-infested Establishment circles who either were under investigation, or should have been, had launched a withering media barrage to claim that most of the charges were either exaggerations by the police; part of a "witch-hunt" generated by Watson and by other MPs (146 of whom signed a call demanding vigorous action on the matter); or the fantasies of a handful of anti-paedophile activists. The charges and counter-charges are the subject of an 8-page feature in the *Australian Alert Service (AAS)* of 28 October, which we merely summarise here.

### The Real Witch-hunt

Although virtually all the major media now squeal "persecution", the most notable is that Establishment icon the BBC. A 5 October special edition

of its Panorama program opened with the question, "Are these the most serious accusations ever levelled against members of the British Establishment? The claim [is] that politicians, military leaders, and secret service chiefs got together to torture, rape, and murder children."

But the BBC had put the word out earlier, that Panorama was going to "try to 'debunk' claims of a 'Westminster paedophile network'", as the *Daily Mail* reported 7 July. Indeed, the show took aim at the central figures who had helped unleash the inquiry into Establishment paedophilia, notably MP Tom Watson and Chris Fay, a long-time social worker and victims' advocate who had provided evidence of precisely the high-level ring to which Watson had pointed. An exclusive interview with Fay, on his original charges and the BBC's cover-up, is part of the *AAS* feature.

The reality, writes the *AAS*, is that the BBC "had for decades harboured, promoted, and covered for the crimes of probably the most notorious paedophile in British history", the late Jimmy Savile. Why? Because Savile had deep ties to the House of Windsor, and it is none other than the Queen herself who chooses the Chairman of the BBC's governing body, the BBC Trust.

### Savile's Royal Sponsors

From the early 1960s almost until his death in 2011, the flamboyant, cigar-chomping, bling-laden self-promoter Savile hosted some of the BBC's most popular programs, including "Top of the Pops" and the children's show "Jim'll Fix It". Throughout that period, however, according to the findings of the 2012-13 Metropolitan Police investigation Operation Yewtree, Savile had molested or raped as many as 1,000 children all over the UK, including in the BBC's

own studios, helpless children in hospitals, and even bodies in hospital mortuaries. Everyone at the BBC knew about Savile, several former top BBC figures have stated, but he was deemed "untouchable", a status, charged one, conferred upon him by his friends the Windsors. Their close relationship is a matter of public record.


Savile's long-time friend Alison Bellamy reported in her 2012 authorised biography, *How's About That, Then?*, that Jimmy warned her, "I don't talk about royalty; it is a no-go area." Maybe Jimmy didn't talk, but, she wrote, "It is other people—good friends and those who knew him best—who confirm his relationships with Prince Charles, Princess Diana, the Queen, the Duke of Edinburgh and Sarah Ferguson. The superstar DJ was no stranger to Buckingham Palace and from 1984 to 1989 was a regular visitor". The Queen knighted him in 1990.

Dan Davies reported in his 2014 biography, *In Plain Sight: The Life and Lies of Jimmy Savile*, that all this Royal patronage had begun with "his relationship with Lord Louis Mountbatten, the Duke of Edinburgh's favourite uncle and a man many believed to be the power behind the House of Windsor. ... According to Savile, Mountbatten had been the man who sanctioned his entry into 'the Firm', the term he regularly used to describe the royal family." Said Savile, "Coming from Lord Louis, and he was the favourite uncle of the Prince of Wales, it meant I hooked up with the Prince of Wales. It was the respect that Lord Louis had for me. It meant what was good enough for Lord Louis was good enough for him. That's how I got to know all these people. Lord Louis was the governor."

"Lord Louis was also a paedophile", noted the *AAS* feature, promising more on the topic in coming issues.

## The CEC Salutes a True Hero

In 2003, then-46-year-old Queensland forensic accountant John Morgan was diagnosed with Multiple System Atrophy, a rare neurological disease in which the brain and other bodily systems progressively shut down. "You're in the shit", said one doctor to John. The prognosis was that he had six to 10 years to live, under increasingly difficult conditions. Many people would have been brought to a halt by such news. But Morgan



TRIBUTE TO JOHN MORGAN

retired from his job and soon began a new one: a full-time investigation of the deaths of Princess Diana, her companion Dodi Al-Fayed, and driver Henri Paul in a car crash in a Paris tunnel on 31 August 1997. John had always wanted to write, and was provoked by the release of a 1995 note kept by Diana's butler, in which she had forecast that her former husband would have her killed in a car "accident".

Since then, John Morgan has become beyond doubt the world's leading expert on Diana's assassination. In 2014 he released his final work, the 800-page *How They Murdered Princess Diana—The Shocking Truth*. In this volume summarising his previous 10 books, he named Queen Elizabeth as the dark hand behind the murders: "Only she could authorise the assassination of the most famous and photographed person in the world, the mother of the future King of England, the increasingly powerful Princess Diana".

CEC Executive Member Gabrielle Peut, who has worked closely with John over


the past year, said as this *New Citizen* went to print, "When we received news from John in August that he had at most a few months to live, we decided that we had to issue a tribute to this remarkable human being, also including statements from others around the world who have either worked directly with him or been inspired by him. John is a true hero to us, as is his wife Lana, who has been his key collaborator on every phase of John's decade-long investigation. We in the CEC are privileged beyond words to have had the chance to know and work with them. To quote one of the tributes in our memorial volume,

"John ennobles all who know him, or even know of him"."

John's books may be ordered from his website <http://princessdianadeaththeevidence.weebly.com/>.

The CEC's tribute is posted at [www.cecaust.com.au/johnmorgan](http://www.cecaust.com.au/johnmorgan); to obtain a hard-cover copy, call 1800 636 432.

In 2003, Princess Diana's 1995 handwritten forecast that Prince Charles would arrange her death in a car "accident" propelled John Morgan on his decade-long quest—now completed—to find out the truth behind her death in Paris in 1997.



In 2003, Princess Diana's 1995 handwritten forecast that Prince Charles would arrange her death in a car "accident" propelled John Morgan on his decade-long quest—now completed—to find out the truth behind her death in Paris in 1997.

# THE CEC REPORT

Download/watch it weekly at [www.cecaust.com.au](http://www.cecaust.com.au)

Broadcasting weekly on Community TV - Channel 44:

<b>Melbourne</b> Sunday Night 11:30 PM	<b>Perth</b> Monday Evening 5:00 PM	<b>Brisbane</b> Monday Evening 6:30 PM	<b>Adelaide</b> Tuesday Evening 6:30 PM	<b>Sydney</b> Tuesday Night 10:30 PM
--	---	--	---	--

Check your local television guide for more broadcast times

1. Also called the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL, or in Arabic "Daesh"), or simply IS.