

BAE Scandal Rocks British Empire

July 1—As we go to press, a debate on the immediate removal of U.S. Vice President Dick Cheney from office has exploded in the U.S., and is fast converging on the U.S. Congress, which has the Constitutional responsibility for removing a President or Vice President who commits “Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors”.

This process was uniquely triggered by the history-making webcast delivered on June 21 by physical economist and U.S. Democratic Party leader Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. on the BAE scandal (see below), and Cheney’s role in it. Less than 72 hours later, the *Washington Post* began a scathing series on Cheney as the de facto U.S. President, and as the author of numerous crimes, including the needless, bloody Iraq War; the widespread use of torture by the U.S.; and innumerable other violations of the Constitution.

The Noose Tightens

The fascist-leaning U.S. President Richard Nixon resigned in 1973 under the threat of impeachment, most visibly orchestrated through the *Washington Post* and its Woodward and Bernstein reporting duo, a fact presently resonating in the minds of many Americans. And its June 23-26 series on Cheney provided detailed evidence proving LaRouche’s charge

that Cheney was the “Herman Göering” of the Bush Presidency, and that 9/11 was the vehicle through which he was running a coup.

But, useful as it was, the *Post* series was clearly an “ice-box piece” written long in advance, which may never have appeared had the *Post* and its faction in the Establishment not become scared that, following his webcast, LaRouche was “running away” with the most important story in decades.

Exemplary of other moves toward impeachment, on June 28, the 10-term Congressman from Seattle, James McDermott, announced in the House of Representatives, “Madam Speaker, it is time for a new exit strategy, one that removes the Vice President of the United States from office, voluntarily, if he chooses, but by impeachment if he stonewalls”, and committed to co-sponsor Rep. Dennis Kucinich’s impeachment bill, with 10 other co-signers.

The BAE Scandal

The escalating revelations on BAE—driven uniquely by the daily or even hourly investigative updates on the LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) website—are tightening Cheney’s necktie. He and his wife are de facto agents of the British imperial apparatus centred in the BAE, the scandal around which involves far

higher stakes than “corruption”: the BAE is a “new British East India Company”, the outfit which ran India for over a century as its own private colony, and which employed a private army far larger than that of Britain itself. Through manipulations of the London-centred, speculative international petroleum market, the BAE’s original \$100 billion slush fund (see p. 3) has snowballed into the trillions—the largest single private hoard of cash in history. And, investigations have determined, the British monarchy is right in the middle of it through its Crown Agents corporation and affiliated entities.

Besides the U.K. and the U.S., investigations on the BAE are underway in at least seven other countries: Austria, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Slovakia, Sweden and Switzerland. So what about Australia, where the BAE is one of our major defense contractors, indeed has itself announced that Australia is one of the six countries on which it is concentrating?

The Global Crash

The backdrop to these dramatic developments is the ongoing disintegration of the world financial system. For instance, that system was almost paralysed by the June 10 collapse of two Bear Stearns hedge funds, which almost blew out



Arms for Oil: Spurred by LaRouche and his associates, investigators are unravelling the massive, BAE-centred arms-and-terror financing deal struck by Saudi Prince Bandar bin Sultan (above, left) and Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher in 1985. It still continues, and Dick Cheney is in the middle of it.

the highly risky \$2.6 trillion Collateralised Debt Obligations (CDOs) market. The amounts of money involved in this international daisy chain are beyond belief. They include the world’s totally-unregulated derivatives market, estimated at \$750 trillion; the \$9 trillion in the imploding U.S. mortgage market alone (50% of which are owned by banks); the multi-trillion annual mergers and acquisitions business, driven by hedge funds and private equity funds; and on and on.

Bear Stearns’ own activities are typical of the super-leveraging involved in all these mar-

kets. Their two failed hedge funds held perhaps \$2 billion in already shaky “assets”, which they put up to borrow \$9 billion from banks such as Merrill Lynch, JPMorgan Chase, Citigroup, etc. They then leveraged this \$9 billion into \$29.7 billion in literal gambling bets on CDOs linked to the fast-disappearing subprime mortgage market. The details of all this are not important, only the principle involved: “the bigger they are, the harder they fall”. This is emphatically true when they got big by borrowing based upon negligible assets, so that when they are forced to unload those worthless assets,

the entire world financial system starts to cascade downward in one fire sale after another.

Amidst highly unusual, open cries of panic in London, New York and other world financial capitals, that is what is now underway. For constant updates on this fast-moving process, and on its Cheney and BAE components, see www.larouhepac.com. The good news is that LaRouche has put forward a clear way out of this unprecedented disaster, for some details of which see his website, his Webcast below, and the LaRouche Youth Movement’s “Mission Statement” on page 7.

LAROCHE’S JUNE 21 WEBCAST

BAE: The World’s Biggest Loose End

The following is an edited transcript of U.S. Democratic Party spokesman Lyndon LaRouche’s historic June 21st webcast. The archived Webcast and full transcript may be found at www.larouhepac.com.

As the BAE scandal mounts, even in the U.S. press now, the time has come, as the Walrus said, “to speak of many things”—not of cabbages, but of kings. ...

We have come to the end of a period of history. The BAE crisis expresses that, reflects that—does not embody it, but expresses it symptomatically.


Now, we’ve come to the point, therefore, that where people have ordinarily operated, especially in the present generations, on the assumption

that some things were self-evident, that you would start from agreement to self-evident things that almost everybody, considered educated or influential, believed. And that these things would persist and go on forever, more or less. And therefore we need not worry about the need to make sudden deep-going changes in current policy, we merely had to adapt to variations in terms of the current trend. It’s like the people who believe in the principles of Euclidean geometry. Now, Euclidean geometry was, from the beginning, a farce—in fact, it was a fraud, which many people have believed ever since. It’s like modern Cartesian thinking. Most thinking about economics today, among professional economists, involves a more

or less insane version of Cartesian thinking. That is, a mechanistic, statistical thinking where you start from certain statistical assumptions and project these out and say, “What date is the crash coming?” or “What date is this going to happen?” or “What date is that going to happen?” And society doesn’t function like that. But people believe that.

A Financial System Based on Gambling

As a matter of fact, the great danger of a financial crash today, is that most people, in what they call economics believe actually not in economics: They believe in gambling. It’s called a financial system. It’s a gambling system. And people understanding that, ever since



Beginning in 2002, LaRouche mobilised for Cheney to be driven from office, as in this January 2006 pamphlet. Carl Schmitt was the “legal theorist” for Hitler’s dictatorship; his writings are often cited by Cheney’s circle.

Galileo came up with this idea about gambling as the basis of discovering how markets would

work, everyone has tried to get a better statistical system for gambling. Like breaking the bank at Monte Carlo, making a killing at Las Vegas, probably one’s own. And therefore, these guys who are running the financial world today, depend on the assumption that they’ve got a “better system”—as they used to have at the race tracks, a “better system” for handicapping the horses. And it would really handicap the bettor, in the end, as he found himself on the street without cash—and being pursued by his lenders.

But what you’ve got today, as was typified in the calamity that occurred in August through October of 1998, was that the bettors now rely upon mathematics. And computers have helped them to do this: They can now

bet faster, they can do mathematics faster than ever before, statistics faster than ever before. But they’re all trying to find the best system of gambling. And they’re all competing to get in on what they believe is the best system of gambling. The result is that, when all the gamblers come close to the same system of gambling against each other, but they’re all gambling according to the same formula, what happens? They all go down together, in one big flop!

And that is what we saw a forecast of in the events of the LTCM collapse in 1998: Was a general collapse of the system based on confidence, and competition, using the same system, as a world system which doesn’t

Continued Page 4

City of London, Big Business Behind "Carbon Trading" Scam

If you like the soaring price of petrol, then you'll simply love the new cap-and-trade carbon emissions plan John Howard and the ALP are setting up. You better, because you are going to pay through the nose for it. The same international institutions which have blown out the price of petroleum, are orchestrating this "carbon trading" scam.

A typical case is that of Dr. Richard Sandor. He is a director of both the London-based Intercontinental Exchange Inc. (ICE), which owns the



As U.S. Vice President, Al Gore crusaded against poverty-stricken African nations producing their own, affordable anti-AIDS drugs. Like most high-level "Global Warmers", he is a "population control" freak, i.e. a genocidalist.

International Petroleum Exchange (IPE), the self-described world's "largest energy futures and options exchange", and of the London International Financial Futures Exchange (the largest trading market in the world in derivatives, i.e. super-speculative gambling bets). He has also recently founded the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX), purely to speculate in carbon swaps, in conjunction with the two London exchanges. Recent investigations by the U.S. Senate have found that futures speculation on the ICE/IPE add \$25 to the price of every barrel of oil—an extremely conservative estimate, given that it costs Saudi Arabia, for instance, only \$5 per barrel to produce, and the bulk of the

"profits" go not to OPEC, but to speculators. Sandor recently crowed, "Air and water are no longer the free goods that economics once assumed. They must be redefined as property rights so that they can be efficiently allocated", i.e. speculated upon.

Acting through instruments such as the Kyoto Protocol, the CCX and its London parents (and their Australian offshoots) allocate a nation the right to emit a specified amount of CO₂ per year. Permits are then issued to that nation's businesses and farms, much like the disastrous "water allowances" in Australia today. If you go over your allotment, then you have to buy additional allowances. But the key is that each year the volume of allocated emissions is reduced from the year before. This is what Rudd and other lunatics are talking about when they talk about "reducing CO₂ emissions by 60% or more by 2050. These reduced allocations will rapidly drive up the costs of virtually everything, and an estimated 50-75% of those costs will be passed on to the consumer—you. Indeed, soaking you for the additional costs is the entire point. As the U.S. National Commission on Energy Policy (NCEP), a main coordinator for carbon-based trading, recently declared, "Cost pass-through is important to the efficacy of the policy as a whole", and warned against "shielding consumers from the price signals [increases] needed to stimulate desired behavioral responses through the economy". They, and similar bodies, intend to force consumers to abandon electricity and products generated from carbon-based fuel supplies, in favour of power and products generated from the wildly-inefficient renewable sources such as wind and solar power, which only become practicable with drastic price increases for energy.

es for energy.

Were less CO₂ emissions the real objective, then governments, banks and big business would obviously begin a crash mobilisation to produce a whole range of new technologies, including hundreds of nuclear power plants of the new, meltdown-proof designs; super-efficient magnetohydrodynamic plants for energy production from coal; magnetic levitation (mag-lev) forms of mass transit to replace the mass petrol-burning traffic jams characteristic of every major city; and hydrogen-burning automobiles. But this would rob the cap-and-traders of a speculative swindle projected to run into hundreds of billions of dollars. Just look at the London-based European Climate Exchange (ECX), which runs the trading in emissions allowances specified in the mandatory Kyoto Protocol which went into effect on January 1, 2005 for all 27 European Union countries. The ECX website itself avers that "Approximately 95% of the total volume in the European carbon market are seen in derivatives trades." Until the early 1990s, derivatives contracts were outlawed in the U.S., Australia and most other nations, because they were rightly understood to be mere gambling bets.

The Culprits

Have you noticed that the radical greenies have suddenly gotten oh-so-chummy with their supposed enemies in Big Business? For instance, in January 2007, the U.S. Climate Action Partnership issued a press release calling for a "mandatory economy-wide, market-driven approach to climate protection." Members of USCAP include such corporate giants as Lehman Brothers, Duke Energy, DuPont, BP America, Alcoa, and General

Electric, along with environmentalist groups like the World Resources Institute (WRI), Environment Defense (ED) and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC). The seeming paradox evaporates when you look a bit closer at the WRI, the ED, the NRDC, the WWF and the like: they are all funded by banks, hedge funds, major corporations and blue-blood outfits like the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations. When the master whistles, the dog comes running.

Then look at the Australian equivalent of USCAP, the Australian Business Roundtable on Climate Change, where corporate titans such as BP Australia, Insurance Australia Group, Origin Energy, Swiss Re, Visy, and Westpac, sit cheek-by-jowl with the hysterically anti-nuclear Ian Lowe and Don Henry, President and Executive Director of the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF), respectively. Perhaps that is not so surprising when one looks at the history of the ACF: It was founded by Francis Ratcliffe and Prince Philip, and an early Chairman of its Benefactors and National Sponsors Committee was Sir Maurice Mawby, chairman of Rio Tinto (then called Zinc Riotinto of Australia, CRA), while CRA itself was an ACF Benefactor Member. Ratcliffe was a protégé of Sir Julian Huxley, a lifelong official of Britain's Eugenics Society who had himself co-founded Prince Philip's World Wildlife Fund only three years earlier in pursuit of his eugenic aims, i.e. mass population reduction. Or, as Prince Philip put it, "In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation." This is not an offhand quote by the Prince—he has repeatedly expressed the same wish.



THE GREENHOUSE EFFECT

Speculation Unlimited, Inc.

How much money do these cap-and-traders wield, which can be deployed to jack up the price of carbon swaps? On March 19, the Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR), including British Petroleum, Allianz Insurance (the world's largest insurance company), DuPont, etc., held an event in Washington, D.C., where they demanded immediate "mandatory reductions in greenhouse gases". Chaired by Norman Dean, Director of Friends of the Earth, the INCR said it represented a \$4 trillion pool of funds demanding green ventures. Following the lead of the INCR, the Investor Group on Climate Change in Australia, which includes such

heavyweights as AMP Capital, BT Financial Group, Colonial First State and Goldman Sachs JBWere, called for carbon trading to be introduced quickly to "remove uncertainty", bragging that it represented \$225 billion in funds under management.

Al Gore has his snout in the trough, too. He is a principal in the London-based hedge fund, Generation Investment Management (GIM), set up solely to broker and speculate in carbon swaps. Set up by David Blood, the former CEO of Goldman Sachs Asset Management, the firm is otherwise known as "Blood and Gore". That, unfortunately, is no joke.

Watch

The Great Global Warming Swindle

ABC TV, 12th July, 8.30pm.

The ABC will be showing the British Channel 4 documentary, *The Great Global Warming Swindle*. A three hour special feature is planned, including the screening of the documentary itself, an interview with the producer, Martin Durkin, debate between a panel of experts, and then debate involving the studio audience.



Don't miss this one!

Sea Levels Are Rising? Baloney!

Sea levels are not at all in a generally rising mode over the globe, Swedish expert Dr. Nils-Axel Mörner, probably the world's leading expert on sea levels, told *Executive Intelligence Review* in an exclusive interview on June 6, which was picked up by *Fox News*' Brit Hume on June 25. It is certainly not rising in the Maldives, Tuvalu, or Vanuatu—all notorious sites where computer models predict flooding, Dr. Mörner said. Even the satellite altimetry shows no sea level rise. Mörner said that most sci-

entists know these facts and are silent about it for fear of losing funding. Mörner is head of the Paleogeophysics and Geodynamics program at Stockholm University, and served as an Expert Reviewer for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 2001 and 2007. He has made first-hand investigations of most of the sites in question. True field observations must have a higher credibility than computer models and wishes, he said. Dr. Mörner said that of the 22 authors of the sea level chapter in the latest IPCC report, not one of them was a sea level expert. The three leading authors of the chapter were from Austria, a landlocked nation without a coastline! Mörner charged that, as shown by the chapters of the report that deal with malaria and sea level, the IPCC appoints people whom they know will write only what they are told to write, and what fits the pre-set agenda. The full interview is at http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2007/2007_20-29/2007-25/pdf/33-37_725.pdf

Global Warming = Eugenics

Several times during the course of his June 21 Web-cast, LaRouche stated that the notion of anthropogenic "global warming" is nothing but a new name for eugenics. "Global Warming" was indeed cooked up by the British oligarchy-founded eugenics ("race science") lobby which gave Hitler his eugenics ideas and led to the Holocaust, and which founded modern "environmentalism" and "globalization"—all for the same reason: to kill billions, a project otherwise known as "population control".

Two prime examples of the genocidal intent behind "global warming", are two of its foremost Australian champions—the deceased Nobel Prize winner Sir Macfarlane Burnet, supposedly "our greatest scientist", and today's quackademic, Tim Flannery. Wrote Macfarlane Burnet in his book, *The Dominant Mammal*, already in 1970:

"As a result, there has been much discussion as to whether human activities may not trigger off climatic change in an intrinsically unstable situation, with disastrous results.

The contingency most widely discussed has been the possibility of an increasing concentration of carbon dioxide in the air warming up the earth by the so-called 'greenhouse effect'. An increase in CO₂ could trap high temperature energy from the sun but diminish the radiation of low temperature energy into space. This could conceivably result in a melting of the Antarctic glaciers to give a rise in sea-level of something between 40 and 100 feet above the present, it has been during some of the interglacial epochs. Every seaport in the world would be put out of action, and millions would be made homeless."

Burnet was a member of the Eugenics Society of Victoria, and remained a eugenicist to the very end of his life. After World War II he led biological warfare research whose purpose, he said, was to kill tens or hundreds of millions of Asians, and he called for the world population to drop to one billion or less, if necessary through repeated periods of "chaos". He was also a seminal figure in Australia's "environmentalist move-



(l.) Australia's Nobel Prize winner, Sir Macfarlane Burnet, and Australian of the Year Tim Flannery (r.). Adherents of the "Global Warming" scam, Burnet and Flannery have both proposed mass population reduction, otherwise known as genocide.

ment", as part of the British-centred, racist Establishment descended from Charles Darwin, T.H. Huxley, Julian Huxley, Prince Philip et al., all of whom proclaim that man is merely an animal, to be herded and "culled" as necessary. Prince Philip, for instance, has repeatedly stated, "In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation." He was Burnet's friend and sponsor, wrote the introduction to Burnet's biography (*The Seeds of Time* by Christopher Sexton), and,

along with Julian Huxley disciple Francis Noble Ratcliffe, founded the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF), of which he was the first president.

As for Tim Flannery, he is notorious even in honest academia for third-rate science fiction pieces such as *The Future Eaters*, in which he champions the notorious eugenicist Griffith Taylor as "one of the greatest and most courageous scientists that Australia has ever produced." Lawfully, Flannery has called for Australia's population to be reduced to as few as six million.

New Citizen

Published & printed by:
Citizens Media Group
Pty Ltd

595 Sydney Rd Coburg Vic
PO Box 376 Coburg Vic 3058
ACN: 010 904 757
Tel: 03 9354 0544
Fax: 03 9354 0166

Editor Craig Isherwood
newcitizen@cecaust.com.au

Scandal of the Century Rocks British Crown, City of London, Cheney Implicated

June 25 (LPAC)—New revelations that Vice President Dick Cheney has been behind the now-failed effort to cover up an \$80-100 billion criminal slush fund, run through the British arms cartel BAE Systems, adds new urgency to Lyndon LaRouche's long-standing demand that Vice President Dick Cheney be impeached or otherwise removed from office for high crimes and misdemeanors.

A late June 2007 four-part *Washington Post* front-page exposé of Vice President Cheney's role in ripping up the U.S. Constitution in pursuit of an Executive Branch wartime dictatorship—echoing LaRouche's Jan. 3, 2001 pre-9/11 warnings that Cheney would be the "Hermann Goering" of the Bush Administration and the architect of a future "Reichstag fire" incident, aimed at establishing totalitarian rule—further sets the stage for Cheney's immediate ouster. Clearly, Cheney's failure to bury the BAE scandal in both Britain and the United States, as LaRouche observed in his June 21, 2007 webcast, has angered Cheney's backers, and they may find themselves now in common cause with the vast majority of Americans who want him removed from office, albeit for different motives.

Cheney and the BAE Scandal
The LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) website, www.larouchepac.com,

has assembled a comprehensive dossier on the unfolding BAE scandal, which already represents the "scandal of the century," beyond even the 9/11 story, which has more to do with BAE than most people can imagine. LPAC, along with the investigative staff of *Executive Intelligence Review* (EIR), the weekly magazine and online journal founded by LaRouche, will continue to provide the only comprehensive source of information on the BAE scandal, as it fully unravels. To date, the international media has been either silent, or has avoided the most significant features of the BAE-centred off-budget criminal enterprise.

The Essentials of the BAE Scandal—And Dick Cheney's Role

The following is a brief summary of the BAE scandal. The full background and constant updates to this breaking story are featured on the LaRouche PAC website.

In 1985, the Margaret Thatcher-led British government, signed a long-term agreement with the Saudi Arabian monarchy, under which the British arms cartel, BAE Systems, provided fighter jets and other military equipment and services, in return for vast quantities of Saudi oil. The barter agreement, known as "Al-Yamamah" (the Arabic word for "the dove") has remained in force up to the pres-

ent day. Under Al-Yamamah, a tightly interlocking consortium of Anglo-Dutch and Anglo-American cartels—including BAE, BP, Royal Dutch Shell,



The Nazis burned the Reichstag (parliament) in early 1933 and blamed it on "communist terrorism", creating a panic so that Hitler could become dictator. The BAE apparatus orchestrated 9/11 to try to establish a police state in the U.S., and in other countries, including Australia.

Lazard Bank, HSBC (formerly the British East India Company's Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation), and the Carlyle Group—has amassed an estimated \$80-100 billion in off-budget, hidden funds, which have been utilised for covert operations and gun-running on a global scale—totally outside the jurisdiction and oversight of any government. This massive offshore fund is at the centre of the power of the Anglo-Dutch financial oligarchy, which has promoted globalisation and perpetual war for the last three decades and longer.

Under the Al-Yamamah agreements, which have been

perpetuated by every British government, from Thatcher through John Major, to outgoing "New Labour" Prime Minister Tony Blair, Saudi Ara-



bia has provided 600,000 barrels of crude oil to BAE every day since September 1985. According to the authorised biography of Saudi Prince Bandar bin-Sultan, the architect of the Al-Yamamah deal on the Saudi side, and a long-suspected recruit of Britain's MI6, working through BP and Royal Dutch Shell, BAE has sold this oil on the international retail markets. According to BP's own data base on world oil prices,

the value of the cumulative oil sales, in current U.S. dollars, is an estimated \$160 billion. The military equipment and services provided to Saudi Arabia in return for the oil, over the past 22 years of Al-Yamamah, is estimated at \$40 billion—before the prices were boosted by a reported 30-40 percent, to provide for "commissions" and other payoffs, including \$2 billion to Prince Bandar.

Cheney's Bungled Coverup

In November 2006, Prince Bandar, the former Saudi Ambassador to the United States, now back in Riyadh as the national security advisor to King Abdullah, orchestrated a secret visit to the Kingdom by Vice President Dick Cheney. The primary topic was Cheney's promotion of an American mil-

itary strike against Iran, and a larger Sunni versus Shi'ite perpetual war confrontation within the Muslim world. But the other issue on the table was the BAE scandal, which was again grabbing media attention in Great Britain and was the subject of an ongoing probe by the U.K. Serious Fraud Office (SFO). Cheney vowed to shut down the probe and bury any further interference in the Al-Yamamah deal, according to two subsequent reports in the U.S. media. Within weeks of the Cheney meetings in Riyadh, Prime Minister Blair and his Attorney General, Lord Goldsmith, announced the shutdown of the Al-Yamamah probe for "national security" reasons.

However, this time, Cheney's strongarm tactics backfired, and an international furor was ignited by the British coverup, triggering new investigations by the OECD, the Swiss government, the Hungarian government, and even the U.S. Department of Justice. In that context, the *EIR* revelations about the "scandal of the century" have delivered a further shock to the system, and have created the conditions where Cheney's impeachment grows more likely by the day.

LaRouche on 9/11

Following LaRouche's June 21 Webcast, the first questioner asked, given the intense factional fight over the BAE in Great Britain, "why is it not reflected in the press in the U.S.?"

LaRouche: I think that the relevant scoundrels in the British Isles will probably do something horrible to Dick Cheney, not because they don't like what he was trying to do, but because he failed to do it. The very question is a very significant question. Here you have exposure of the fact that the long-standing ambassador from Saudi Arabia to the United States, was a key figure in taking graft to the tune of about \$2 billion, among other things, principally while an ambassador. And that he was also a British agent, functioning under the mask of being something else. So, the question is why and how was the secret kept? There was no real secret about this! You see, this has been known.

Let me be very blunt without saying too much. This is the question, as I indicated today, which has been on my mind, and the mind of a great many other people, since before 9/11. As I said earlier today, this was the question in my mind when I made a public statement, a broadcast statement from here in the United States, prior to the actual inauguration of George W. Bush in 2001, that the economic situation, the pattern of the economic situation is such, that we must expect within the reasonably near future, that someone

will try to do to the United States, what Hermann Goering did to make Hitler a dictator in Germany. And I saw that happen on September 11, 2001. I saw it. That is not only my thought. That has been the thought of many people.

How was it done to us? It was known, for example, that most of the dead bodies that showed up, as of evidentiary significance, in the wake of 9/11, were of Saudi or related provenance. Somebody set that operation up! Now, al-Qaeda? Does that help us? No, it doesn't. Al-Qaeda was an asset. Again, Osama bin Laden's a Saudi. He was an asset of George H.W. Bush and the British, in the operations in organising the Afghanistan war of the 1980s. Osama bin-Laden is a key figure, who was recruited by these guys, out of the Saudis, to lead that operation. Al-Qaeda is a product of that operation! It's an operation which was British-American sponsored, and Saudi-sponsored. The dead bodies which were draped upon the doorsteps, as evidence in the wake of the bombing of 9/11, were largely of this provenance. And the question has been in the mind of everyone, since that time, knowing how this thing works. Wow! What's the evidence? Well, you've got ten prisoners dead. It's hard to get 'em to talk after they're dead!

So that's what the issue is here. The issue is that, therefore, don't you think that there has not been a big effort to put a lid on a story as big as this has been, inside the U.S.

press? Do you think that this story was not available, and it's significance was not apparent—at least to some degree—to every leading press in the United States—television, print? Why didn't they report it? It happened! And did this not involve money? Does not everyone know, that to run an operation like 9/11 was run, it takes many billions of dollars. It takes complicity of a government, or one or two governments? That this is a coup, an attempted coup d'état, in the same way that Hermann Goering set fire to the Reichstag in order to make Hitler a dictator? Wasn't there an effort on the evening of September 11th, in the evening discussions, to ram through legislation, or ram through orders, which would establish a dictatorship in the United States, that didn't quite succeed, almost succeeded but not quite? And, have we not been run and dominated by this ever since then, by the apparatus which was put into effect on the pretext of 9/11? Don't you think that everybody who is cognizant in the United States at every position of power, has not had these thoughts, repeatedly, persistently, over these intervening years? Do you not think that everybody who saw the evidence as it's come out now, who is in an appropriate position of power to understand how these things are done, has not had these thoughts? Do you not think that they were terrified, to death practically, of being involved in exposing this? ...

Prince Bandar and 9/11

Between April 1998 and May 2002, between \$51,000 and \$73,000 in checks and cashier checks were provided by the Saudi Ambassador to the United States and his wife to two families in southern California, who in turn bankrolled at least two of the 9/11 hijackers. The story was investigated by the 9/11 Commission but never fully resolved, and remains, to this day, one of the key unanswered questions concerning the backing for the worst terrorist attack to ever occur on U.S. soil.

According to numerous news accounts and the records of the 9/11 Commission, in April 1998, a Saudi national named Osama Basnan wrote to the Saudi Embassy in Washington, D.C. seeking help for his wife, who needed surgery for a thyroid condition. Prince Bandar bin-Sultan, the Saudi Ambassador, wrote a check for \$15,000 to Basnan. Beginning in December 1999, Princess Hai-

fa, the wife of Prince Bandar, began sending regular monthly cashier checks to Basnan's wife, in amounts ranging from \$2,000 to \$3,500. Many of these checks were in turn signed over to the wife of Omar al-Bayoumi, another Saudi living in the San Diego area.

Around New Year's 2000, two other Saudi nationals, Nawaf Alhazmi and Khalid Almhidhar arrived at Los Angeles International Airport, where they were greeted by al-Bayoumi, provided with cash, and outfitted with an apartment, Social Security ID cards and other financial assistance. Al-Bayoumi helped the two Saudi men to enroll in flight schools in Florida. Al-Bayoumi and Basnan were both suspected of working for Saudi Arabia's foreign intelligence agency. In addition to the money Al-Bayoumi passed on to Alhazmi and Almhidhar, they were also befriended by Basnan, and at one point the Basnans, the

al-Bayoumis, and Alhazmi and Almhidhar all lived at the Parkwood Apartments in San Diego. According to U.S. intelligence, *the latter two were identified as among the hijackers of the American Airlines Flight 77 which crashed into the Pentagon.*

Both Prince Bandar and Princess Haifa denied they played any role in financing the 9/11 hijackers, and claimed they were merely providing charitable assistance to the Saudi community in the United States. However, the two co-chairs of the Senate Intelligence Committee at the time, Robert Graham (D-Fla.) and Richard Shelby (R-Ala.), accused the FBI of failing to fully pursue this "9/11 money trail." Sources told *EIR* that the FBI refused to allow the committee to interview the FBI investigators who had probed the Basnan and al-Bayoumi links.


— Jeffrey Steinberg



During a June 4-6 visit to Rome, Lyndon LaRouche (l.) gave official testimony to the Defense Committee of the Italian Senate, and was featured as one of three speakers in a forum with former Finance Minister and current Vice Chairman of the Italian Chamber of Deputies, Giulio Tremonti (c.), and Italy's Depu-

ty Finance Minister Alfonso Gianni (r.), on the subject, "The Future of the Economy: Market Radicalism or New Deal?". Italy's Chamber of Deputies has twice passed LaRouche-inspired legislation for a New Bretton Woods international monetary system to replace the present, disastrous system of globalisation.

BAE: The World's Biggest Loose End



Lyndon LaRouche delivering his webcast address on June 21, 2007.

From Page 1

work at all. And they all went bankrupt.

And President Clinton and his Secretary of Treasury collaborated with others to organize a bailout, to postpone the inevitable collapse of the entire world system, which was implicit in what happened in September-October of 1998. We have never paid the bill for that bailout. We have been bailing things out more and more ever since. And we now have reached the point, the system is about to collapse.

And the BAE collapse is not the cause of the problem; it is a symptom of the problem more and more, under a system which was established, a change in the system established with the election of a non-person as a President, George W. Bush, Jr., under his chimpanzee keeper, the Vice President, that the world was being run, more and more by what is behind the BAE. The BAE is actually better known as the British Empire. Some people call it the "British Empire."

Now, not all the people in England are guilty of this. Many of them, even who are Brits or who believe in the imperial system or the British Empire or whatever, think that what is being done now by BAE is insane. They think that other things are insane: They know that the idea of global warming is a hoax—they know that. They know it's totally unscientific, and could not be sold to a society in which science was still known as a subject for most people of that generation. And therefore, not because they are anti-British, but because they know that the system which is being run by the Blair government and its associates in the British system, being run by Blair's friend Cheney, and others, that this system is clinically insane. And therefore, they object to it. And they raised objections to it, which are registered in places like the London *Guardian*, called *Guardian Unlimited* these days, and the British BBC, and other locations.

There was virtual silence on the subject of this, at least to its substance, inside the United

States"; it's called these various kinds of things, referring to these things. It's a one-world system! It is not consolidated, but every obstacle to this one-world system is crumbling. Every government of Europe—and you will see soon in France, that this is also true, there—every government in Central and Western Europe is today ungovernable. They may or may not be called, at the present time, "failed states." But they are at the brink of being failed states, which can no longer govern themselves. They are in the process in Europe, of surrendering, from the Russian and Belarus border westward, they're surrendering their powers of government, to international agencies and supra-national agencies. ...

We Live Under a Dictatorship

Now, there are other characteristics of this world. We have entered into a period of generalised warfare. Now, this did not start now. What we're seeing now is the culmination of a process which has been going on, actually since the time that Kennedy was shot. Since the time that Kennedy was shot, there's been a change in world politics, a change in direction in world politics, which was signaled soon by the launching by the U.S. War in Indo-China. And that led into what became 1968, which was the general breakup of the Democratic Party, and you had a new kind of government under parties since then.

The lower 80% of the U.S. population, the adult population, which had had a dominant influence under Roosevelt, and continued to have a strong influence in the United States until that point, began to lose its power. The upper 20% of family-income brackets are the ones who control politics today. And the upper 20% that control politics today, are controlled by an upper 3% that control the greatest concentration of money we've ever seen percentile-wise in world history.

We live under a dictatorship, in which the lower 80%, the conditions of life, in our own country, are of that nature. And the Democratic Party reflects that. It no longer responds to its own political base. The Republican Party is, in a sense, breaking up. Because they can not accept the Bush Administration and what it represents. And it's looking for a new destiny, either in one of several directions, and there may be an upheaval. You have candidates, including Presidential candidates in the Democratic Party for whom I have personal respect as individuals, intellectually. But their performance as candidates, so far, is *no less than disgusting!* Especially given the real conditions.

You have a majority of the Democratic Party base which is calling for the impeachment of Cheney—suddenly. They want a sudden impeachment, not a long process. And that could be arranged for them. You could walk to Cheney with the right message, and you say, "Dear Dick..." And he would go out with a sour face the next morning and say, "I've decided my potato patch is being neglected. I've got to resign and get back

there and take care of those potatoes!" That's the way a corporate president usually goes out suddenly, you know. He's suddenly got an urge to get back to the potato patch. And they let him do that... and everybody knew he'd been fired. So, a message that he could not refuse would be given to Cheney. He would not be impeached, he wouldn't have to be impeached, he'd resign. And that could be orchestrated, if you wished to do that.

If the Democratic Party had the *guts!*

But the Democratic Party can't function, why? Look at all the money that is being spent on the Democratic candidates? Whose money is it? It's your money, they don't have. It's fake money! It's hedge fund money. It's borrowing against banks and other institutions, now to create a mass of credit, which is fake credit, it's a promissory note, to go out in the world, and say, "We're going to buy this, and we're going to buy that. We're taking over your corporation!" Why? "We're going to buy your stockholders. And therefore you can't prevent us from taking over your stockholders. *We have a mass of money that says, we can buy your stockholders.* Therefore, we own your corporation: Turn it over, buddy! Turn it over, buddy!" They don't have real assets

"Now, without going into the details of what we know and what we don't know about how 9/11 was orchestrated, we know that the only means by which this kind of thing is orchestrated, is found in *one* location: in a financial complex which is centred in the identity of the BAE. Now, that's the mystery of 9/11."

there! These are fake, inflated assets—largely artificial. And they move in, as these hedge funds, and they take over.

Well, what's the centre of this thing? The centre of this is the Cayman Islands, the British monarchy's Cayman Islands and similar locations run by the same organisation, the British Empire, in its modern form, which is expressed by BAE. And a few hundred billion dollars, which are associated with BAE-related operations, now become multiplied by these kinds of markets into a gigantic fund, which controls in financing many of the operations which are controlled. And look at the contributions to the Democratic Party candidates, and Republican candidates, for President! Look at the composition of the funding for these candidacies! Look at the funding of the Democratic National Committee, the campaign committee: Who's doing it? George Soros? Well, he's one thing. Nazi Felix Rohatyn, that's another thing. He's nominally a Democrat. He's a Pinochet Democrat! He's the guy who headed up a financial institution which was the backing of Pinochet's taking over and setting up a dictatorship in Chile. And Pinochet was an integral part of BAE, and the operation. He was also part of a death squad operation which ran across the Southern Cone of South America, and these kinds of things.

So, we're in this kind of period. Now, this didn't start recently. But we're seeing now, this culmination of a concentra-



Most of the world's 9,000 hedge funds are registered in Her Majesty's super-secretive Cayman Islands.

tion of power under the Bush-Cheney Administration, a concentration of power under the leadership and control of the powers that control the British Empire. That's the situation. *This empire*, this gambling system, is now in a process of collapsing. It's at the verge of collapse. It is therefore *moving*, to take total world power. Because if you take total world power, then nobody can say otherwise. And your problems are solved: You decide what money is and what isn't, because you have a world dictatorship.

They don't yet have a world dictatorship. And therefore, we, as citizens of the United States and other nations, have to act and say, "We're not going to let you *have* that power! *We're going to stop you, now!*"

And history intervenes at times, to present us with the opportunity to do this, the occasion to do this. That time is now. And that's what my subject is today. ...

The Difference Between Man and Monkey

You know, people are not as smart as they think they are. Human beings have great powers of intelligence that no other living creature has. They create science, they create the mastery of the universe, they create the changes in culture, which raise the conditions of life of mankind. But sometimes, they behave like silly children. And the more adults, and the more adulterated they become... [video clip of chimpanzees] the more "perfect" their childishness becomes!

Now, what form does this take? We have a basement operation [of the LaRouche Youth Movement] out there, nearby, and people have been going through in groups of five, six, or seven, at a crack, in reliving the experience of making the fundamental discoveries, a linked series of fundamental discoveries which embrace the entirety of scientific progress of European civilisation, from the time of the ancient Pythagoreans, about the time of the 7th Century B.C., up to the present time; or up to a recent time, when we still practised science. And so, we have young people going through, step by step, of working through, experiencing—not being taught, to pass an examination on this subject or that subject—but going through the

process of making discoveries themselves, which are a replication of the experience of earlier scientists, and making the discoveries on which the scientific achievements of European civilisation, globally, has depended. From the time of the Pythagoreans, from the time of Solon of Athens, the time of Thales, up to recent times. The achievements of progress of European civilisation, with fits and starts all along the way. Especially those of modern civilisation.

Now, therefore, in dealing with the difference between man and the monkey, as the core of what I'm talking about today: that we have to get beyond the assumption that what we have experienced, and what has become generally accepted opinion, so-called "self-evident rules of behaviour," of the recent generation, or the recent one or two generations, the idea that this "self-evident knowledge," which is taken as self-evident, as common sense among most people in society, this is nonsense. But people believe in it. And they believe that there's no possibility of a course of action, which could occur, which would be accepted, would be allowed to occur, outside the framework of so-called "self-evident truths." Which generally break down to "generally accepted current popular opinion."

So therefore, when you present them with evidence, that the present *system* itself, the system to which they are accustomed,



Cheney is the organ grinder, and Bush (above) is his monkey.

is in a process of self-destruction and collapse, they say, "Ah! You're silly! You must be some kind of a nut—what's this?" They will say, "Everybody knows you're wrong!"

But it's the *system* that's wrong! And what everybody knows, is what's stupid!

But! As long as people believe that popular opinion, or what passes for popular opinion, among the most recent couple of generations, what they get from the textbooks, what they get from the so-called authorities, what they hear from, you know, "*people in the know*"—that this is the boundary condition which determines what is "acceptable

Breaking Strategic News

turn to

www.larouchepac.com





U.S. Presidents John F. Kennedy (1961-63) and Dwight D. Eisenhower (1951-1959). In his final speech, Eisenhower, the general who oversaw D-Day, warned of a dangerous "military-industrial complex", emanating from World War II. That complex assassinated Kennedy in November, 1963, foiling his plans to stop the U.S. from going to war against Vietnam.

behaviour," by the individual or by the group in society, and therefore, people limit their choices of action to what they believe are *acceptable premises of action*. They don't question the premises themselves, just the same way that foolish people in school accept Euclidean geometry as being science, or Cartesian mechanistic forecasting as science.

So, until this kind of assumption is called into question, you do not say publicly, in the manner I'm speaking now, that "the system is coming down!" Because now the time has come, you have to *accept the fact*—if you're sane—that the system is coming down. And one by one, like tenpins in a bowling alley, Senators and others, who two weeks ago would have rejected what I was saying now, will *shudder*, and say, "I'm afraid he might be right!"

The time has come: *The system must change*. It is not within the framework of these so-called current traditions, or current public opinion, that mankind has a future. We're on the verge of a global dark age.

The "Military-Industrial Complex"

Now, the signs of this, have been coming at us for a long time. Look at the area of Southwest Asia, and some other places, and look at what we call "prolonged warfare."

All right: Kennedy was killed. He was killed for a reason. It was not by a lone assassin—it may have been a loan shark, but not a lone assassin. He was killed to get him out of the way. Because, what Eisenhower had identified as the "military-in-



For the Pythagoreans and Plato's Academy, geometry was the physical geometry derived from the Egyptian science of Sphaerics—astrophysics. To destroy their work, the fraudster Euclid (above) claimed that all geometry derives from formalistic (i.e. nonexistent) axioms and postulates.

dustrial complex," in his outgoing address as President of the United States, is the process, which is the same process which we identify in the press today as the BAE phenomenon. It's a process that actually came into being under Hitler, and Mussolini. Which was stopped by the intervention of Roosevelt.

On the day Roosevelt died, or a few days later, when Truman discovered that we had nu-

clear weapons, and decided to drop these nuclear weapons on the civilian populations of two cities, of a defeated Japan, before allowing the surrender to occur, we had entered a new age, to which Dwight Eisenhower, as outgoing President, referred to as the "military-industrial complex."

The military-industrial complex came out of a division in Anglo-American policy during and after the war. Remember, that Hitler was put into power like Mussolini, largely from Britain and the United States. For example, Averell Harriman, from Brown Brothers Harriman, together with the head of the Bank of England at that time, was responsible for the sponsorship of making Hitler a dictator of Germany. When Roosevelt became President, over a period of time, Roosevelt induced the British to finally give up this idea of backing a Mussolini and Hitler. The financial establishment of Wall Street in that period, was behind Hitler, as they had been behind Mussolini, and their intentions were exactly in that direction.

Their intentions were the same thing as global warming today: It was called then, "eugenics." Get rid of the excessive people, particularly the ones whose skin colour you didn't like. They weren't bleached enough. Eugenics: It was a program of murder. This was the program on which the Nazi party was founded, was eugenics—which is the same thing as global warming, today, *exactly the same ideology*, rewarmed with a new name, but with the same intention.

So, these guys put Mussolini into power; they put Hitler into power. They intended to establish a world dictatorship, in which the United States would destroy itself as a power—because we were a power, then—and in which they could run the world, as a one-world power. Which has always been the intention, since 1763, since the British Empire actually was created by the Treaty of Paris, in February 1763. By the British East India Company.

And what you're seeing today, with BAE, you're seeing a corporate structure like the occupation of India, by the British Empire, which was done by a *private company!*—the British East India Company. China was destroyed, by what? By the British East India Company, with the opium trade and similar kinds of things. The world was controlled by this financial octopus, this new Venetian empire. And that has run things.

The United States has emerged as the only significant challenger to this issue of empire, since 1763. That was the division. In 1763, the word came down about the Treaty of Paris. And the ranks of the lead-

ing circles in North America were divided: One group, the patriotic group, gathered around Benjamin Franklin, this group created the American Revolution, and the American System, whose roots had already been developed inside the Americas before then. And we had a character, an anti-oligarchical character, which was different than that of Europe.

And the other faction, which is still the so-called Wall Street faction and similar types today, were the people who joined with the British East India Company against Franklin and company. And their goal has always been to reabsorb North America into the British System as a part of the English-speaking system. That's been their purpose. And they've worked from *inside* the United States to destroy those aspects of our system, which are embedded in our Constitution and Declaration of Independence.

Other parts of the world have had importance, and do have importance. But it's the challenge between two English-speaking societies, that of the United States as the model republic, and that of the British Empire, as the opposition, the Anglo-Dutch Liberal opposition: That has been the dominant feature of all the major wars on this planet, since that time.

So, we now come to a point, that the British Empire in that form, has consolidated itself to the point, that it will either fall, now, in this form, or in its at-

"Eugenics: It was a program of murder. This was the program on which the Nazi party was founded, was eugenics—which is the same thing as global warming, today, *exactly the same ideology*, rewarmed with a new name, but with the same intention".

tempt to impose an empire, or the whole planet will go into a dark age: That's where we stand.

So, now the time for change is obvious. Now, remember how this thing [BAE scandal] happened: For weeks, there was no *whisper* of this issue, inside the press of the United States, the leading press; among the politicians, members of the Senate had *no idea* that such a thing was going on—but it *had been* going on! It *was* going on! It was the secret behind the Vietnam War. It was the secret behind the great war in Southwest Asia, between Iraq and Iran, during the 1980s. It was the first U.S. Iraq War. It was the Afghanistan occupation, continuing. It is the new Iraq War. It is the spread of war throughout all Southwest Asia. Hmm? It's all a struggle for the British Empire! And the struggle to corrupt the United States, and destroy it.

Now, what happened? In the history of the United States, when Abraham Lincoln led a fight to defeat the British Confederacy—and the Confederacy was nothing but a tool of the British East India Company interests—when we won that war, we established in the United States, a scheme which had been defined by John Quincy Adams when he had been Secretary of State: to define the United States as a continental nation, from Atlantic to Pacific, with northern borders, Canada, and southern borders, Mexico. That had been our intention. When Lincoln led the victory over the British and French, in the freeing of the United States, and of Mexico, from this oppression, the Unit-



LaRouche (with hands folded) was a featured guest at the recent Russian Academy of Sciences 80th birthday celebration for the prominent Russian economist, Professor Stanislav Menshikov (l.). Menshikov introduced LaRouche as his personal guest during the May 15-16 events, and LaRouche's vision of a great four-power alliance of the U.S., Russia, India and China for economic development, as opposed to Anglo-American plans for a "new Cold War" with Rus-

sia, shaped an impassioned discussion of the Earth's next two to five decades among the Academicians, Russian economists, and specialists in international affairs present. LaRouche was also in demand for a series of newspaper, Internet, and television interviews, as in his May 15 interview with the popular Russian web portal km.ru.

Coverage of these historic events may be found in the May 15 and June 1, 2007 issues of *Executive Intelligence Review*.

ed States emerged with a wave of immigration from Europe, with a transcontinental railway system and other developments. We emerged as a power which could no longer be destroyed by invasion of foreign forces.

We also emerged over the period 1865-1877, as a leading influence for reform throughout Eurasia. We had, 1877, Japan: an economic reform, organised from the United States. Russia, same period, organised from the United States, under Mendeleev's leadership. Germany, under Bismarck, 1877-1879, the Bismarck reforms, under the influence of the United States, directly, and Henry C. Carey in particular. And similar things in other parts of the world. We became a challenge, *not* as a threat to establish an American empire. We became a challenge, because we were promoting, in Asia and other parts of the world, the development of sovereign nation-state republics, which would use the advantages of our experience, for their own, independent development, and cooperation, and mutual defense.

To defeat this, the British Empire organised two World Wars, starting with the Sino-Japanese War of 1894-95. And the continuous war of the British Empire through its toady, Japan, between 1895 and 1945, was a continuous part of this process. The destruction of China, which *threatened* to become a great power, was one of the purposes of this operation.

So there had been a global struggle: We had one world war; we had a second world war, for this purpose! We went through a so-called Cold War, which involved the same issue.

We now have come to the final stage, of a threatened destruction of the world order, in order to create a New Tower of Babel, called "globalisation," or "global warming," under the leadership of these financier interests, which are imperial in origin.

"No Old Men Among You"

Now, this issue, is one that the politicians, the poor foolish politicians who run our country, refuse to understand. They have no long memories! If you read Plato's *Republic* and his *Timaeus*, you recall his report of a visit with the Egyptian priesthood, who said to the Greeks, "You Greeks are intelligent, you're fine. But you have no old men among you." By which the Egyptians meant, "you had lost your identity in the processes



The Brown Brothers, Harriman bank of Averell W. Harriman (above), was the world's largest private bank when it financed the Nazis' rise to power. His mother, Mrs. E.H. Harriman, was the chief financier of the U.S. eugenics lobby.

of history which gave birth to you." We, in the United States represent the outcome of the birth of European civilisation, a birth which was accomplished largely through the influence of Egypt, or certain forces in Egypt. This is where our science came from, which was called among the Pythagoreans, it was called *Sphaerics*, which relates to physical astronomy. This is where our culture began, as typified by the case of Solon of Athens, with the first conception of a true nation-state, a nation-state of the people. And our power has been, largely, that we have been, in the United States, in that conscious tradition.

The founders of the United States, the authors of our Declaration of Independence, the authors of our Federal Constitution, the leaders of the veterans of the Revolutionary War, the Cincinnati Society, all understood, that the root of our republic, lay in the precedence and lessons of Solon of Athens' reforms. Those are the terms in which they spoke of it. We were an attempt to free mankind as a whole, not by conquering it, but from the inside, from a division of mankind into two classes, of rulers and animals, human ani-



In Aeschylus' trilogy, the tyrant Zeus sentenced Prometheus to be chained and continually torn apart by an eagle, for giving mankind science and the arts. The financial oligarchy—and its "Global Warming" plot—is the Zeus of today.

N.Y. World 9-3-15

MRS. E.H. HARRIMAN BACKS A GIGANTIC STEP IN EUGENICS

Would Curb Defectives
Hundreds of Thousands
Over Series of Years.

TO MAKE RACE PERFECT.

Aid of Rockefeller and Carnegie Hoped For in World Wide Campaign.

A world wide campaign for the sterilization of defectives is called for in a report to the Eugenic Society, which has headquarters at Cold Spring Harbor, L. I., and is generously aided financially by Mrs. E. H. Harriman. John D. Rockefeller and Andrew Carnegie are expected to contribute to the campaign.



A prime example of the degenerate culture which brainwashed the Baby Boomers.

what is generally *accepted*, or will be generally accepted. You *know* the consensus! You don't know whether it's true or not. You know you have to obey it, because it's on top. And if you want to get ahead in this world, you have to submit to the consensus."

There is no question of certainty of knowledge, there's no scientific certainty in it. So therefore, what happened? We had, in our country, at the time that Roosevelt died, we had children who were what came to be called "the white-collar class," from 1946 through about 1958. And these young children, who generally would orient toward the military-industrial complex types of people and that sort of thing, became the "Golden Generation" of the 1960s. They no longer believed in science. They no longer believed in truth. *They believed in being accepted.* They believed in a consensus: a white-collar consensus. They didn't *like* working people. They didn't *like* farmers. They didn't *like* science. They liked mathematics, but not science, hmm? They liked to calculate... you know. They didn't like to earn money, they liked to grab it.

Anyway, so, they became a generation which exploded under the influence, from Europe, of the existentialist conditioning. And they exploded in the middle of the 1960s, following the assassination of Kennedy, which was a blow of demoralisation to the American people at that time; and the following of the assassination of Kennedy with the launching of the Indo-China War. This demoralised the American people. You saw the balls of rage rolling in the streets in 1968, in Europe and the Americas, and elsewhere.

So this generation, the white-collar generation, which *hated* working people; they hated trade unionists, they hated blue-collar people; they hated farmers; they hated science. Now, that doesn't mean all of them were against science, or all of them were against agriculture and industry. *But!* They understood one thing: They had no principle. They had a principle of "going along to get along," a principle of accepting the *consensus* of their generation, their particular stratum.

And this became the Golden Generation, which more and more, reshaped the country. ... And bit by bit, they destroyed everything. They destroyed agriculture, they destroyed our monetary system, on which our strength had depended. They destroyed the farmers, they de-

stroyed the industries, they destroyed science. And they got more and more power, and more and more fantasy. ...

We don't have a scientific-industrial capability any more. We have a little bit of it, surviving in the military sector, of military production, predominantly. We've lost it. We've shipped our industries, our agriculture overseas. We're destroying our farmers! We're growing crops to make *fuel!*—not to feed people, in a world shortage of food.

The Face of the Enemy is Exposed

So, we've come to the point, *the system doesn't work!* And the breakdown is now obvious. And the face of the enemy has exposed itself, in the BAE. And the exposure of the BAE, has come not from the Americans, it has come, largely, from the ranks of the British. The same faction in Britain, which opposed the global warming swindle. It's a complete fraud: There's no scientific basis for global warming. It's all a fraud, a hoax. But Baby-Boomers don't know any better! They keep suckin' on the bottle!

But, a group in England, in Britain, which recognises that the British Empire, itself, is sending itself to Hell, objected to global warming, just as they objected to this operation, this Iraq War, and similar kinds of wars; just as they objected to this kind of financial operation, the BAE swindle.

So, a section in Britain, itself, through the BBC, through the *Guardian*, and through others, made this issue clear! And gradually, this thing spread here.

We were on top of it, of course, from the beginning, because we knew it; we understood it. But up until about three days ago, you could not find any large constituency for what I'm saying now about BAE, in the Congress of the United States or in any other part of the United States—you couldn't find it. You had a *pall of stupidity and ignorance*, control the minds of the Senate, the House of Representatives, and more. That doesn't mean they're not intelligent people, but they *believe* in consensus. They believe in adapting to what they consider popular opinion. They believe in "going along to get along." They believe that so-called "traditions," confine what is allowed and what is not allowed in society: that you have to work within the bounds of those limitations.

And I say today, we're going to now have to proceed: Having it been shown that the

whole culture we have stinks and is doomed. It's a sinking ship, and don't try to get a better stateroom on the *Titanic* simply because some people are leaving it.

Therefore, the question is, the question of what is human nature? Why should we believe, that mankind, which has allowed this swindle to dominate humanity for so many centuries, that there's something in mankind today, that would enable people who have made the biggest fools of themselves imaginable, would suddenly become brilliant and make the right decision about the future of mankind? I have to tell you: On this question, I'm an optimist. I believe in mankind. ... And the question is, human nature: Is man an ape? [video clip of chimps] Now, is that man? It could be Frederick Engels, but not man! George Bush would give you a good imitation of that.

The Book of Genesis

All right. Now, we want to get to this question. The first question, is this first question which we put on the board, now. You had a book which was written a long time ago, it's called the Book of Genesis; it's called the First Chapter of Genesis. Now, in it, there are three sentences, three verses, which I want to call your attention to, and present these, not as some kind of arbitrary religious belief, of some Hebrews off there in the Sinai Desert (where they're not allowed to function, today, or something). Anyway, but, actually, as an observation by knowledgeable people, presumably Moses of Egypt, who, looking at reality, are describing what they see as the reality of the circumstance in which they're living. And they state: There are certain things we can see, and they sum up in these three verses. That mankind, as [Russian biogeochemist] Vernadsky would agree, from a scientific standpoint, mankind is not an ape, nor is mankind a form of animal life. We have a bodily form of animal life, but we also have powers, as thinking powers and creative powers, which no animal has.

These creative powers endow us with a certain quality of potential immortality. In what sense? That, we are capable, as mankind, of discovering the lawful composition of our universe. We call these "universal physical principles" for example: such as Kepler's discovery of the principle of universal gravitation, which he uniquely discovered, as a discovery.

And therefore, mankind, as having these powers, the power to discover universal physical principles, uses these powers to increase mankind's power to exist *in and over the universe*, as no species of animal can. Every animal species has a potential relative population density, which is characteristic of that species, which varies with the environment in which the species operates, but can not be willfully changed by a member of the species. Mankind is capable, through the discovery and realisation of universal physical principles, of changing the universe. And in these three verses from the closing portion of the chapter of Genesis, you have—just think, not of someone preaching a doctrine, or an arbitrary belief—but someone simply saying, "Here is what the truth is, about ourselves. Man and woman are distinct from all forms of animal life, in that they have these powers and responsibilities, in the universe, the power to *change* the universe for the better. We have

The Book of Genesis

[26] And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.

[27] So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.

[28] And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.



A member of the LaRouche Youth Movement constructs a model of the solar system.

a stewardship in the universe, that of mankind."

And therefore, human life is immortal, in that sense. ...

We all die. We all have human bodies, we die. The human body fails us, it quits on us. ... So the point is, is that humanity is essentially, potentially immortal: Because, that which is part of us, as human beings, is not merely this physical animal part that we inhabit. It's what we represent through such means as learning to re-experience discoveries of principle, and carrying them on and on to future generations. To building a better world, to building a better universe. To changing the universe, *simply in the same way*, that the writer of Genesis 1 depicts man's function in the universe. Not simply saying he's got some magical secret here: He's describing the situation of *man in the universe!* Man and woman in the universe, exist to do what? They have a mission, they have a responsibility. This is our mission! We have to make the universe better: We are the servants of the Creator, in making the universe better.

And how do we do that? By



Instead of rote memorisation, members of the LYM re-enact in their own minds, the discoveries of the greatest minds in history, including (l. to r.): Plato, Nicholas of Cusa, Johannes Kepler, Gottfried Leibniz, and Bernhard Riemann.

making discoveries which are called "principles of discovery." The principles themselves. And by mastering these principles, we increase man's power to solve problems, and *we live in those future generations*, which take what we contribute. And it's *alive* in them. The work of Plato, the work of Plato in particular, is alive in Cusa. Cusa is alive in Kepler. Similarly, Leibniz is alive in Cusa, and in Kepler. Riemann is alive, in all of these people.

Those who have done the great works of mankind, who have passed on what their lives have contributed to human knowledge and human knowledge for practice, live on.

In former times, we had an approximation of this: People would just go by the Book of Genesis, for example, or something like that, and say: "What are we living for? We're going to die. Well, we're living for"—like immigrants coming into the United States—we're living for our children. We're living for our grandchildren. We're making a society for our children, our grandchildren. We're making a better life for our children and grandchildren."

And this goes on, not merely for two or three generations, which is typical in our expe-

rience. This goes on for *thousands of years!* Look at the Great Pyramid at Giza. It was built, when? Somewhere about 2550 B.C. Well, that's a pretty long time ago, isn't it? 4700 years ago. How many generations is that? What about the discoveries that preceded the possibility of the building of the Pyramid of Giza, in terms of the knowledge expressed? What about the generations before? Aren't they alive? Isn't the effect of their living, alive in us, today?

So therefore, we had a sense of immortality, in the sense that *we were making the universe better*, for generations to come, and that we express our immortality in living on, in the benefits which we pass on to those who follow. This was our sense of identity, our sense of citizenship. ...

This sense of immortality, this sense of the individual mind as a creative mind, different than the beast, different than the chimpanzee, the sense of an obligation to do something with your life which is of benefit and realised in future generations; to maintain that which has been accomplished, to keep it alive, and to build upon it: That's what's

so that they no longer live in misery—they're no longer *compelled* to live in misery.

More important: We don't want to merely help them, like do-gooders. We must empower them to have a sense of their own immortality, their own importance in their own society. Do you know how many people live and die, with no real sense of human worth? Or a sense of human worth denied to them as a form of expression? Does it not bother you, that a human being is not able to *be* a human being? To sense what it is to be a human being? Can you sleep easily at night, if someone in some other part of Asia or Africa, does not have the right to a sense of a human life? Is that not a mission? Are there not many kinds of missions of that type, which inspire people to adopt these missions as professions?

And that's what we've lost. ...

Now, that brings us to some concluding points: The key thing, of course, is, to recognise this is the problem. We've come to the point, we've been a society of fools. We are travelers on

been missing in our society.

And the contrary is, implicitly the principle of slavery. The enemy of mankind has been a sense of slavery, the sense of slavery which you can read in the *Prometheus Bound* of Aeschylus. Mankind is forbidden to know how to discover, or use, nuclear fission power: fire. That turns man into a beast! The discovery of universal physical principles which improve man's power in the universe, to solve problems in the universe, medical discoveries—these are expressions of immortality. These are expressions of citizenship. A citizen is not simply a member of a club! A citizen is a person who participates in society, who's an integral part of the society, who's contributing to that society. And who anticipates benefits for future generations. ...

And so, we have lost that motive! We live on a planet—it's not a question of how to make a better society—we live on a planet of over 6.5 billion people. Many of these people are living in absolute misery. *This is not acceptable to us.* This may not be our country, but it's not *acceptable* to us that they live in misery. We have to change the planet, we have to change the organisation of the planet,

a ship of fools, called *Convention*; called *Conventional Belief*; called *Our Way of Life*. A ship of fools. Guys struggling to get a better stateroom on the *Titanic*, while it's sinking.

And therefore, the key thing we have done, we have allowed our people to become degenerate, as you can see on almost any television. Or you can see it by Internet, if you want to. We've allowed that to happen. We've lost a sense of life. We've lost a sense of a purpose in life, which is not mortal, but a sense of that which is transcendental. That that good we do, if it's well conceived, lives on after us. And the purpose of life, is to ensure that that happens. And to ensure that others have the *right to live that kind of life!* And that's what's denied. It's denied by an existentialist form of corruption, which has destroyed the United States from the inside.

Now! Since we are at the point that everything that people thought they had, in this society, is about to be taken away from them, by the circumstances typified by the BAE, you have an existential question: You want to die as a pig? Or live as a man?

And that's what politics must be, today.



The great pyramids of Egypt were astronomical laboratories.

LaRouche Youth Movement in Action

MISSION STATEMENT

By Rachel Affleck with contributions by Kelly-ann Paull, and endorsed by the LYM Federal Election candidates.

The role of Lyndon H. LaRouche Jr. and the International LaRouche Youth Movement in bringing down the Cheney/Bush Administration is imperative for the necessary steps to be taken to save mankind from the current economic and cultural collapse within the present, post-industrial system. The only thing that is going to save the world from a 14th Century-style New Dark Age is a cooperative effort among four key nations: The U.S., Russia, China and India. The role of these nations is to initiate a process which other nations will join to secure a New World Economic Order in which Sovereign Nation States shall co-exist, each working for the benefit of the other.

The drumbeat globally for Cheney's impeachment must be accompanied by a resumed sense of optimism. Ridding the U.S. of Dick as the American tool of the British Empire, will enable the U.S. to use its innate powers, laid out in the Preamble to its Constitution and in the works of Alexander Hamilton, to put the bankrupt financial system into government receivership, to protect the general welfare. This type of paradigmatic shift in the identity of the American population, as was before mobilised under the leadership of President Franklin Roosevelt, would see great partners in the nations of Russia, China and India, who, unlike their European counterparts,

are responding to this crisis by calling for massive investment in co-operative development between their neighbours, including investment in great infrastructure projects such as Russia's proposal for the Bering Strait tunnel, which has the potential to become a global trade route through the Americas, across Eurasia and eventually down to Africa.


Within this Four Power alliance, Australia has a mission, if the people of Australia choose to accept it: Australia can play a key role in developing the regions to the north of our great land that are suffering from a 70-80% poverty rate. LaRouche's Four Power bloc weaves the threads for an intricate tapestry of global development of sovereign nation states. These four nations cannot develop alone in this world of many cultures, but will anchor and also depend upon the survival and development of the nations of Asia and Africa. Australia can play a crucial role in Asia, in particular, if we mobilise our vast land and resources to mass produce the food and raw materials which Asian nations urgently require, along with clean water. For this we must revitalise our own collapsed agro-industrial base. Our responsibility to our northern neighbours is implicit in our existence; this is the role we have to play in the fight for the future existence of mankind.

"We must give Australia a purpose for existence, a cultural purpose for existence, in terms of the entirety of the Western Pacific and Indian Ocean Ba-

sin. Australia must make itself indispensable to the peoples of this region; and it can best do that by resolving to abandon the demontage, the destruction of its industrial and agricultural potential, the destruction of its scientific potential... and become an outpost of the very best which the Americas and European tradition have had to offer, to the benefit of all the peoples of this region, so that all of the peoples of this region will know that the continent of Australia and the nation of Australia, is a beacon of benefit to them all, which they will treasure, as they would treasure any benefit of that sort."

—Lyndon LaRouche to the 1993 CEC National Conference in Melbourne.

At present we are a nation so rich with potential, yet a people kept so small by the suppression of the unique republican identity within our own national history, typified by J.D. Lang, King O'Malley and John Curtin. It is the rekindling of these European Platonic and also Confucian Asiatic cultural roots within our great nation, that will give Australians the courage to break from neo-colonialism and to recognise the paradox implicit in our nation's existence: we are a nation with an immense potential, we are home to many language-cultures and can play a great mediating role within Eurasia as a whole, yet we are a very small people controlled from afar by the modern-day Zeus, the British Empire, and our population is poisoned by Dionysian irrationalism, fear of science and



Kelly-ann Paull, Rachel Affleck, Doug Mitchell, Lars Thyrstrup, Katherine Isherwood, Will Larnar, Andrew Reed, Aaron Isherwood, Khoa Nguyen, Kundan Misra

development, and fear of that which is truly human—anti-entropic development! Such a beacon of hope to the many peoples of the world we could be in this great land of ours, yet we are not sovereign, because we are not permitted to be by Her Majesty, and because we accept that role.

It is time for the Australian to fight for his Nation, to fight for her potential. With great potential comes immense responsibility. As a nation, through the employment of technologies such as nuclear fission, we have an ability to help ensure the survival and future modernisation of the majority of humanity now in destitute poverty. If we as people do not live up to our humane responsibilities, then we as a people lack the moral fitness to survive.

Henceforth, the Australian LaRouche Youth Movement is dedicated to creating a nuclear renaissance, and to nationalising and utilising Australia's resources in the tradition of Prometheus, to end our scientific and cultural drought. Let's give Australia an identity, and become a "beacon of hope" for the peoples of Asia and Africa. It will be through developing a culture dedicated to the "promotion of Arts and Science", in the words of the great philosopher and scientist Gottfried Leibniz, that we can discover "upon what hooks the stars hang to hold up the fabric of the skies", can discover the universal physical principles that can lead mankind to an Age of Reason. Now that is an Australia to be proud of!

The role of the youth generation is essential to make any sort of change. It is not going to come



The Bering Strait tunnel project to link the easternmost Russian region of Chukotka (l.) with Alaska (r.) in the USA is seen here in a photograph taken from space. The Russian government and Academy of Sciences held a conference on April 24 in Moscow, to put this "Intercontinental Multimodal Eurasia-America Transport Link Via the Bering Strait" on the world's agenda. Lyndon LaRouche was an invited contributor to the event, endorsing this great project as a pathway to new frontiers of technology and economic co-operation, and away from war. The railroads to and across the Bering Strait will complete the connection of the rail systems of four continents, while the "multimodal corridor" concept means building roads, power lines, and new cities, as well.

from the Baby Boomer generation, because they are too busy "on the Beach" (as in the hysterically anti-nuclear book and film of that name), "praying for rain", rather than using their God-given ability to make cognitive discoveries about the universe, and to apply them to the enhancement of mankind's ability to exist.

LYM CONFERENCE
The LaRouche Youth Movement is holding a youth conference on the 24-26th August 2007, to work through the sort of ideas that are necessary to build a sovereign nation in the tradition of Plato and Solon of Athens, a tradition later typified by Johannes Kepler, Johann S. Bach and Lyndon LaRouche.

LYM Breaks Open Global Warming Debate

The LYM intervention into the Fifth World Conference of Science Journalists at the Melbourne Hyatt from April 16-18, broke open the Global Warming debate in Australia. Reported *The Australian* on April 19, "Science journalists at Melbourne's Grand Hyatt knew something was afoot when a DVD of the contentious documentary, *The Great Global Warming Swindle* was slipped under their doors, along with a newspaper titled *The New Citizen*, from Lyndon LaRouche's rightist [sic] Citizens Electoral Council of Australia. Word soon began to circulate that the push was on at the national broadcaster, with pressure on ABC television chief Kim Dalton to screen the film."

The LYM's organising at the Hyatt capped a series of Australia-wide interventions into discussions on "Global Warming", beginning in March when they confronted ALP leader Kevin Rudd at ANU before his big business-dominated Climate Change Summit in Canberra. When leaving he was given a musical offering by the LYM chorus, singing "Al Gore is a fat liar" to the tune of *Ja und Nein* by Haydn.

On May 30, the LYM participated in a presentation by an Al Gore-trained ambassador, and one LYM



Members of the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) in front of the Grand Hyatt Hotel in Melbourne. (l. to r.) Lars Thyrstrup, Doug Mitchell, Adam Ellery.

member was prevented from asking a question, after proposing that Gore be given a butt plug to contain the carbon emissions released from his hedge-fund-fed mouth. This stirred up the audience to such irrational lengths that the police were called, and even those who wanted the LYM to speak were accused of being

"one of them".
The LYM and the CEC have circulated 525,000 copies of *The New Citizen* pictured above, and the LYM have issued an open challenge to debate on the alleged science of "Global Warming". So far, no one has had the guts to take up their challenge.

At its April 27-29 convention, attended by 3000 delegates, the California Democratic Party elected LaRouche Youth Movement leaders Wynneal Inocentes, 26, and Quincy O'Neal, 29, as corresponding secretary of the Filipino-American Caucus and vice-chairman of the African American Caucus, respectively. The election of the two LYM members to statewide office in the largest Democratic Party organisation in the U.S., is a lawful result of the New Politics, which the LYM unleashed by catalysing the Democrats' landslide victory in the November 7,



Wynneal Inocentes

2006 Congressional elections. The LYM's relentless, optimistic, polemical and often hilarious campaigning, particularly on university campuses, sparked a surge of young voters



Quincy O'Neal

to the polls. That election marked the emergence of the LYM-led youth generation to a leading role in U.S. and international politics.

Contact the LaRouche Youth Movement. Phone: 03 9355 8654
Email: lym@cecaust.com.au Web: wlym.com

ADVERTISEMENT

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Senate Team		Brand	Canning	Cowan	Forrest
Jean Robinson	Stuart Smith	Rob Totten	Brian McCarthy	Roger Blakeway	Ian Tuffnell
Fremantle	Hasluck	Kalgoorlie	Moore	O'Connor	Pearce
Paul Ellison	Neil Vincent	Ian Burt	Jack Harvey	Judy Sudholz	Ron McLean
Perth	Stirling	Swan	Tangney		
Orm Girvan	Keith Hallam	Norman Gay	John Garrett		

QUEENSLAND

Senate Team		Blair	Brisbane	Capricornia	Dawson
Jan Pukallus	Maurice Hetherington	Dick Thies	Nick Contarino	Bill Ingrey	Andrew Harris
Fadden	Fairfax	Fisher	Forde	Groom	McPherson
Ken Martin	Kevin Watt	Barry Rose	Danny Hope	Irene Jones	Geoff Cornell
	Moncrieff	Oxley	Rankin	Ryan	
	Liz Thompson	Brian Haag	Rob Meyers	Neville Solomon	
					Longman Jay Tunnel



Federal Election 2007

Citizens Electoral Council

AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

Fraser	Canberra
Jim Arnold	John Holder

NORTHERN TERRITORY

Senate	Solomon
Peter Flynn	Trudy Campbell

Fighting Platform

- The establishment of a New Bretton Woods international monetary system.
- The establishment of a National Bank and State Banks.
- The repeal of all Federal and State anti-union legislation.
- The repeal of recent fascist laws that have taken away the civil rights of Australians.
- An immediate halt to the privatisation of Commonwealth and State assets and regulatory bodies.
- An immediate moratorium on foreclosures of family farms.
- The elimination of the disastrous National Competition Policy at a Federal level.
- The elimination of the Goods and Services Tax.
- The reassertion of National control over Australia's oil and gas and huge mineral resources.
- A dramatic expansion of resources to State public health facilities.
- A dramatic upgrading of State and Federal infrastructure.
- A real war on drugs.
- The establishment of generous immigration quotas.

VICTORIA

Senate Team	
Kelly-ann Paul	Rachel Affleck

NEW SOUTH WALES

Senate

Ann Lawler

Aston	Batman	Calwell	Chisholm	Gellibrand	Higgins	Banks	Bennelong	Bradfield	Calare	Charlton	Dobell
Doug Mitchell	Robert Barwick	Sleiman Yohanna	Lars Thrystrup	Rod Doel	Katherine Isherwood	Khoa Nguyen	Gavin Spencer	Robert Butler	David Simpson	David Stow	Steve Hughes
Hotham	Jaga Jaga	Kooyong	Lalor	LaTrobe	Mallee	Gilmore	Greenway	Hume	Hunter	Lyne	Newcastle
Mike Woodward	Steve Lele	Pierre Curtis	Will Lamer	Kurt Beilharz	Chris Lahy	Warwick Hunt	Goran Reves	Lindsay Cosgrove	Daniel Albury	Graeme Muldoon	Andrew Black
Maribyrnong	McEwen	Melbourne Ports	New England	Macquarie	North Sydney	Page	Parkes	Paterson			
Andre Kozlowski	Rod McLennan	Aaron Isherwood	Richard Witten	Michael Segedin	Kundan Misra	John Culverwell	Richard Stringer	Tony King			
McMillan	Melbourne	Murray	Reid	Richmond	Robertson	Sydney	Wentworth				
Theo Aiblas	Andrew Reed	Jeff Davy	Hal Johnson	Graeme McCullum	Nick Tomlin	Adrian Ford	John Jamieson				
Scullin	Wills										
Simon Steer	Craig Isherwood										

SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Senate
Martin Vincent

TASMANIA

Senate Team			Bass
Caroline Lamer	Michael Phibbs	Adrian Watts	
Rob Lamer	Stephen Dick	Ray Williams	

Contact Details:
 Phone: 1-800-636-432
 Email: cec@cecaust.com.au
 Web: www.cecaust.com.au

FREE COPY*

For a free copy of the CEC's 48 page April 2006 edition (pictured below), and LaRouche's DVD call toll-free 1800 636 432 or send in this coupon to CEC PO Box 376 Coburg Vic 3058, and leave ALL your details below.



Name: _____
 Address: _____
 Phone: _____
 Email: _____

* Offer not available to existing members or people who have received previous free offers.