

Citizens Electoral Council of Australia



Postal Address: PO Box 376, Coburg Vic 3058

Phone: 1800 636 432 Fax: 03 9354 0166

Home Page: www.cecaust.com.au Email: cec@cecaust.com.au

Authorised by R. Barwick, 595 Sydney Road, Coburg, Victoria 3058.

Printed by Citizens Media Group Pty Ltd., 595 Sydney Road, Coburg, Victoria 3058.

Federally Registered Political Party

2nd of September 2010

Defeat genocidal push to depopulate Australia!

The correspondence between Craig and Dick

Dear Dick,

With your question, "Do you know of any creature in nature—other than humans—which increases exponentially in its own numbers?", you put your finger on the crucial issue. What you are actually asking is: "Are human beings just another form of animal?" Well, if they were, then mankind—which is less well endowed for physical survival in the wild than most animals—would never have expanded beyond his "natural" world population density, which scientists estimate at perhaps a few million ape-like creatures based on calculating the square kilometres necessary to support a single ape-like human being. The very fact that we are now approaching 7 billion people on this planet, proves precisely that mankind is not just another animal.

But you already know that, at least implicitly. When you were a young fellow, you were fascinated by the radio equipment of your Uncle Harold in your grandfather Cazneaux's house. But with your naked senses, did you ever actually see a radio wave? The sort of fundamental advances in science which allow human beings to support ever-greater population densities are always the result of creative hypothesising beyond what is seen or heard by the mere senses, which is to say by the use of the creative powers of the human mind above the sole reliance on sense certainty which keep animals limited to a certain fixed population density with respect to a given environment. Of course as the human economy grows over time, it necessarily begins to run up against scarcities in the raw materials upon which any fixed mode of production depends. But then, man makes a scientific breakthrough which leads to the use of new, previously unthought-of "raw materials", and therefore overcomes the previous seeming "limits to growth". (What was "iron ore" to mankind 100,000 years ago but just another rock?) These scientific breakthroughs in understanding and then applying the laws of the Universe is how we got from a few hundred million to our present almost 7 billion, because the nature of man himself is to exercise creative powers of mind which no animal ever has, nor ever could. And this process is indeed unlimited, because it is in the very nature of man himself.

Now, look at this issue from the most advanced scientific standpoint, that of the Russian biogeochemist Vladimir I. Vernadsky (1863-1945), as that standpoint is further developed for the science of physical economy by the Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. Vernadsky—who by the way invented the modern notion of the "Biosphere"—demonstrated that there are three different, but mutually interacting "phase spaces" in the known physical universe. In increasing order of demonstrable physical power, they are: 1) the abiotic ("non-living" rocks or crystals, for instance) 2) the biotic, characterised by the principle of life, and 3) the noetic, characterised by the creative powers of the human mind. Thus, just as over time the principle of life assumes increasing physical dominance over the abiotic, i.e. that the sheer mass of the Biosphere increases relative to that of the abiotic, so the sheer mass of the Noösphere (including the creation of cities, great infrastructure projects, worldwide modern agriculture, etc.) increases with respect to that of the Biosphere. Thus the

emergence and increasing dominance by mankind of the Biosphere, far from being some quirk which somehow got control and went wild, as sense-certainty-controlled Greenies maintain, is a lawful principle of the Universe itself! (And we naturally have responsibility for that Biosphere, to develop it. That's what great water projects, for instance, are all about.) And mankind's power over nature typically takes the form of his invention and use of ever-increasing powers of energy-flux-density, such as the transition from burning wood, to coal, to coke, to petroleum, to natural gas, to nuclear fission, to nuclear fusion, and on towards matter-antimatter reactions. It is only when that process is wilfully stopped, as in the Greenies' irrational proposals to outlaw the use of nuclear energy, for instance, that one experiences a seeming "population problem." The whole idea of "sustainable energy" or a "steady-state economy" is a myth, but one with genocidal consequences. In the real world, an economy is either growing, or it is dying. And what fool would propose the widespread use of "solar collectors" to produce energy (which cost energy more to produce, than what they return), especially when the incoming sunlight could be transformed into higher, more useful forms of energy-flux-density by the chlorophyll of plants and trees, which, among other things, would change weather patterns for the better? Thus, the widespread use of solar collectors actually degrades the Biosphere, not to mention that they are a deadly menace when installed on top of houses. [See article *Those deadly, green Nazi solar panels* at http://cecaust.com.au/main.asp?sub=releases&id=2010_08_26_Nazi_Solar.html.]

As to your other questions, on whether I wish to see 100 or 200 billion or even a trillion people in Australia, why look at it that way? Why not colonise Mars by the end of this present century, and then move beyond the Solar System out into our vast Galaxy, itself only one of hundreds of billions of galaxies? Raw materials are finite? "Living space" is finite? Viewing the virtually limitless expanse of outer space, who in their right mind could conceivably make such a preposterous argument?

Dick, the fundamental point here is that by virtue of using the creative powers of his or her individual human mind—if those powers are allowed to be developed, which they usually are not these days—each human being creates far more "wealth" than what he or she seemingly "consumes". So, the more people, the more "wealth". And, as you pointed out, as society advances, the natural tendency is for families not to have 12 or 15 children because of the high infant mortality rates in underdeveloped countries, but the far fewer per household typical of advanced economies. So, even on that elementary level, you are not looking at some "runaway population bomb". You want to slow down the worldwide birthrate? Then develop!

And in that regard, concerning the large-scale development of the Biosphere, have you had a chance to watch the NAWAPA video for which I gave you the link? [See *Interactive NAWAPA Map* at <http://www.larouchepac.com/node/15557>] I really suggest you take a few minutes to do so, and then tell me what you think, when you see what mankind can do to raise the Biosphere itself

to a higher level of development (i.e. “greening the deserts”, etc.) than it would ever have achieved on its own. Not to mention creating immediately 3-4 million high-skilled jobs to begin pulling America and the world out of this present deepening depression, indeed out what is rapidly becoming the worst financial/economic collapse in human history, with a staggering 35-40 million Americans out of a total work force of 153 million now unemployed, already the equivalent of the Great Depression of the 1930s. [See article here for documentation http://cecaust.com.au/pubs/pdfs/gallagher_ue.pdf]

As is happening in the United States, where one out of every 10 homeowners is now faced with foreclosure, Australians are losing their jobs, their homes, and their future at an accelerating rate. Then along comes someone who proposes something which many rightly suspect would make their already desperate situation far worse, someone proposing “population control”, involving further cutbacks in the economy, etc. Is it any wonder that many of the bumper sticker entries are not so “funny”, but that they often, in their own way, express rage more than irony? But we do have a number of very good entries, and if you have some good ironic ideas in mind, by all means please submit them. The entries will of course be judged “blind”, and it would be truly hilarious were you yourself to win.

There is much, much more I could say on these matters


of creativity, physical economy, and the Biosphere, but I hope I have given you some food for thought, and I look forward to continuing our dialogue. In furtherance of that dialogue, in addition to the link to the NAWAPA video and the article on the actual state of the U.S. economy, I will post you the CEC’s 2002 special report “The Infrastructure Road to Recovery—Let’s Build Our Way Out of the Depression!” I would very much like to hear your thoughts on the highly optimistic, eminently feasible proposals we sketch out there, which we are now in the midst of developing further. By your own account, you have spent hundreds of hours reading various books on alleged “population problems”, so I am sure you could fit a few hours into your busy schedule to review an actual, scientific pathway out of the mess the world presently finds itself in, one which has far, far different causes than “overpopulation”, and one which therefore has far different solutions than the anti-scientific, anti-human nonsense propagated by Prince Philip’s WWF and its clones—all of whom are funded by the very biggest of “Big Business”, in case you hadn’t noticed.

Let’s talk again soon, and thanks very much for your \$500 addition to the bumper sticker prize.

Regards,

Craig Isherwood

ENTER NATIONAL COMPETITION
\$500 award* for best Dick Smith bumper sticker
Go to www.cecaust.com.au
The competition closing date is Wednesday 15th September 2010.



Dick Smith has a burning passion for Australians