

# War Against the British Empire!

16<sup>th</sup> March— From Tunisia, to Egypt, to Libya and across the Middle East; from Germany, to Ireland, and over the Atlantic to numerous state capitols across the U.S., a mass political strike is presently erupting around the globe, shaking or toppling governments as it goes. There are no “local causes” for this global upheaval. Rather, the growing demonstrations and even revolutions all reflect a determined commitment by citizens worldwide: “We are not going to take it anymore!” That when pushed to the limit by soaring prices for food and other necessities (and increasingly, even the disappearance of food itself), by mass layoffs, by mass home foreclosures, and by ever-harsher austerity measures of all sorts enforced by governments which are either groveling before IMF/World Bank

“conditionalities” or which, in the “advanced sector” are pouring trillions upon trillions of taxpayer dollars into bailing out usurious, speculative banks while relentlessly slashing vital services, human beings will assert their dignity, and their right to a future—even at the cost of their lives.

In his *A Defence of Poetry*, the great poet Percy Bysshe Shelley explained the nature of such unique moments, when great masses of seemingly quiescent human beings are lifted by a power which they themselves do not really understand, when they suddenly become capable of “communicating and receiving intense and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature”, and of the most dramatic actions. Such moments unleash profound changes in the course of history, either for the



better as in the American Revolution upon which Shelley was reflecting, and in the birth of our own pro-American, anti-British “old Labor” Party in the mass strike wave here in the 1890s, or, frequently enough, for the worse when the people have no adequate leadership nor a practical vision of how to shake off the tyranny and to reconstruct their nations, as in

the bloody French Revolution of 1789 and ensuing decade of the Napoleonic Wars.

The present worldwide mass strike is responding to a single cause: the brutal tyranny of the British Empire, an empire not so much of 19<sup>th</sup> Century-style redcoats and gunboats, nor of a British population which itself is cruelly oppressed, but of a City of London/British

Crown-centred worldwide empire of *monetarism*, which demands that its banks be bailed out and its imperial system maintained at all costs, even by the mass murder of billions of human beings.

But that British imperial monetary system is now exploding, and it can therefore be defeated. The nature of that system, the nature of the worldwide mass strike now erupting against it, and the needed measures to replace it and to launch mankind into the greatest renaissance in history have been uniquely outlined by the American physical economist and statesman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., most recently in his 10<sup>th</sup> March Webcast, “Ireland & America”. [www.larouchepac.com] There, LaRouche reflected on the interlinked historic Irish and American republican strug-

gles against this British Empire, and on the Irish elections of 25<sup>th</sup> February which saw the ruling Fianna Fáil party, the largest party in the Dáil (lower house of parliament) for the last eight decades, but which had committed itself to bail out Ireland’s private (British-owned) banks at the expense of the Irish people, collapse in the worst defeat of a ruling party since the nation was formed in 1922. The republican Sinn Féin movement led by Gerry Adams, by contrast, which has vowed not to spend a penny to bail out the banks, more than trebled its seats in the Dáil to overnight become the leading opposition party in a struggle which is only beginning.

So far in Australia we have had just a brief taste of this process, in the fury which brought

*Continued Page 2*

## “We are launching a War of Liberation against the British Empire”

CEC National Secretary Craig Isherwood, delivered the following emergency Address to the Nation on 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2011.

My fellow Australians,

As I address you tonight, many of our citizens are in the midst of an unprecedented national disaster, in these floods which have devastated much of Queensland, northern New South Wales, and central Western Australia and our hearts and our prayers go out to them:

As we can only imagine the horror of those who have seen their friends or loved ones, even young children, swept to watery graves;

As we witness the loss of livelihoods, homes, and the very means of daily existence;

As we view the crippled infrastructure of our towns, cities and farms, drowned and broken by torrents of water that should never have been allowed to run wild;

As we mourn our farmers who, in a desperate fight against both Nature and the free-market, have taken their own lives;

We, with our Humanity pounding within our Hearts, must stir to action and declare:

Enough is Enough! These human beings shall not have died, nor suffered unimaginable misery, in vain. We must commit ourselves that *this shall never, ever happen again.*

The scale of the destruction is such that as of this moment, we must declare a National Emergency. We must immediately initiate a policy of National Reconstruction, one without precedent in the history of our country, because this disaster is unprecedented. Let us commit ourselves to rebuilding every city, every town, every road, every factory or workplace, and every farm that was damaged or destroyed, but let us build them much better than they were before. Let this be a turning point in the history of our nation. Let us turn tragedy into triumph, and ensure that those who died, those who have suffered terribly and are still suffering, shall not have done so in vain. Let us commit ourselves, as the best of our forebears did, to the principle of the Common Good, with a capital “C” and a capital “G”. Because *that* is what constitutes a nation: the commitment of its government, and of

all its people, to the welfare and advancement of each and every citizen.

Forget the so-called experts’ calculation of the damage—it is clearly in the tens of billions of dollars, if not \$100 billion or more. That’s just the damages, but if we are to commit ourselves to a policy of genuine reconstruction, then we must be prepared to spend that much and even more—whatever it takes.

Many will screech, “Where’s the money going to come from?” Well, let me show you something. [Holds up a \$20 bill in one

hand, and a lighter in the other]. If I were to burn this \$20 bill, would anything have changed in the actual physical universe, except a little piece of paper would disappear? What if I burned a million dollars? Would there be any fewer homes, farms, factories, or roads? (And I won’t burn this \$20 because that happens to be illegal.) Is money some kind of great god in itself, which we human beings must worship like a bunch of pagan witch doctors? Or, is it to be extended as *credit*, to increase the real physical

*Continued Page 2*



CEC leader Craig Isherwood emphasising that *money* is not wealth, it is just paper; in a sane world, it must be extended as *credit* for production, which is actual wealth.

### The Carbon Tax is British!

Julia Gillard’s carbon tax, just like the global warming fraud it pretends to solve, carries a big stamp: “Made in Britain”.

Both “man-made climate change” and the “carbon price/tax” are an invention of the viciously anti-people movement sponsored in post-WWII Britain by a British Crown/WWF-centred cabal of eugenicists. The British had invented eugenics in the late 19<sup>th</sup> Century as a doctrine of imperial rule, to justify mass genocide under the rubrics of “race science” and “lives not worthy to be lived”. In 1961, the notorious racist Prince Philip, British Eugenics Society President Sir Julian Huxley, and several of their eugenicist co-thinkers founded the WWF to carry forward eugenics “under other language”, in Huxley’s words, given that Hitler had temporarily discredited eugenics per se. The purpose of “environmentalism” is to brainwash populations into accepting their own mass murder, the “mass culling”, in the

words of Prince Philip, of the world’s population.

In the late 1970s, Huxley’s cousin and fellow eugenicist Sir Crispin Tickell used his position as principal science adviser to the supposedly “conservative” Margaret Thatcher (whom Tickell boasted he “persuaded” about global warming), to launch this cabal’s propaganda campaign to fabricate the threat of global warming and demand global action to dismantle the energy, water and other infrastructure essential to the lives of the world’s population.

Thatcher’s British government threw all of its financial and diplomatic muscle into making global warming “official”: it poured funds into British researchers at the U.K. Meteorological Office, under John Houghton, and East Anglia University’s Climatic Research Unit, to cook up computer models to “prove” the threat; Thatcher delivered a speech penned by Tickell to the U.N. General Assembly in

1988 demanding global action; she appointed Tickell British Ambassador to the U.N. (1987-1990), where he set up the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), and then placed the U.K. Meteorological Office’s John Houghton in charge of the IPCC’s all-important scientific assessment office, to “confirm” the threat.

After two decades of British-fabricated climate alarmism, the December 2009 Copenhagen Climate Change conference was set to achieve the British imperial goal of ending national sovereignty, through a binding global emissions reduction treaty and a global emissions trading scheme. The Queen herself led the charge, by ordering her “former” colonies assembled at the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting (CHOGM) in Trinidad and Tobago on 27<sup>th</sup> Nov., 2009—one month before Copenha-



gen—to lead the world on climate action.

Copenhagen failed spectacularly, because most national governments didn’t fall for the British scam to destroy their economies. However, as Gillard’s carbon tax attests, Australia’s wasn’t one of them.



Flood carnage in Toowoomba, Qld. The ALP/Coalition/Greens have no real intention to rebuild.

economy of our farms, our factories, our infrastructure and other tangible wealth, and to improve the lives of our citizens? I think my point is clear: the issue is not “money”, but the *purpose* for which that money is created and extended.

Now, for those who shriek “We don’t have a hundred or two hundred billion dollars!”, consider this: In 2002, the CEC released and campaigned for a National Infrastructure Program for Australia which included, among other things, 18 Great Water Projects, some of which have been on the drawing boards for decades. While these water projects, including the Bradfield Scheme, would not have stopped all the flood damage had they already been built, they certainly would have mitigated it. And all of them could have been constructed for an estimated mere \$40 billion dollars.

But even more to the point for those who might protest about \$100 or \$200 billion for reconstruction, did not the Commonwealth Government over the past couple of years extend \$850 billion in guarantees of *public* money, to the *private* banks? Did not the Government, together with the Reserve Bank and the Future Fund, inject another \$90 billion or so into the Big Four private banks, to prevent them from *collapse*? And why were these private banks going to collapse? Because they had gambled massively with their depositors’ money—gambling typified by their holdings of \$15.1 trillion in utterly worthless derivatives—and they lost. If you went down to the corner and lost \$100 or \$1000 on the pokies, would you demand that the government bail you out?

What if, instead of gambling, they had invested \$15 trillion into our farms, factories, dams and other great infrastructure projects? Would they now be in daily danger of shutting their doors? And why *didn’t* they invest their depositors’ money in the nation,



American statesman and physical economist Lyndon LaRouche.

instead of gambling with it?—“Ah, There’s the rub!”

We must fundamentally change the way our nation’s finances are organised, away from the present, privately-controlled *monetary* system, to a national banking-centred *credit* system. That is urgent not only to finance a sweeping program of National Reconstruction, but because the entire international financial system is now exploding in the worst financial and economic crisis in history, and *we will not survive* unless we return to a credit system of national banking—not privately-owned banks and a privately-controlled Reserve Bank running everything for the benefit of a tiny few, but a government-owned bank extending credit for the Common Good, for the real physical economy. If you think the Queensland floods are horrific, and they are, they are nothing compared to the atomic firestorm which will be unleashed when the present monetary system collapses.

This proposal for a return to national banking will unleash some real fits of rug-chewing, squawking like you’ve never heard. But we know how to do this, because we once had a national bank, until the private banks and the City of London destroyed the old Commonwealth Bank which King O’Malley had founded in 1912. The only ques-

tion is, do we have the wisdom, and the *courage* to do it again?

And that brings me to the central theme of my address tonight: That as of right now, if we are to recover and rebuild from these floods, and if we are to survive the present global financial collapse, we must launch a war of national liberation against what “old Labor” used to attack as the “Money Power”, but which is more rightfully called by its real name: the British Empire.

Therefore, tonight I am launching a “War of National Liberation against the British Empire”, to free Australia from this destructive parasite which has fed on us, drowned us, infected us, seduced us and conned us, long enough.

I ask you to join this War of Liberation, to fight, because I can assure you as we have already witnessed from the recent horrendous events, your life, and the lives of your children and grandchildren and those who come after, depend absolutely on us winning. In a sense this is nothing new for us as Australians, because this struggle for our sovereignty against the British Empire has been the central reality of our entire history as a nation as I shall demonstrate to you, at least briefly.

Many of our fellow citizens may whine, “Oh, the British Empire doesn’t exist any more”, or,

## War Against the British Empire!

From Page 1

down both Malcolm Turnbull as Liberal Party leader, and then Prime Minister Kevin Rudd over their British-authored demands for draconian “emissions trading/carbon tax” schemes to allegedly combat actually non-existent “global warming”. But we have seen nothing compared to what is now brewing. In our daily organising in the streets across this country, we in the Citizens Electoral Council and LaRouche Youth Movement increasingly hear the refrain, “We need an Egypt here!”; or even, as from one seemingly staid matron recently, referring to not only the increasingly despised Gillard government but to the entire presently ruling political class including the Coalition and the Greens: “I think they’re trying to kill us.”

Just look at some of the actions of this “ruling political class”: they are ruthlessly, relentlessly shutting down the Murray-Darling Basin, one of the greatest agricultural miracles in the world (the Howard Coal-

tion government, remember, wrote the genocidal *Water Act 2007*), even as over one billion people are starving worldwide and Australia’s own food prices are soaring, while our farmers are committing suicide and we are becoming ever more dependent on food imports; they are sitting by while the British-run Coles and Woolworths duopoly drives dairy and egg farmers (among others) out of business by the day; and they clearly have *no intention whatsoever* to actually rebuild the vast flood and cyclone-ravaged areas of our nation in Queensland and elsewhere, but will just leave them to moulder and rot and people to cruelly suffer and die, because “we don’t have enough money”. This, even as the ALP/Coalition/Greens have enthusiastically or otherwise agreed to bail out our major banks—the local arms of this British imperial monetarist system—with almost \$1 trillion dollars in government guarantees and injections from the Reserve Bank and Future Fund already, with more on the way.

As part of this worldwide revolt, this deepening mass strike in Australia already has some of our press whores, among others, squealing and peeing their pants, demanding that we submit to those who, indeed, are trying to kill us, either through malice or, for most of them, through just plain cowardice in the face of their imperial masters.

But, just as in the rest of the world (to which Australia is intimately connected despite our physical isolation), for this burgeoning mass movement here to succeed it must know two things: 1) the true face of its oppressor, the British Empire, and 2) the precise policies which must be implemented both in Australia and worldwide, to defeat this enemy. For elaboration on both points, we refer you in particular to LaRouche’s historic 10<sup>th</sup> March Webcast and to CEC National Secretary Craig Isherwood’s 15<sup>th</sup> January Address to the Nation printed below, along with the rest of this issue of the *New Citizen*. It is time you joined this movement—you really have no choice.

“The Royals are above politics, they’re just ceremonial” and other such nonsense. No! There is overwhelming evidence to the contrary, from both history and the present day, which only almost-wilful blindness prevents us from seeing.

The popular idea of the British Empire is that of the 19<sup>th</sup> Century when the British Navy patrolled the world’s oceans, and redcoats were dispatched across the globe to subdue restive colonies. But the British Empire was always primarily, as it is now, a *monetary* empire. It controls nations through the City of London’s domination of the global monetary system, enforced by “free trade”. These days, the British are just slicker in how they run their empire. They have duped or bludgeoned most of the world into embracing or at least accepting policies which will ensure their own doom, foremost among them two utterly evil doctrines: “free trade”, in all its ugly guises including globalisation, deregulation, and privatisation, and “environmentalism”. These are all *British* policies! All of them were provably *started* in Britain under the British Crown and the City of London, and that is who runs them still.


But now, particularly since the so-called subprime mortgage crisis exploded in August 2007, we have a new global strategic reality. And that is that this British globalist monetary system is imploding. While seeming to be at the peak of their power, with free trade and environmentalism ruling the world, the British are

in fact desperate precisely because their system is collapsing. And in that position of strategic weakness they are determined to crush any possible reassertion of national sovereignty by nations anywhere on the globe, as expressed through such means as national banking, tariff protection, fixed exchange rates, great infrastructure projects, and agricultural self-sufficiency. And it is that desperation which drives their attacks on Australia, such as their insane drive to depopulate and destroy our national food bowl, the Murray-Darling Basin, one of the greatest agricultural miracles in the world.

So, our War of Liberation has two crucial fronts which have to be fought simultaneously: one global and one national. As proposed by the American statesman and physical economist Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., a collection of leading nations, such as the U.S., Russia, China and India must join together to rid the world of the British system of free trade and globalisation, and replace that imperial system with one of sovereign nation-states anchored on protectionism, fixed exchange rates, and great infrastructure projects, and here in Australia we must liberate our own country from those same genocidal policies—two battle fronts in a single war. So let me now give you a quick sketch of the global battle.

But before I do that, I want to indicate the topics I will cover in this address, just to give you the “lay of the land”. First, we are going to need two kinds of

sweeping legislative reforms to get out of this crisis, whose essentials I shall explain. One is for the world as a whole (including us), and is called *Glass-Steagall*, modelled on the legislation by which President Roosevelt pulled the U.S. and therefore the whole world, out of the Great Depression. Next we have the extensive domestic legislation which we in the CEC have written for this crisis, which is already drafted and ready to go. Then I will give a brief sketch of Australia’s true history in this fight against the British, a good part of which you probably never heard before, either at school or in standard histories, which are usually just cover-ups. Then I will detail how the British over the decades since World War II just plain invented this outrageous scam known as “environmentalism”, together with a few fascinating, if evil specifics on how they are deploying it to destroy and depopulate Australia, including through all kinds of international treaties which we are then forced to adopt into our national legislation. The most blatant, scandalous example of this is of course the present, supranationally-supervised destruction of the Murray-Darling Basin under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands. And then I will conclude with an inspiring picture of what we can do to develop this great continent of ours, which we must adopt as our mission both for ourselves, and for untold generations of Australians to come.



The CEC’s proposed national bank will direct credit to develop the infrastructure and industries that form the productive base of the physical economy, which will put all of the unemployed, underemployed, and currently mis-employed (financial traders, etc.) to work in real, productive jobs.

## A Global Glass-Steagall reform

As we move into this new year, we face the urgent need for Australia to join Lyndon LaRouche’s call for a global Glass-Steagall bankruptcy reorganisation of the entire world’s hopelessly-bankrupt monetary and financial system. Without such emergency re-regulation, to stop the private bank bailouts—which are now at \$35 trillion or more and still rising—and instead to write off the speculative bad debt so as to protect the people and the productive physical economy, the world will plunge into a new 14<sup>th</sup> Century Dark

Age. That Dark Age, six hundred or so years ago, saw one-third to one-half of the European population die through plague, famine and chaotic violence. It was caused by an international monetary system run by Venice-centred financiers of exactly the same type we have today, in London and its junior partner in Wall St.

Venice was the international bullion capital of the world for centuries, and, like their successors the British, they used their control of the world’s monetary system to loot the physical economy through outright usu-

ry, speculation, and their control of international trade. This monetary system collapsed the *physical economy* of Europe and depopulated it, precisely as these same policies are today systematically destroying Australia’s agro-industrial base. The collapse of Venetian power allowed the emergence of the Golden Renaissance and the establishment of sovereign nation-states for the first time in history, beginning with France in the 15<sup>th</sup> Century and then England under Henry VII. But the Venetians gradually recovered much of their power

and moved enormous amounts of money into the Netherlands and then London, through the Dutch and English (later British) East India Companies. These were the largest private companies the world had ever seen. The British East India Company (BEIC), for instance, de facto took over England in the so-called Glorious Revolution of 1688 and then set up the privately-owned Bank of England in 1694 as the model for all so-called “central banks” in the world today, all of which are also privately owned. The BEIC maintained the largest army in



18<sup>th</sup> Century illustration of the British East India Company headquarters in London.

the world and it ran the world's dope trade, while its "court" (private board of directors) was drawn from the City of London's powerful banking houses and the Bank of England. In fact, for a century after the British defeated the French in the global struggle called the Seven Years' War of 1756-63 (sometimes called the "first World War"), the British Empire was run by this private monopoly, the British East India Company.

Today, this still-existing British Empire is centred on a cartel of Banks known as the Inter-Alpha Group, which today controls 70 per cent of all global finance.

Today's Inter-Alpha Group is the direct descendant of the BEIC, whose single greatest financial nexus since the Napoleonic Wars has been centred in the Rothschild family, not in themselves so much but as acting as agents for many of Britain's and Europe's oligarchical families.



U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt passed the *Glass-Steagall Act* to rein in Wall Street and launch America's economic recovery program, the New Deal.

## The CEC's legislative package

Here in Australia we must re-establish a new government-owned National Bank. We in the CEC wrote legislation for such a bank, back in 1994, and it is ready to go. Our new Commonwealth National Credit Bank would create hundreds of billions of dollars of new credit to fund critical projects, including the immediate reconstruction of all flood-damaged infrastructure, as well as the 18 huge water projects, high-speed rail and other national projects elaborated in our "Infrastructure Road to Recovery Programme." There will be no solution to rebuilding our country from the massive destruction of the floods and from the systematic destruction of our industries and agriculture by a combination of free trade and environmentalism, without dumping these British imperial policies.

A number of these water projects, which could and should have been built decades ago, would have mitigated the worst

of the Queensland flooding—for example, the Fitzroy and Dawson River project drawn up in 1931. A CEC government would also immediately implement the *Homeowners and Bank Protection Bill 2008* which is modelled on an Act of the same name drafted in 2007 in the U.S. by Mr. LaRouche.

This *HBPB*, which was supported by hundreds of local councillors and other leaders here in Australia, demands that the Parliament establish a Federal agency to protect homeowners and family farmers from unjust foreclosures, as well as to provide stability for the day to day functioning of regular banking, by placing all Australian licensed retail financial institutions under protection. It would then slap a freeze on all home and family farm foreclosures for as long as is required to adjust the values to fair prices, and restructure existing mortgages at much-low-

er interest rates. The adjustment process would write off all of the speculative debt obligations of mortgage-backed securities, derivatives, and other forms of Ponzi Schemes that are in the banking system, leaving banks to carry on the traditional business of day to day trade and commerce for their customers. To complement the *HBPB*, we will also implement the CEC's *Productive Industries and Farms Domestic Debt Moratorium, Amelioration, and Re-*

established by U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt in 1944 over intense British opposition. During World War II FDR had informed British Prime Minister Winston Churchill that he intended to eliminate the British Empire at the war's end, and replace its looted colonies with a system of industrially-advancing sovereign nation-states, anchored upon fixed values among all national currencies, rather than the "floating exchange rate" system which allows the British, like the Venetians before them, to drive the values of currencies up and down like yoyos, making a fortune in speculation.

At the precise time that Nixon ended the fixed exchange rate system, Lord Jacob Rothschild founded the Inter-Alpha Group as a massive pool of capital for precisely such currency speculation. Now comprised of 12 banks covering most nations of the EU (but with a web of interlocking ties to other banks worldwide), Inter-Alpha today dominates the world monetary system. Inter-Alpha members Rothschild, RBS and ING are prominent in Australia, and Rothschild has directed most of the worldwide public stealing known as "privatisations" from the era of the late 1970s Margaret Thatcher U.K. government onwards, including most of the federal and state privatisations in Australia since the late 1980s, including Anna Bligh's current fire sale of railways and ports in Queensland.



Lord Jacob Rothschild (right) set up the Inter-Alpha Group of Banks in 1971 at the precise time the Bretton Woods system was dismantled. The 12 Inter-Alpha Group banks through their web of interlocking ties to numerous other banks, dominate the world monetary system, and constitute the new British Empire.

After four decades of looting and bankrupting of nation-states, this Inter-Alpha Group is itself bankrupt, just as their Venetian predecessors, the old Bardi and Peruzzi banks crashed and burned in the year 1343, bringing on the 14th Century Dark Age.

To maintain its system of financial empire, especially since the present global financial crisis exploded in late July 2007, Inter-Alpha deploys its power-base among the interlocking boards of private and central banks and their subservient political parties to dictate murderous cut-backs by national governments to the living standards of their citizens, to pay for a globally-coordinated bailout.

Led by the U.S. government of British stooge Barack Obama, governments around the world have looted their citizens of more than \$35 trillion dollars, which was handed over to bail


out private banks. The December 2010 Irish bailout is typical: The City of London, the EU and the IMF forced the Irish government to hand over its entire public pension fund of some 17.5 billion euros, to slash the minimum wage by 12 per cent, public service pensions by four per cent, the public service itself by 24,750, coupled with a nine per cent rise in the VAT consumption tax from 21 to 23 per cent, and a 100 per cent increase in the carbon tax to 30 euro a tonne.

To stop that British imperial looting of every nation in the world, including Australia, we must adopt global Glass-Steagall legislation, as it was originally designed by Franklin Roosevelt and as now advocated by Lyndon LaRouche.

Passed in 1933, Roosevelt's *Glass-Steagall Act* reined in the vultures of Wall St., and al-

lowed him to organise a recovery of the U.S. physical economy, beginning with the great water project known as the Tennessee Valley Authority, the TVA, to pull the U.S. and the world out of the Great Depression. It forbade commercial banks from using their depositors' money to engage in speculation in stocks, bonds, and other paper, and thus re-established a sound banking system to facilitate the recovery of the physical economy. Under LaRouche's Global Glass-Steagall, any legitimate debt, i.e. that related to the physical economy will be preserved, while an estimated \$1 quadrillion in speculative instruments will simply be written off.

Sovereign national governments will then create huge masses of new credit to fund large scale infrastructure and other development projects, to start rebuilding national economies.




The CEC published legislation for a new National Bank and for a debt moratorium in 2001.

er interest rates. The adjustment process would write off all of the speculative debt obligations of mortgage-backed securities, derivatives, and other forms of Ponzi Schemes that are in the banking system, leaving banks to carry on the traditional business of day to day trade and commerce for their customers. To complement the *HBPB*, we will also implement the CEC's *Productive Industries and Farms Domestic Debt Moratorium, Amelioration, and Re-*

structuring Bill 1994 which was written to guarantee Australia's family farms and our national food security. And this debt moratorium will be retroactive, to provide justice, for instance, to the thousands of farmers driven off the land over the past decade in the Murray-Darling Basin, not mainly by the drought, but by British-dictated policies of green genocide and free market looting.

A CEC government would also ensure that farming en-



In 2008 the CEC ran a massive campaign for a *Homeowners and Bank Protection Bill* to freeze home foreclosures and to reorganise our bankrupt banks.

terprises and related businesses can continue trading, by extending very low-interest credit to tide them over for the next twelve months or so, while they get back on their feet. The tens or hundreds of billions that the government has used to bail out our British-controlled parasitical banks, will be redirected to secure the immediate future of Australia's food bowl and its communities.

All of these and similar measures are the pathway through

which we, in conjunction with a community of other nations following similar policies, can reassert our national sovereignty. Given their sweeping scope, you can see that this requires nothing less than a war of national liberation against the British Empire. We must name the enemy, if we are to defeat him. Our forebears fought this British Money Power, and only because of their victories, partial and incomplete as they often were, do we even have a semblance of a nation today.

## Australia's true history

Let me give you, briefly, some essentials of that history, in order to guide and inspire us in our struggle today.

The victory of the young United States against the mighty British Empire in the American Revolution of 1776-1781, established a form of government without precedent in world history. And the very essence of that new government, which enshrined its dedication to the Common Good, the General Welfare, in the guiding Preamble to the U.S. Constitution,

was the establishment of a *credit system*—not a privately-controlled *monetary* system, but a credit system, in which the government created and extended public credit through a national bank in order to build the physical economy of the nation for the benefit of all its citizens.

This idea of a credit system had deep roots in the history of the American colonies during the 17th Century, but its architect at the time of the Revolutionary War was Alexander Hamilton, who had been the aide-de-camp to General George Washington during the Revolution, and then served as America's first Treasury secretary under President George Washington. After President Abraham Lincoln led the Northern states to victory over the British-backed Confederacy in the U.S. Civil War of 1860-65, by aid of national banking, the U.S. economy grew by

leaps and bounds, and its national banking and continental railroad were emulated by other nations around the world who were trying to free themselves from British free trade, such as Germany, Japan, Russia and others, including Australia.

In the 1890s the founders of the Australian Labor Party took the American spelling, L-a-b-o-r, as opposed to the British, to announce whose model they intended to follow. Unfortunately, many in the labour movement capitulated to the idea of a "Commonwealth under the Crown", so we never achieved true national sovereignty. But the best of the old Labor Party continued the fight for sovereignty after 1901 in their struggle to establish a national bank. The leader of this fight was the Yankee immigrant King O'Malley, who announced in a speech in Federal Parliament:

"I am the Hamilton of Australia."

He was the greatest financial man who ever walked the earth, and his plans have never been improved upon. ... The American experience should determine us to establish a national banking system which cannot be attacked."

And, like the Americans, O'Malley and his allies knew perfectly well who they were up against in this desperate fight for sovereignty. In 1907, the *Brisbane Worker*, a newspaper owned by W.G. Spence, the great Labor organiser and founder of the largest union in the country at that time, the Australian Workers Union, denounced the enemy of Australia, saying: "The Money Power! It is the greatest power on earth and it is arrayed against Labor. No other power that is or ever was can be named with it. ... Yes, so far as we are concerned, the headquarters of the money power is Britain. But the



American immigrant King O'Malley set up a national bank in Australia, based on Alexander Hamilton's American system of national banking, as opposed to the British system of privately-controlled central banking.

money power is not a British institution [i.e. not for the benefit of the British people per se]; it is cosmopolitan. It is of no nationality, but of all nationalities. It dominates the world."

Led by O'Malley, a group of patriots in the ALP including Spence forced through the establishment of the Commonwealth National Bank in 1912

over the fierce opposition of the British-run Australian banking establishment and their allies Prime Minister Andrew Fisher and Attorney-General Billy Hughes. O'Malley gave an extraordinary five-hour speech in parliament on 30th September 1909 to mobilise support for his proposed national bank, from which I will read you a short

### New Citizen

Published & printed by:  
Citizens Media Group Pty Ltd  
595 Sydney Rd Coburg Vic  
PO Box 376 Coburg Vic 3058  
ACN: 010 904 757  
Tel: 03 9354 0544  
Fax: 03 9354 0166  
Editor Craig Isherwood

excerpt, which could have been written for our situation today:

"We are legislating for the countless multitudes of future generations, who may either bless or curse us. ... We are in favour of protecting, not only the manufacturer, but also the man who works for him. We wish to protect the oppressed and down-trodden of the earth. ... In my opinion, the financial policy of some of the States for the past fifty years has been either dishonest or incompetent. I prefer to call what has been done bungling incompetence. Their methods have paralysed the public conscience of Australia to such an extent that if you talk [about] any system of financial reform, or advocate the adoption of a better method of running the country, people reply, 'We are too poor,' and yet Australia is the wealthiest country on the face of the earth in proportion to its population. ...

"I propose the institution of a Government national bank for managing the finances of the Commonwealth and the States ... Cannot honorable members see how important it is that we should have a national banking system, ... a system that will put us beyond the possibility of going as beggars to the shareholders of private banking corporations? ...

"However great the natural

resources of a nation, however genial its climate, fertile its soil, ingenious and enterprising its citizens, or free its institutions, if its money volume is manipulated by private capitalists for selfish ends, its credit shrinks and prices fall. Its producers and business people must be overwhelmed with bankruptcy, its industries will be paralysed, and destitution and poverty prevail ..."

Led by the Anglophile stooge Sir Robert Gibson, the British and their private bank clients here seized control over the Commonwealth Bank in 1923 with the death of the Bank's first governor, O'Malley's ally Denison Miller. In his book, *The Great Bust*, New South Wales Premier Jack Lang explained the forces whom O'Malley and his allies had defeated, if temporarily, and how terrified the British had been of our Commonwealth Bank:

"The City of London for more than two hundred years dominated the financial affairs of the world. It had mastered the technique of the management of money. London was the exchange hub of the world. ... The Victorian era had been one of great commercial expansion. With that rare genius for political invention, Gladstone, Disraeli and other British statesmen sought a sub-

stitute for the old system of Crown colonies. They found it in the British Empire. The formula was to hand to the colonies the right to govern themselves, providing they did not break the financial nexus with the City of London."

"But," Lang wrote, "during the First World War the centre of gravity changed slightly. ... In Australia the war had been financed by the then newly established Commonwealth Bank. It had found all the money to keep the armies abroad, and also to finance the producers at home. It had financed the Commonwealth Shipping Line deal for Hughes. Denison Miller had gone to London after the war had finished and had thrown a great fright into the banking world by calmly telling a big bankers' dinner that the wealth of Australia represented six times the amount of money that had been borrowed, and that the Bank could meet every demand because it had the entire capital of the country behind it. The Bank had found £350 millions for war purposes.

"A deputation of unemployed waited on him after he arrived back from London at the head office of the Commonwealth Bank in Martin Place, Sydney. He was asked whether his bank would be prepared to raise another £350



NSW Premier Jack Lang, a firebrand in the fight against the Money Power, whose clear insight into the City of London's imperial agenda made him a threat who had to be removed. The British Crown sacked him in 1932, and an estimated crowd of 3-500,000 turned out in Sydney to protest.

million for productive purposes. He replied that not only was his bank able to do it, but would be happy to do it.

"Such statements as these caused a near panic in the City of London. If the Dominions were going to become independent of the City of London, then the entire financial structure would collapse. The urgent problem was to find ways and means of re-establishing the financial supremacy that had been lost during the war."

The British regained that financial supremacy when their stooges seized control of the Commonwealth Bank following Denison Miller's death in

1923, and they have basically held it ever since.

In 1999, the CEC published an extensive history of our fight against the British Empire in our Pamphlet, *The Fight for an Australian Republic: From the First Fleet to the Year 2000*. From the Irish and Scottish political prisoners who were shipped here in the First Fleet because they were pro-American agitators, through our greatest republican, the Rev. John Dunmore Lang, who mass-organised for a "United States of Australia" as a great new nation in the Southern Seas, through the best of the ALP founders

in the 1890s, through to John Curtin's break with the British during World War II to ally with the Americans, because the British refused to defend Australia, we document the noblest moments of our national history in this mortal struggle against the British Empire, and I greatly encourage you to read this usually-untold actual history of our country. But now I would like to shift gears for a moment and give you a look at the face and intentions of our enemy the British right now, today, to destroy this country and to depopulate it, just as the British have always done throughout their Empire.

## "Environmentalism": the new name for British genocide

The strategy of empires from time immemorial, such as the Babylonian, the Persian, the Roman, the Venetian and now the British, is for the ruling few to triumph over the many by keeping the masses dulled in mind and few in numbers. A population which is both intelligent, and growing in numbers, will inevitably shake off imperial rule, a reality depicted already in the 6th Century B.C. by the great Greek playwright Aeschylus in his *Prometheus* trilogy of plays. Therefore these empires invariably commit genocide as policy, whether through orchestrating endless wars in a divide-and-conquer strategy, or through just plain mass murder. But the trick is to get the many to willingly acquiesce in their own destruction, even their own death en masse, and that is why the British Empire following World War II invented the fake science of "environmentalism".

Just think for a second. What was the first major environmentalist organisation in the world that you know of, and where was it founded? Yes, the World Wildlife Fund, the WWF, now known as the World Wide Fund for Nature. *British*. It was founded in 1961 by three individuals, Sir Julian



Prince Bernhard and his reluctant letter of resignation from the Nazi Party, so he could marry the Dutch Royal princess who was to become Queen Juliana of the Netherlands.

Huxley, who was then and had been for quite some time, the President of the British Eugenics Society. Eugenics was invented by the British in the 1870s and 1880s, in part as response to the stunning industrial growth of the United States, and of other nations around the world emulating the U.S. It means the rule by a master race over "lesser races" which should be simply eliminated as necessary. Typical was Huxley's lifelong friend and co-worker, H.G. Wells, who wrote in his 1902 book *Anticipations*:

"It has become apparent that whole masses of human population are, as a whole, inferior

in their claim on the future, to other masses ... And how will the New Republic treat the inferior races? Well, the world is a world, not a charitable institution, and I take it that they will have to go."

But in the post-World War II era, Wells' mate Huxley lamented already in 1946 that the Nazis had unfortunately discredited the idea of eugenics and of rule by a master race, what with their concentration camps and everything. Therefore, Huxley argued, although eugenics must still indeed be carried out, it must be done "under other language", which will "make what is presently unthinkable, thinkable". That "other language" is environmentalism, and the biologist and zoo-keeper Huxley himself played a leading role in inventing this fake new "science".

The second founder of the WWF was Prince Bernhard, a former member of the Nazi SS, who was brought in on the WWF founding so that "it wouldn't look like a British colonial conspiracy", in the words

of an early WWF board member.

The third member was of course Prince Philip himself, who still runs it, and who also personally founded the Australian Conservation Foundation, of which he himself was the president from 1971-76.

In dozens of public statements over the past couple of decades, Prince Philip has repeatedly called for genocide on a scale which would make Hitler blush, for the world's present population of almost seven billion to be slashed back to two billion or even less. To him, man is no different than an animal, and to be killed as one. I quote:

"You can not keep a bigger flock of sheep than you are capable of feeding. In other words conservation may involve culling in order to keep a balance between relative numbers in each species within any particular habitat. I realise this is a very touchy subject, but the fact remains that mankind is part of the living world. ... Every new acre brought into cultivation means another acre denied to wild species."

For Prince Philip and his allies, the alleged "overpopulation" of the world—an idea which they invented in the first place—should just be

taken care of by mass murder. He made no bones about it at a press conference in 1988: "In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation." If you think he was kidding, you don't know Prince Philip.

Well, if you systematically cut back the world's industrial and agricultural base on a mass scale through both free trade on the one hand, but now even more aggressively through "environmentalism" on the other, then you assuredly will kill great masses of people. But this has always been British imperial policy! For instance, the man who first popularised the idea of "overpopulation" was the Rev. Thomas Malthus. And who was this oh-so-reverend parson? A lifelong employee of the British East India Company! Which appointed him to the very first chair in political economy ever established in Britain (or in the world for that matter), at the BEIC's Haileybury College, where he trained hundreds of British imperial administrators for India and other British colonies. Listen to Malthus, from his famous *Essay on Popula-*

*tion*, which many people cite so knowingly without ever having read a word of it, and which is the "bible" of the world's environmentalist movement, given that "Malthusianism" is the central tenet of the Green ideology in the first place.

"All the children born, beyond what would be required to keep up the population to this level, must necessarily perish, unless room be made for them by the deaths of grown persons... therefore, we should facilitate, instead of foolishly and vainly endeavouring to impede, the operations of nature in producing this mortality; and if we dread the too frequent visitation of the horrid form of famine, we should sedulously encourage the other forms of destruction, which we compel nature to use.

"Instead of recommending cleanliness to the poor, we should encourage contrary habits. In our towns we should make the streets narrower, crowd more people into the houses, and court the return of the plague. In the country, we should build our villages near stagnant pools, and particularly encourage settlement in all marshy and unwholesome situations. But above all, we



The *Illustrated London News* of 16th December, 1848 depicted the eviction of a starving Irish peasant family. The 1845-48 Irish "Potato Famine" was the result of British genocidal policies which collapsed Ireland from eight million down to only five million people. Now the British are trying to do it again.

should reprobate specific remedies for ravaging diseases; and restrain those benevolent, but much mistaken men, who have thought they were doing a service to mankind by projecting schemes for the total extirpation of particular disorders."

Population control—this was and is the heart of British imperial policy, typically enforced by a systematic policy of what the British called "land clearances", which they applied in Ireland, in Scotland, in India, and in postwar Africa among other places, and which they are now applying in the Murray-Darling Basin. Just look at the history. From the 17th through

the 19th Centuries, the English (later known as the British after their 1701 takeover aka "union" with Scotland) committed systematic genocide against the Irish. Under Oliver Cromwell's occupation and looting of Ireland in the mid-17th Century, one half of the Irish population died, mostly from starvation and disease. Cromwell's stated policy was to kill off many of the Irish, "replant" Ireland with Englishmen, and keep the rest of the Irish in literal slavery to grow foodstuffs for free trade export. The British military confiscated 80 per cent of all arable land in Ireland, while all of the corn, wheat, barley, oats, and meat the

Irish produced was seized by their English landlords in rent, leaving only potatoes for consumption.

Jumping over intervening British horrors against Ireland, that systematic 17th Century mass murder was repeated nearly two centuries later, in 1845-1849. The British again confiscated all food from the Irish besides potatoes, even when the potato crop was destroyed by blight. The population collapsed from 8.1 million down to less than 6 million; 1.5 million people died from starvation or disease; one million emigrated, often forcibly, and of those 500,000 died of disease in passage—Irish

emigrants of the mid-1800s had a Stone Age life expectancy of just 13 years. During the entire potato blight Britain maintained its huge export of oats, barley, corn, wheat, pigs, and meat, which British soldiers guarded against the starving Irish until the food could be loaded up and shipped out.

Then look at Scotland. For centuries the English had attempted to conquer Scotland. They finally did so with the so-called "Acts of Union" of 1707, following which they seized much of Scotland's food as "taxes". When the Scots rebelled in 1715 and 1745, the British instituted the policy of "Highland

clearances" in which they drove out or burned out hundreds of thousands of Scots, masses of whom died or emigrated, and replaced them with sheep and goats. In India, entire books have been written about how the British killed tens of millions of Indians during the 19th Century under Queen Victoria's rule, by withholding food supplies during famines which the British themselves had created by driving Indian farmers from their land, or forcing them to plant opium for export instead of food. In fact, the very term "conservation" derives from the British "conservancies" in India, the areas from which the British drove

the entire native population.

In the case of Africa, the British granted nominal independence to much of Africa after World War II, particularly after the "Wind of Change" policy announced by Prime Minister Macmillan in 1960. But they maintained control by setting the terms and prices for Africa's products through the City of London's control of the world commodities market, and by outright seizing some 20 per cent of the entire minerals-rich African continent as "nature reserves". The WWF even supplied the mercenaries and helicopter gunships to drive entire tribes out of their homelands.

## The case of Australia

But now let's turn to British policies of "indirect rule"—as they like to call it—over Australia, and to their genocidal intentions for our country, conducted, as usual under the rubrics of "free trade" and "environmentalism".

First I want to introduce you to the acknowledged "High Priest" of environmentalism in the postwar period. This is Max Nicholson, a longtime permanent secretary to the Privy Council of the British Crown, the ruling body of the British Empire. Nicholson founded the British Nature Conservancy in 1949, the "mother body" for all subsequent environmentalist organisations worldwide, and headed it for many years. Here is Nicholson's own account of the growth of environmentalism into its present world stranglehold:

"Here in Britain at the end of the 1950s the Nature Conservancy had fairly adequate statutory powers, a sound organisation and a small but growing income from public funds. We were worried, however, at the lack of a strong support movement. ...

"We recognised too that the threat was worldwide. It would be morally obligatory and also a

source of added strength to use our British base to build up an effective world network." [emphasis added]

So, Nicholson, and his friends founded the WWF towards that end, whose charter he personally drafted. And, like his mate and WWF-cofounder Julian Huxley, Nicholson was also an ardent eugenicist. The British already had control over the other major conservation body, the Swiss-based International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, the IUCN, for whom Nicholson had the British Foreign Office write its founding constitution. The man who came up with the very name, IUCN, Fairfield Osborn, was also one of the most notorious eugenicists of the 20th Century, while Nicholson chaired the IUCN's official "population committee", charged with figuring out ways to cut the world's human population. Among its other evils, the IUCN today de facto owns Australia's National Reserves, under which a staggering 12.8 per cent of the continent is presently locked up in perpetuity, which will soon soar to 19 per cent under the new international, i.e. supranational and British-written, Biodiversity Convention.

Besides bragging in his various writings that the British invented the world's environmentalist movement, Nicholson in 1970 wrote a book called *The Environmental Revolution. A Guide for the New Masters of the World*, whose subtitle pretty much gives away the intent of this movement. But in case there were any doubt, Nicholson, one of the world's most famous birdwatchers among his other hats, wrote in that book that, "the lesson has been learnt and unreservedly accepted that Ducks Unlimited means Sovereignty Superseded."

And along this same line of "sovereignty superseded", ask yourself, "Which was the first government in the world to endorse 'climate change' and to crusade for draconian measures to combat this non-existent threat?" Yes, the British under Margaret Thatcher. And the world's premier so-called "research body" on climate change just happens to be based in Britain as well, at the University of East Anglia, whose staff about a year ago were caught red-handed falsifying data to prove "global warming", and running terror campaigns against their opponents.

Once established, the various institutions of this British imperial Green fascist mafia cooked up one "international treaty" after another to allegedly protect this or that aspect of nature, but in reality to override the laws of sovereign nations, to seize their territory under supranational diktats such as the IUCN's treaties on "nature reserves", or to dictate how their territory may or may not be used, as does the 1971 Ramsar Convention on Wetlands which was personally organised by Nicholson himself, and which is now dictating how much water must be cut from our farmers in the Murray-Darling Basin.

The Murray-Darling Basin



The High Priest of post-war British environmentalism, Privy Council secretary Max Nicholson, who preached "Ducks Unlimited means Sovereignty Superseded."

## The ACF is British

The local capos who help enforce the diktats of this international Gestapo are led by the Australian Conservation Foundation, aided by Prince Philip's World Wide Fund for Nature. I will let you in on a little secret. The ACF is a British organisation, whose intent is to destroy what is left of our agro-industrial Australia. Just look at its history.

During the Royal Tour of Prince Philip and his wife here in 1963, he gave the orders to found a local branch of his WWF. Since some of the locals didn't want to be too obvious that this was all a British operation, they called themselves the Australian Conservation Foundation. The first Chairman of the ACF's Benefactors and National Sponsors

Committee, its fundraising arm, was Sir Maurice Mawby, chairman of Conzinc Riotinto, whose biggest private shareholder was the Queen herself. Philip put WWF co-founder Sir Julian Huxley in charge of setting up the ACF, and Huxley in turn deployed his student and longtime protégé Francis Noble Ratcliffe, then working as a CSIRO biologist, to do the actual legwork to set up the ACF.

Already in 1929, writing in a letter back home to his family in Britain, the Pommy immigrant Ratcliffe sniffed that he found Australians to be generally "low types", and that, "Unfortunately the independent, arrogant spirit of the people [Australians] precludes the possibility of a Mussolini. If one did appear, I may say, I



In 1999 the *Bulletin* magazine confirmed the CEC's 1998 widespread exposé of the Queen's hidden ownership of Rio Tinto, in an article about Rio Tinto's Argyle diamond mine in the Kimberley.

should be one of the first to put on a black shirt." But he put on a green shirt instead, just as his boss Julian Huxley and H.G. Wells had just done in the 1920s, when they led a pro-fas-

contains 40 per cent of Australia's farms, 75 per cent of all of Australia's irrigated crops, and grows 30 per cent of all Australia's food as well as food for some 50-60 million other human beings. If you want to kill a lot of people, both in Australia and worldwide, just shut down the Basin. But its intended destruction, horrible as it is and about which I will say more in a minute, is only one part of a wider British intent to lock up most of Australia from agro-industrial development under one ruse or another. If you look across the whole continent of Australia you see this destruction in progress through such

actions as:

- Taking vast swaths of land out of past or potential future production, under the pretexts of ever-expanding, IUCN-administered "nature reserves", or Ramsar "Wild Rivers" and "wetlands" legislation, and also "Aboriginal land rights" (though Aborigines themselves are invariably forbidden from developing their "own" lands);
- Through the legal prohibition on farmers clearing brush from their own lands or of building dams on their properties, together with the governmental refusal to build public dams in an often drought-stricken country

and this despite the existence of enormous seasonal water flows;

- Through the elimination of the single desk Wheat Board in the face of the British multinational domination of the grain trade, as well as the systematic driving down of "farm-gate" prices paid by the Coles/Woolworths cartel;
- Through jacking the prices of cartel-produced fertilisers through the roof while shutting down any domestic fertiliser production;
- Through the present general refusal by the banks to extend agricultural credit to often-desperate but otherwise credit-worthy farmers.

for cutting 3,000 gigalitres of water from the Basin, the ACF calls for cutting 7,600. Where Prince Philip calls for cutting the global population down to 1-2 billion or less, the ACF's leadership—which is largely the same as the leaders of Sustainable Population Australia—call for slashing Australia's population, as in Greenie and SPA board member Tim Flannery's call to cut our population from 22.5 million down to only 5-6 million. That's the real meaning of the fashionable new buzzword, "sustainable population". It is the size of the population which the British Empire chooses to sustain. And by shutting down the Murray-Darling Basin they not only cut off our own food supply, but also that of some 50-

60 million other human beings. Since this takes place in a time of severe international food shortages, it will clearly result in pre-calculable mass death.

The sad reality is that many who claim to be Australians, or might even have been born here, but who are members of the British cults of "free trade", or of "environmentalism", are just bootlickers of their British masters, just like that inflated buffoon Sir Robert Menzies, who in the 1930s proclaimed that he would rather see "every Australian die of starvation" than hold up a single payment to British bondholders, as NSW Premier Jack Lang was then doing in order to feed the people of New South Wales. It is the British Empire looting us once again, just wearing a new costume.

cist, pro-Greenie cult called the Kibbo Kift, but whose paradigm militants were popularly known as "the Green Shirts". When the Murray-Darling Basin Authority (MDBA) calls

# Land Clearance in the MDB: The Rizza Report

But let us now turn to perhaps the single most blatant assault on not only our sovereignty, but even our very existence,—the British imperial plot to just plain shut down virtually all agriculture in the Murray-Darling Basin. Because don't kid yourself, that is exactly what is under way. And it is not only "irrigated agriculture", but virtually all agriculture under one pretext or another, such as the "dryland salinity" excuse to shut down any non-irrigated agriculture in the Basin. And this intent is quite open. Let me read to you a brief quote from "Australia's National Report to the 2002 Ramsar convention in Spain":

"The Murray-Darling Basin Commission (MDBC), in conjunction with the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), have commenced a program in the MDB entitled the Heartlands Initiative. *The MDBC have recognised that traditional broad-acre agricultural systems are*

systems have yet to be identified." [emphasis added]

Now contrary to popular belief, shutting down virtually all agriculture in the Basin does not depend on whether the MDBA's so-called *Guide* is adopted, or not. *It is happening right now.* As part of the ongoing MDB "Land Clearance" programme dictated at the top by the Ramsar Treaty, the City of London-directed Australian banking cartel is poised to call in huge numbers of their loans to farmers and small businesses in the MDB, thereby crushing family farms and communities and destroying Australia's national food security.

In fact, that mass foreclosure process is already well under way, as is abundantly documented in the MDBA's own recently published "Rizza report".


Aided by former Babcock & Brown water speculators and the so-called Wentworth Group of Concerned Scientists, and cheered on by Prince Philip's Australian Conservation Foun-

er and water speculator by trade), all of the banks stated that they would regard water allocation cuts of 20 per cent or more as the equivalent of "permanent drought", and as such, would invariably trigger the MAE clause in most loans. The banks can classify whatever they feel like as MAEs, including even the prospect of an MAE as one, whether or not the prospective event even happens. But, as they have informed the MDBA, at the top of the list of prospective MAEs is *the release of the MDBA's Guide itself*, which thereby renders virtually every farm and small business loan in the Basin legally defenceless against immediate foreclosure by the banks—regardless of whether or not they are repaying their loans.

So, all the hoopla about "community consultations", parliamentary or whatever other "hearings" about, "revising the MDB Plan", and especially Federal Independent MP Tony

destroy our national economic development is hardly their only recent blatant intervention into our affairs. After all, Prince Philip himself flew into Tasmania in the early 80s to help stop the Franklin Dam from being built. And though Gough Whitlam certainly had his faults, when his Government under the leadership of Deputy Premier and Treasurer Jim Cairns and Minister of Minerals and Energy Rex Connor planned to "buy back the farm" from the British raw materials cartel typified by the Queen's Rio Tinto in order to develop our vast continent, the Crown ordered Whitlam sacked. The notion that the former MI6 agent and longtime British buttlicker Governor-General John Kerr "acted on his own" to sack Whitlam is a fairy tale for little children. In fact, the Queen showered him with all kinds of new honours immediately afterwards.

In the years since Whitlam was sacked Australia has become ever more a colony of the



To help stampede the banks into calling in their loans in the Basin—in order to shut it down with or without any final "Plan"—the MDBA deployed former Babcock & Brown water speculator Adrian Rizza to brief them on its planned savage water cutbacks.

doctrinated in British ideologies at Oxford or Cambridge, or by Her Majesty's Fabian Society, or who were just plain bought and paid for, should rule their nations, but on behalf of the Empire, which would now be renamed the Commonwealth, as indeed happened.

This report argued that Britain should use its extensive cultural and business networks of the Commonwealth as a launching pad to penetrate every corner of the globe. The methods to be used, the report emphasised, were "deregulation", "privatisation", and "free trade." And since the biggest source of remaining wealth in the world was now Asia, Britain should turn from a preoccupation with Europe, towards the Asia/Pacific region. And Australia, the report specified, should be the headquarters for all this.

Already by 1994, 130 British-owned companies had set up their Asia/Pacific headquarters in Australia, and hundreds more have done so since, such that today more than 2,000 companies from the United Kingdom and Australia conduct daily business.

By 2009, the U.K. with its population of only 62 million had the highest total foreign investment in Australia of any country, at \$498 billion, which was up from only \$74.5 billion in 1994, the year before the report was written—a seven-fold increase. And this total was more than even the United States, with its population of 302 million, invested here. All in all, things have not changed

much—except to get worse—from the 1930s when John Curtin's mentor Frank Anstey wrote an entire book detailing, step by usurious step, the stranglehold which British finance held over virtually all of Australia's agriculture and industry. Typical of modern British looting is the mining boom of the past decade or more, which benefits almost solely the British mining cartel, typified by BHP Billiton's unprecedented record profits last year of \$16 billion, the same BHP which has been the leading corporate funder of the "wetlands" scam being used to shut down the Murray-Darling Basin.

And as far as the Commonwealth goes, you may have noticed that Queen Elizabeth II, this august personage perched there on her throne higgghhh above any demeaning involvement in the cut-and-thrust of daily politics, issued a virtual ultimatum in her keynote harangue to the late November 2009 meeting of CHOGM. She demanded at the very outset of her speech, that the Commonwealth must lead the charge globally, as in the then-upcoming Copenhagen Conference on Climate Change, to ram through binding, draconian restrictions on the world's industry and agriculture, "to stop climate change". Meanwhile, back here in Australia, the "miners", BHP Billiton, Rio Tinto et al., are presently leading the crusade for precisely such binding, legislative restrictions, with Alcoa, like BHP, also chipping in funding to "save the wetlands".



Gough Whitlam, being sacked by the Queen in 1975.

largely unsustainable in many areas of [the] Basin, resulting in degraded natural ecosystems including the riverine environment and its associated wetlands. The deterioration of natural resource condition [sic] is threatening the viability of farming enterprises and the survival of the related rural communities. As a consequence, *large-scale land use change is required.* However, many farming enterprises do not have sufficient cash surplus to invest in new production systems and for many areas, sustainable productive

datation, the MDBA and most of the nation's banks have been involved for many months in a plot to use this past October's release of the MDBA's *Guide to the proposed Basin Plan*, to trigger what are called "Material Adverse Event" (MAE) clauses written into almost all loans. These MAEs empower the banks to immediately call in those loans, if they deem the borrowers' prospects of repaying the loans to have changed as a result of an MAE, and that is now happening.

When surveyed by Rizza (himself a merchant bank-



The New Citizen's 1995 exposé of British imperial plans for Australia.

Windsor's upcoming meetings to discuss the "social impact"—a fraud. As many people already suspect, they are an orchestrated dog-and-pony show to appease the public—and the banks' intended victims—whilst the mass foreclosures proceed.

Both the Government and Opposition know this full well because they have debated the "Rizza report" in Parliament, even while covering up its actual stunning import so few would bother to read it.

The British use of their Ramsar treaty to halt and then

British. I'll give you one telling example, among a multitude of such. In 1995, the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) in London issued a report entitled, *Economic Opportunities for Britain and the Commonwealth.* The RIIA, as is well known, was founded by the old Round Table imperialists around Cecil Rhodes and the Rothschild family, who argued that the old form of British imperialism through gunboats and redcoats was too crude for the modern era, and should be replaced by "indirect rule", in which local leaders in-

## Rebuild our nation better than before

But let me turn now, in conclusion, to what we must do, and how we must conceive of ourselves, if we are to throw off the financial and ideological shackles of the British Empire. Because that Empire, like all others throughout history, can only exist if people view themselves as being no different than animals, that we see ourselves as being in a perpetual competition with all other animals, fighting each other, and nature, for survival. This was Darwin's bestial viewpoint, which he admitted was inspired mainly by the writings of the lifelong British East India Company employee, Par-

son Thomas Malthus. His Royal Virus Prince Philip and other like-minded viruses, believe, as representatives of the British Empire, that they should have the sole power to determine who shall live and who shall be culled like common cattle, allegedly to "preserve Nature", i.e. to preserve their own, presumably God-given power to rule. Thus they imitate the almighty Zeus of Greek mythology for forbidding man the use of fire, or, today, nuclear power.

But contrary to quack British scientists, the Universe is not fixed, nor does it run down according to the so-called Sec-

ond Law of Thermodynamics like some machine, but in fact it constantly develops to higher levels.


For instance, many billions of years ago, there was just the Sun spinning around all by its lonesome self, with no accompanying planets, no solar system. But the Sun then spun out fiery material which later coalesced as the planets of our solar system, each of which now has a far greater range of elements than does the Sun itself, i.e. they are more complex, more highly developed, and the Earth is the most complex of all in that it supports life. And even among Earth's living processes, we human beings are unique in that we willfully participate in that process of upward development, the development of the Biosphere itself, which no animal could possibly do.

We have been endowed with *God-like* creative powers of mind, which enable us to make discoveries of physical principles such as gravity, principles which are hidden-to-the-senses so no animal could ever discover them, but which nevertheless run the universe. We

discover them and learn how to apply them, and thus participate in this great and endless unfolding process of creation. That is, we are co-creators with the Creator himself. This is not religion as many might think. This is science, though the great religions of Judaism, Christianity and Islam rightfully recognise this God-like quality within man and therefore teach, that man is created *imago viva Dei*—in the living image of God.

Human beings therefore do not *have* to be captive to merely their senses, like the animals, although the British oligarchy has done everything in its power over the centuries, to "bring out the beast" in us all.

Our senses are merely instruments which are tuned to receive the sensations emanating from the interaction of many "unseen" processes which actually do govern the Universe. Therefore, although we too have senses like the animals, we can also discover and even deploy these unseen lawful processes, these universal laws, as no animal can. For example, we do not *see* gravity, but merely its effects,



The Yeonggwang nuclear power station, South Korea.

such as an object falling to the ground. But we, like no animal, can take the discovery of those principles and create scientific and technological processes which can then change the state of the Biosphere itself, and therefore the current or future state of nature. No animal has that power. No animal has creative reason.

Let me give you an example. The Wivenhoe Dam northwest of Brisbane that was built in 1984 to help prevent Brisbane flooding, [notwithstanding ongoing investigations into its management during the floods] may well have played a crucial role in mitigating the full extent of the current disas-

ter. It is a classic example of the brilliance of man's creativity applied into the modern technology of dam-building. That dam illustrates that human beings are uniquely capable of "imagining a new state of the universe", and we can bring that new state into existence.

So instead of being victims of nature, and of the British, we, by virtue of exercising our creative human powers of thinking, can control and change the Biosphere. We can prevent the catastrophes that Mother Nature throws at us, and which are no good for the Biosphere either, as witness the mass death of animals, the washing away of untold



The Snowy Mountains Scheme.

tonnes of topsoil and so forth in the recent floods. After all, Mother Nature has demonstrated that she can be a real bitch, as any Queenslander could tell you today.

The CEC has stated many times now, that to drought-proof and flood-proof Australia, what is required is not merely a few big dams here and there (although that would at least be a good start), but a true science-driver approach to infrastructure development. That means that we have to wilfully engineer the Biosphere itself, and to capture the imagination of our people to "imagine a new state of the universe". We need to place the challenges before our engineers, and say "do it", "discover how to do it" and let them create the solutions to the water projects. And as history shows, like the marvel of putting men on the moon, (which we have lost the ability to do today), we can conquer ever-greater frontiers, including space.

In our enormous country we need thousands of physical improvements along the thou-

sands of kilometres of our waterways. We need canals, pipelines, dams, weirs, barrages, levee banks, ring tanks, diversions, and even massive man-made lakes in remote areas using controlled peaceful nuclear explosions. On the latter, plans were already developed in the 1960s to create huge freshwater lakes around the Birdsville region of Queensland that would hold many thousands of gegalitres of water.

We need a creative, Snowy Mountain scheme-approach to the development of our whole country! We led the world in hydro-electric power generation and hard-rock tunnelling technology but it was all shut down 40 years ago. Again, look at the British hand here. One of the key people responsible for shutting down the post-war reconstruction projects of Curtin and Chifley was the man who replaced His Royal High Prince Philip as head of the Australian Conservation Foundation, the London School of Economics-trained Fabian H.C. Nugget Coombs. A self-proclaimed member of the "in-

ternational freemasonry of central bankers", Coombs as Minister of Reconstruction sabotaged most of Curtin and Chifley's intended postwar great projects. He later became the first Governor of Australia's Reserve Bank in 1960, a privately-controlled bank which replaced the public Commonwealth Bank. Then he suddenly quit as head of the Reserve Bank to become the "father of Aboriginal Land Rights" Why? Because he loved Aborigines?

So we need to actually do what Curtin and Chifley had intended, and much more. With thorough planning and real science—instead of this idiotic British fake science of "environmentalism"—we can change the direction of the flow of entire rivers, store massive amounts of water in times of prolonged drought, and then transform the deserts into huge food bowls to massively expand food production, not just for Australia but for the world.

We will ban all water trading instruments in the Murray-Darling Basin, and give the farmers their money and



their water back. We won't refund the speculators. They can go to hell where they belong. We will eliminate the Nazi-like Murray-Darling Basin Authority, and begin to immediately rebuild the MDB by bringing more water into the whole system. Combined with the debt moratorium I have already announced, and a flood of new credit from the new Commonwealth National Credit Bank, we will rebuild the entire MDB and other disaster-affected areas to a superior standard than they were before, and support them by funding the best research possible for techniques to improve soil and water quality throughout the nation. And we will reform that once-respected but presently lunatic, pro-Greenie outfit whom you may have heard of: the [C]onspired [S]leazy [I]diotic [R]efflux [O]rganisation.

And you are not so stupid as to believe in such British tripe as "river health" or "environmental flows" are you? Well, I have heard that a new organisation has just been founded. It is called "Birds Against Wetlands"—they have some rather strong opinions on these matters.

We will no longer waste valuable fresh water in the Coorong Lakes/Lake Alexandrina region which the Ramsar convention is now using as a battering ram to destroy the entire basin, and lock it up as a National Park. It is insane that this region demands 2,500 gegalitres of fresh water to keep these shallow, previously salt-lakes, full. The high evaporation rates of these artificial fresh water lakes means valuable water to grow food, simply dissipates for no useful purpose whatsoever.

For millions of years the lakes in this region were salt water lakes. So let us truly re-

habilitate the environment, and put it back the way it was. We will dam the water at the entrance of Lake Alexandrina to provide a source of fresh water for the region, but otherwise will open up the barrages and fill the lakes with the sea. In the Coorong, we will dig at least two canals to the ocean to flush out the lakes with fresh sea water to overcome the hypersalinity problem.

To increase the water for the MDB, we will build the Bradfield Scheme, which, when every other part of the country is in drought will be able to provide huge volumes of water through a network of canals and pipelines to the upper Darling River system.

We will build the Clarence Scheme. We will redirect just a small volume of water compared with the millions of litres that have just poured out to sea flooding many of the lower lying towns and communities, and turn that water into hydro-electric power and a source of water for the Murray-Darling Basin. Building the Clarence would provide a massive injection of real infrastructure-derived wealth to the region, not to mention thousands of skilled, high-paying jobs.

The citizens of Grafton who bit their fingernails this last week as they watched the Clarence River in full flood peak at 7.6m, just centimetres short of the 8m levee bank, need to start a new campaign: Instead of "Not one drop", it should be "Not one dropkick". That's the answer to this Greenie lunacy that "Not one drop of this river will be diverted for productive use!"

Over in WA, we will build the Fitzroy River scheme, and tame the other massive wild rivers like the Gascoyne and Murchison for potential irrigation in the deserts of the sur-

rounding regions.

We have dozens of these types of water projects around Australia that can and need to be built.

We have to combine these water developments with the development of nuclear power systems and dump the fraudulent green agenda of wind turbines and solar energy, which cost more to build than the value of the power they deliver, while killing massive numbers of birds in the case of windmills, and of degrading the biosphere in the case of large arrays of solar collectors. LaRouche's young scientific associates have developed an excellent video on that matter [Why Green Plants Hate Solar Cells: An Interview with Sky Shields], which I recommend to you. It's real science, not British quackery. We need to build high-speed transportation corridors to help redevelop our broken down rural towns, and construct new rural towns and cities.

I have spoken of these great projects and developments at length in other forums, and I won't elaborate them now. They are available on our website (www.cecaust.com.au).

**Conclusion**

Twenty-two years ago, when I helped found the CEC, the issue then, as now, was this: Are we as a people willing to fight for true National Sovereignty, or will we continue to grovel like slaves?


Are we a nation that cherishes its people, and develops their full creative potentials?

A nation which in that noble pursuit develops its vast resources for the benefit of posterity?

A nation that will not tolerate the present virtual mass epidemic of rural suicide by human beings abandoned by a government that has sacrificed them on the altar of free trade and environmentalism?

A nation that fights for the national sovereignty of all other nations, as well?

Well, that is why we have launched this "War of Liberation against the British Empire". Because without a fight we will never become a sovereign nation—we will never fulfil the dreams for which so many noble Australians before us fought. We will never achieve the destiny handed to us by history, in this great island-continent in the Southern Seas. And that is the fight that, tonight, I ask you to join.



**U.S. financial crisis inquiry echoes LaRouche**

From Page 8

American financial inquiry since the 1933 Pecora Commission which reined in Wall Street, and enabled Franklin Roosevelt to pass the *Glass-Steagall Act* and to launch the New Deal economic recovery pivoted upon great infrastructure projects. By so clearly taking the same position as LaRouche—a fact not lost on political insiders—Angelides is leaving the U.S. a clear choice: to continue bailing out the hopelessly bankrupt major banks by savaging the living standards of the population, or to re-enact *Glass-Steagall*.

**Australia Needs an Angelides Commission**

One of the many political dignitaries shown to be a fool by Angelides is Australian Prime Minister Julia Gillard, who squawked, on 24<sup>th</sup> November 2008, "No-one 12 months ago was talking about a global financial crisis." At the time, Gillard, on behalf of the then-Rudd government, was desperate to cover up the bankruptcy of Australia's banks, which the government loudly insisted were "sound", but which they'd just had to

bail out with cash, loans, and almost a trillion dollars in guarantees.

Now, the Angelides Commission presents an opportunity for a similar investigation of Australia's banking system, to officially identify and remedy the areas that will otherwise inevitably soon explode into a national banking meltdown. Those areas include:


\* The more than \$15 trillion "off-balance-sheet" exposure, aka "derivatives" held by Australia's banks and concentrated in the "Big Four"—an exposure that has grown at a record rate in the past year.

\* The near \$1 trillion in foreign loans owed by the Big Four banks, mostly on short, 90-day terms, which the banks can't actually pay, but just desperately roll over. This, by their own admission, made the banks "insolvent" in October 2008, requiring the government's guarantees to stay afloat; this year, 2011, the Big Four banks must borrow over \$135 billion to roll over existing foreign debt, just as countless other banks and governments are desperately trying to do the same.

\* The complete entanglement of the banks in the domestic property bubble, such

that when the bubble eventually bursts it will obliterate the entire Australian banking system. Well over 50 per cent of the business of each of the Big Four banks is mortgages (over 65 per cent for the CBA), and 40 per cent of bank liabilities are foreign borrowings incurred to pump extra money into the property market. The banks and the government are desperate to keep the property market up, and are bankrupting the country to do so by starving productive economic sectors of credit and making housing ever more unaffordable.

A fearless, Angelides-style investigation of Australia's banking system is the prerequisite to enacting the sweeping, LaRouche-modeled solutions uniquely put on the table by the CEC: the *Homeowners and Bank Protection Bill 2008*, including its provision for a farm debt moratorium, and the *Commonwealth National Credit Bank Bill* drafted already in 1994 for a government-run national bank, like the original Commonwealth Bank, to direct credit into productive industries and agriculture, and, most importantly, into nation-building infrastructure projects.



In consultation with some of the country's top engineers and water experts, the CEC in 2002 released plans for a series of 18 Great Water Projects, in this *New Citizen* Special Report. They would largely drought-proof the nation, greatly mitigate floods, and launch a national economic recovery, all for a mere \$40 billion—less than the cost of the recent floods.

# U.S. financial crisis inquiry echoes LaRouche

The official U.S. inquiry into the global financial crisis has affirmed that American physical economist Lyndon LaRouche was emphatically right in his financial and economic forecasts delivered over the decades leading into the present, existential crisis.

In findings handed down in January, the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (FCIC), known as the Angelides Com-


mission after its chairman, former California State Treasurer Phil Angelides, displayed atypical political courage by sheeting home the blame for the crisis to the City of London/Wall Street mafia's repeal of the Roosevelt-era regulations which had protected the daily functioning of the financial system from financial predators—repeals which LaRouche had exposed and fought every

step of the way.


Prefacing his findings that the crisis was both “avoidable” and “unnecessary”, Angelides was emphatic, “The greatest tragedy would be to accept the refrain that no one could have seen this coming and thus nothing could have been done. If we accept this notion, it will happen again.”

His Commission identified the two major Wall Street deregulation scams that led to the crisis: the November 1999 *Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act*, which repealed the 1933 *Glass-Steagall Act*, that for 66 years had separated retail banking from speculative investment banking, thus protecting people's savings and daily banking services from the pirates of Wall Street and London; and the December 2000 *Commodity Futures Modernization Act of 2000*, which outlawed the regulation of derivatives.

While fighting the repeal of those two Acts, LaRouche uniquely forecast the crash



(l.) Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission Chairman Phil Angelides fearlessly took on Wall Street in his findings, which backed up Lyndon LaRouche (r.) and his call for a global *Glass-Steagall*.



they would cause, as Angelides' report effectively acknowledges. For instance, in an international webcast on 25<sup>th</sup> July 2007, LaRouche warned, “the world monetary financial system is actually now currently in the process of disintegrating. There's nothing mysterious about this; I've talk-

ed about it for some time, it's been in progress, it's not abating. What's listed as stock values and market values in the financial markets internationally is bunk! They are purely fictitious beliefs. There's no truth to it; the fakery is enormous. There is no possibility of a non-collapse of the present financial

system—none! It's finished, now!” Just days later, the “subprime” mortgage crisis exploded into public view as just one manifestation of the global disintegration.

The Angelides Commission's courageous findings make it the most significant

*Continued Page 7*

## The Euthanasia bills: Why the Greens want to kill people

Bob Brown and the Australian Greens party are the enthusiastic flag-bearers in Australia of the British Royal Family's personal campaign to force euthanasia on the elderly and vulnerable.

In the U.K., the King's Fund, a powerful Royal Family trust, in 2003-04 established a pilot project at the Marie Curie Hospice, chaired by Prince Charles, known as the “Liverpool Care Pathway” which pioneered involuntary euthanasia (murder) through a process of continuous deep sedation. In 2008, as the global financial system went into meltdown, City of London financiers associated with the King's Fund ensured that the government's National Health Service (NHS) established the Liverpool Care Pathway on a national basis in centres all across the U.K., as a blatant cost-saving measure to free up the public funds that the banks demanded as a bailout for themselves. The result was mass-murder: an audit the following year showed that the Liverpool Care Pathway was responsible for 16.5 per cent of all British deaths in 2008—one in six—leaving many family members stunned and shattered. Cost-cutting State Governments have also implemented the LCP model in selected Australian aged care facilities.

The King's Fund was incorporated in 1907 as the King's Hospital Fund for London by King Edward VII, who championed the then recently-invented British imperial “science” of eugenics—achieving “racial purity” through forced sterilisations, euthanasia and the mass murder of “lesser races”—favourite Royal themes to this day. In fact, in 1909 Edward VII knighted Charles Darwin's cousin and co-thinker Francis Galton, for inventing the very term “eugenics” and then leading a decades-long crusade for it beginning in the 1880s, while the Royals' personal physi-

cians have often served as top officials of the British Eugenics Society. The Royal Family have personally directed the King's Hospital Fund for its entire history: Prince Charles has been the President since 1986, and in 2008 the Queen re-incorporated it with herself as patron, and renamed it simply the King's Fund.

As documented elsewhere in this paper, the British imperial eugenics movement under Royal sponsorship spawned the post-war rise of the worldwide “green” movement to pursue eugenics “under another name”, since Hitler had made the overt pursuit of “eugenics” under its own name inconvenient. Therefore, it should be no surprise that the Australian political arm of the green movement, the Australian Greens party, today zealously pursues euthanasia: that's why they were invented in the first place—to facilitate mass murder under one guise or another—as top British eugenicists such as WWF co-founder Julian Huxley have repeatedly admitted, even emphasised. Towards that end, the Greens have aggressively pursued a nation-wide agenda of legislating euthanasia in virtually every state parliament. In late February Greens leader Bob Brown even proposed a bill to give territory parliaments the right to pass legislation that can't be overruled by the Federal Parliament, which Brown openly said was aimed at legislating euthanasia.

Typical of the Greens' goal of eugenics is the case of Peter Singer, Bob Brown's co-author of the party's manifesto, *The Greens* (1996), and a party candidate. Along with the ideas of “assisted suicide”, population reduction and drug legalisation which he and Brown push in their book, Singer has long campaigned for the eugenics-inspired Nazi “useless eaters” policy of infanticide of children born disabled.

## Ramsar—the Royal assault on sovereignty

“Ducks Unlimited means Sovereignty Superseded.”


— WWF co-founder Max Nicholson, *The Environmental Revolution. A Guide for the New Masters of the World*, 1970

The Murray-Darling Basin, one of the greatest agricultural production areas in the world, is being relentlessly shut down under the pretext of “saving the wetlands”.

The cult of “wetlands” was invented by Prince Philip's World Wildlife Fund (WWF) in the early 1960s, as part of big-game hunter Philip's imperial drive to subject the world to British “conservation” practices whose stated intent is to reduce the world's population from its present almost seven billion down to 1-2 billion.

Max Nicholson (1904-2003), an arch-eugenicist and long time permanent secretary to the Crown's Privy Council, is the recognised “High Priest” of world environmentalism in the post-war period. Together with Prince Philip and British Eugenics Society President Julian Huxley, Nicholson co-founded the three major postwar British imperial “green” bodies: the Swiss-based IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources/World Conservation Union) in 1948; Britain's Nature Conservancy in 1949; and the WWF in 1961 as a fundraiser for the IUCN, housed in the latter's Swiss headquarters. Under Nicholson's supervision the British Foreign Office drafted the IUCN's founding constitution, while he personally wrote that of the WWF in 1961. In a 1981 speech, Nicholson bragged that Britain's Nature Conservancy—one of four “permanent research bodies” under the Crown's Privy Council, and which had actually been under way before its formal establishment in 1949—had given birth to all world environmentalism, that it had been founded in order “to use our British base to build up an effective world network.”

The major early focus for Prince Philip's new “world network” was bird conserva-



The Royals creating wetlands

tion, a favourite cause of the pheasant-shooting, urban-hating British aristocracy for over a century, and whose chief public spokesman already by the late 1920s was Nicholson himself. Mobilising its various “bird protection” outfits such as the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds—virtually all of whose leadership just happened to be top officials of Britain's Secret Intelligence Service (SIS), as well—in 1963 the WWF initiated the campaign to lock away swamps as wildfowl “wetlands”. This resulted in the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, the world's first international conservation treaty. It was signed in Ramsar, Iran in 1971, where Nicholson gave the opening speech. “Ducks Unlimited” had truly become “Sovereignty Superseded”, just as he had crowed a year earlier. Nicholson was no stranger to covert operations—as Privy Council secretary following World War II, he had super-

vised the creation of Britain's infamous Information Research Department (IRD), since exposed as just a “dirty tricks” front for MI6.

Australia was the very first country to cede its sovereignty to Ramsar. An original signer of the Convention in 1971, the year Prince Philip took over as president of the Australian Conservation Foundation, Australia in 1975 became the first national legal “contracting party” to Ramsar, as well as host to the world's first Ramsar wetland, the Cobourg Peninsula. (Currently Australia has 64 Ramsar-designated wetlands, covering 7.5 million hectares—an area greater than Tasmania or Scotland!) Ramsar and subsequent international conservation treaties have been used to rip up Australia's Commonwealth Constitution through a blatantly illegal, “activist” interpretation of the “External Affairs” clause which has stripped the states of their constitutional powers over

land, rivers and conservation matters and concentrated them in the Federal Government, for the purpose of enforcing green fascist diktats against economic development.

The subsequent decades of relentless Royal Green onslaught on Australia's sovereignty and constitution culminated in the Howard government's *Water Act 2007*, which was written according to explicit Ramsar specifications, to use that treaty as the legal and environmental pretext to decimate Australia's national food bowl, and food security. From the very outset, every stage of the Murray-Darling Basin attack has been directed by Prince Philip through the Australian networks which he personally founded—the Australian Conservation Foundation, WWF-Australia, and the Wentworth Group of Concerned Scientists, all of whom are clamouring for a drastic reduction of Australia's population.

**Free DVD:** *The Great Murray-Darling Basin Fraud*  
**The Deliberate Policy to Depopulate Australia**

For a free copy call toll-free 1800 636 432 or send this coupon to: CEC, PO Box 376, Coburg, Victoria 3058 and leave ALL your details below.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Email: \_\_\_\_\_

\* Offer not available to existing members or people who have received previous free offers.