

Defeat the Synarchists—Fight for a National Bank

V. The Privy Council's Anti-Defamation Commission: An Arm of the Synarchy

ADC Champions Mont Pelerin Society, Tries to Ban CEC

In an October 2002 submission to the Australian Parliament's Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters, the Anti-Defamation Commission of B'nai B'rith (ADC) made the outrageous demand that Parliament ban the CEC from contesting Federal elections. The ADC submission took place in the context of the Howard government's attempt to secure one of Hitler's major powers, by giving the Attorney General the right to ban any organisation he chooses, which it has now achieved. The ADC's submission also came only a few days after the CEC had sent shock waves through the country with a full-page ad in *The Australian* calling for a national bank, which was endorsed by over 600 dignitaries from all walks of life.


What is this "anti-defamation" organisation which would make such an outrageous, fascist-style demand to ban a longstanding Australian political party? A quick glance at its board gives a ready answer: Aside from all being members of Australia's establishment in one way or another, it is dominated by three members of the ruling body of the British Commonwealth/Empire, Her Majesty's Privy Council. These are: the President of the ADC's Advisory Board, The Right Honourable Sir Zelman Cowen, former Governor-General; the Right Honourable Sir Ninian Stephen, former Governor-General, and former Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser. Former Prime Minister Bob Hawke is on there also, along with former NSW Premier Neville Wran, former ATSI head Lowitja O'Donoghue, and Sir Walter Campbell. (Former Governor-General Sir William Deane joined the board after the ADC made its October 2002 submission to parliament.)

Defending Economic Rationalism

But, perhaps most telling is that in all its major attacks against the CEC over the past several years, including in its 2002 call to ban the CEC, the ADC has stoutly defended one of the main structures of the Synarchy, the Mont Pelerin Society, and its local fronts in Australia. Typical was its 2001 "Briefing Paper" on the CEC and LaRouche, in which the ADC, in ostensibly describing the CEC's outlook, whined:

"The Mont Pelerin Society is supposedly a covert subvertive [sic] group founded in 1947 in a chalet on the slopes of Mt. Pelerin in Switzerland by some of the leading families of the European Oligarchy. Desiring free trade and the elimination of the nation state, the Society purportedly moved from Switzerland to London from where it has become 'the chief economic warfare arm of the British Crown'.

"The CEC claims that the Federal Government and the Kennett Government in Victoria are really Mont Pelerin's Australian 'front groups', as are several thinktanks and NGOs, including the Institute for Public Affairs, the Tasman Institute and Centre for Independent



Photos: Zelman Cowen. The Age/Eamon Gallagher; Ninian Stephen. The Age/Belinda; Malcolm Fraser. The Age/Matt Bowie; Dr. Lowitja O'Donoghue. Aapimage; Neville Wran. Aapimage

Studies. Prominent Australians purportedly associated with the Mont Pelerin Society include Peter Costello, Dame Leonie Kramer, Paddy McGuinness, John Hyde, Hugh Morgan, and Kevan Gosper. Thus, rather than acting in the interests of Australia, the policies of Government and NGOs are allegedly in accordance with the dictates of the Mont Pelerin Society and the British Crown." The ADC was so outraged, that it even reprinted an entire CEC flow chart on the Mont Pelerin Society and its Australian branches, and another on the enormous influence Rio Tinto—in which Queen Elizabeth happens to be the major private shareholder—wields over Australia, politically and economically.

Toadies for the Oligarchy

And then, in its 2002 submission to the Parliament calling for the CEC to be banned, the ADC again took up the cudgels for Mont Pelerin and the Crown:

"Via the CEC, LaRouche projects his own obsession for secrecy and conspiracy, and his penchant for front organisations onto the *Oligarchy*. True to extreme Rightwing orthodoxy, it has a mysterious organisation to do its bidding in Switzerland since 1947, the Mont Pelerin Society. This new version of the notion of the Illuminati operates through acolytes in each country, to dominate economic and social policy. In Australia its membership reads as the Who's Who of Australian capitalism. Included are all the major banks, insurance companies and financial institutions, as well as British transnational corporations such as the H.R. Nicholls Society, the Tasman Institute, and the Centre for Independent Studies."

One wonders if the ADC will also call for the *Sydney Morning Herald* to be banned, since the *SMH* reported extensively on the power, secrecy, and major corporate backing which the Mont Pelerin Society and its think tanks have in Australia, in its four-part series on the topic in 2003. It called Mont Pelerin Society founder von Hayek the "puppet master of the 20th century", and said of the MPS flagship in Australia, the CIS, "Most observers... say no organisation has ever enjoyed CIS's influence in Australia." Moreover, continued the *SMH*, the CIS enjoys "the personal endorsement of Prime Minister John

Howard", who opened the CIS's annual international Coolum festival, and of Treasurer Peter Costello, who gave Coolum's opening address in 2003. "And with Howard's unquestionable political dominance after three successive election victories, they point to striking similarities between the New Right values of his Government and those of the CIS."

Pumping for One-World Government

The ADC protests that the CEC attacks the MPS's (own stated) calls for one-world government, and the MPS's economic rationalism in Australia:

"The ultimate object of the international web of influential elites and individuals is the formation of one-world-government at the behest of London.... The Mont Pelerin activism is not restricted to the private domain of the economy, but has cadres in highest echelons of the Federal parliament and the national bureaucracy. All Australian Prime Ministers, from Robert Hawke in 1983 to John Howard, are its dupes. Kim Beazley is not excluded. They are all accused of leading Australia down the grassy pole to economic and social crisis. The *Oligarchy* demands that their decision-makers follow the 'bottom line' of free market economics. This is manifest in current fire-sales of public assets and utilities, the deregulation of labour and capital markets, the allowance of predatory practices in transnational corporations, the export of manufacturing jobs overseas to places with far lower wage profiles, and the floating exchange rates leaving nations at the mercy of currency sharks. The upshot of all this is a total disruption of the livelihoods and accustomed lifestyles of ordinary people."

Moreover, the ADC complains that:

"LaRouche's antidote to the worldwide disaster is reindustrialisation of the economy. It is predicated on the triumph of Industrial Capital over the Money Capital of the Oligarchy."

The old Labor Party, King O'Malley, Frank Anstey, Jack Lang, John Curtin and Ben Chifley certainly would agree.

"This will be led by a transformed America, not the liberal democratic America of the present, which is in the control of the *Oli-*

garchy."

Many people would sharply disagree with the ADC's characterisation of "the liberal democratic America at the present," given that it is under such neoconservative fanatics as Dick Cheney and John Ashcroft.

"[T]he features of the LaRouche metamorphosis of America include a command economy, completely standardised work practices, centralised labour and capital markets, Everest-high tariffs, and fixed international exchange rates. In other words, an inversion of the ways of the Oligarchy".

British Imperialists

That's a pretty fair description of both Australia and America when its economies were at their heights, as opposed to the rubble heaps which both are now. But the ADC continues:

"Ultimate victory cannot be achieved by one nation alone, even by the reconstructed America. All nations in concert have to consent to the LaRouche principle initiated by a New Bretton Woods. Just as the original Bretton Woods in 1944 developed a plan to restore Europe after the ravages of World War II, so a similar conference is imperative to redeem the world from an all-embracing economic crisis induced by the *Oligarchy*. Presumably each nation would implement simultaneously the LaRouche economic plan of domination, centralisation and protectionism.... In a sense, the whole LaRouche scenario is a replay of the American War of Independence. It is up to an America enthused with his ideology and practices to defeat the machinations of the British-led Oligarchy."

Well, dumb as they may be, the ADC finally did get the basic point. It is indeed a "replay of the American War of Independence", but this time on a global scale. The ADC also complains that:

"Essential in the eyes of the CEC in its push for 'Old Labor' values is the creation of two institutions: a 'Commonwealth National Credit Bank' to create all the credit necessary for national infrastructural development, and an 'interstate Commission' to prioritise this infrastructure, and set tariffs to protect Australian manufacture from overseas competition."

"Ban Them!"

In conclusion, the ADC warns

of the CEC's growing political clout as the Synarchy-driven financial crisis continues to worsen, "The effects of globalisation along with its associated free market concepts, aided and abetted by the electronic revolution, continue to sweep aside those cultural and social verities which have traditionally anchored communities. In increasing numbers, losers in this new and confronting environment could well be attracted by the simplistic and populist messages of the CEC."

The solution? Ban them!

The ADC also questioned the CEC's fundraising, noting that the CEC had raised more money than all but the two biggest political parties, but that less than 15 per cent of its donations were declared, i.e. itemised. The ADC alleged that the donations were therefore somehow dodgy or coming from suspect sources, while they in fact proved the opposite: that 85 per cent of the CEC's 17,000 donations were less than the \$1,500 maximum which would require them to be declared. That is to say, contrary to those of the major parties (and no doubt to the ADC's own funding), the CEC's donations show a *very wide base of electoral support* (see p. 56).

Devoting three pages of its 350-page report tabled on June 23, 2003 (mainly on the 2001 Federal election) to the ADC's call, the Committee bluntly rejected them, stating that their allegations "did not amount to evidence." In a footnote, their report rebuked the ADC's Nazi-like call for banning a major political party: "The Committee is not prepared to endorse the dangerous route of banning organisations from contesting democratic elections on the basis of the views attributed to them." Aside from the utter rejection of the ADC demand, the Committee's phrase "on the basis of the views attributed to them" was noteworthy, in that the ADC's report on the alleged views of the CEC was one big tissue of lies.

One Committee member, Deputy Chairman Michael Danby, the former editor of *The Review*, was clearly disappointed. A longtime enemy of the CEC and LaRouche, Danby had earlier called for a Federal investigation of the CEC, and of the five Federal politicians who spoke on the report when it was tabled in parliament, none mentioned the small section on the CEC, except for Danby.

Defeat the Synarchists—Fight for a National Bank

Sir Zelman Cowen: Picking Up Where the Old Guard Left Off

Among the Australian LaRouche Youth Movement, Sir Zelman Cowen has acquired the nickname, “Sir Zero Coward”, for his repeated attempts to weasel out of taking responsibility for the ADC’s attempt to ban the CEC, though he is well-informed of the matter, and is the ADC’s ranking figure and President of its Board of Advisers. However, in a discussion with a CEC Executive member on Dec. 5, 2002, an exasperated Sir Zelman, who parades as oh-so-liberal, spat the dummy, and proclaimed that he would, indeed, “support banning some parties.”

That Sir Zelman would have a hatred for, and want to see the CEC banned, would not be surprising. He is a senior member of Her Majesty’s Privy Council, the ruling body of the British Commonwealth/Empire and a longtime



Privy Councillor Sir Zelman Cowen wants to ban the CEC.

trusted retainer both for the Crown, and for its various front organisations, such as that Round

Table of which he is a member, and to which so many of the leaders of the fascist Old Guard and League of National Security belonged in the 1930s, as well. The Crown’s enmity for Lyndon LaRouche is notorious worldwide; moreover, Sir Zelman is personally terrified of the republican principles for which the CEC stands, including the direct popular election of a U.S.-style President, thus putting an end to the Anglo-Dutch parliamentary system which he has devoted his life to defending.

Over the years, Sir Zelman has been entrusted with a number of critical jobs for the Crown, including his appointment as Chairman of the Committee on Executive Powers for an Australian head of state, under Prime Minister Bob Hawke’s Constitutional Commission, commuting back from Ox-

ford, where he occupied another sensitive position as provost of Oriel College for eight years. This was the first go at a republic, and the Crown was determined that it not be a real one. At first entirely opposed to even a phony republic, Cowen had to change his tune once PM Paul Keating started his own call for a republic; though Keating did not want a real republic either, his call opened the door on a serious debate of what one would be like.

In the lead-up to the 1999 republic referendum, Cowen campaigned against an “executive presidency operating within a full-blown separation of powers as in the United States,” as he put it in his “An Australian Republic: A Guide for the Perplexed”. Cowen demanded, instead a “symbolic change to a republic” so that “we

would retain our parliamentary system unimpaired”. This would continue the unimpeded private financier control of the creation and control of credit, and thus over the government itself. His hatred for a popularly-elected President was because “It may well be that, especially in a crisis, a directly-elected President will read the powers of his or her office expansively”, and then, horror of horrors, “who knows what form of executive or semi-executive presidential system we could end with?” (Emphasis added.) It is precisely the ability of a strong President to act for the Common Good in a period of crisis, as Roosevelt did in leading the U.S. and world out of the Depression and to victory over the Axis powers, that terrifies the Synarchy.

Cowen’s Role Model: The Old Guard’s Sir John Latham

In 1965, Cowen wrote a book, *John Latham and Other Papers*, in which he eulogised the “conservative politician”, Latham. He knew Latham personally, and wrote that “I had great respect for this extraordinarily active and vigorous old man.” We have met Latham before, as the Nationalist Party opposition leader in parliament, who stepped aside for the Synarchy’s “Honest Joe” Lyons to become prime minister, and was later paid off with the post of Chief Justice of the High Court (1935-1952). Prior to that, as attorney general under conservative governments, he also drafted legislation in the mid-1920s to amend the Crimes Act, in order to ban “revolutionary and seditious organisations and making it an offence to belong to such organisations” (*Australian Dictionary of Biography*).

Since Sir Zelman is so enamoured of Latham, let us look a bit closer at this man who was, like Cowen, a devout Anglo-Australian. Like all Anglo-Australians, he was more British than Australian: after the British Parliament’s passage of the Statute of Westminster in 1931, which allowed the parliaments of the “Dominions” to make their own laws even if inconsistent with British law (although the Privy Council still had the ultimate say), Latham held up the application of the Statute for Australia for an entire decade, until John Curtin and the ALP took office and in 1942 adopted the Statute, back-dating its effect to September 1939



Privy Councillor Sir John Latham

when war was declared.

Like Cowen, Latham was also made a member of the Privy Council for his fierce Imperial loyalties. Throughout his long career, Latham consistently acted for the Crown and the British Empire against the ALP and Australia’s own best interests. He was a life-long friend of leading Synarchist Lionel Curtis, a top figure in the British Round Table. Latham headed Naval Intelligence in World War I, beginning a career-long affiliation with military intelligence, whether official or unofficial, as with his numerous ties to leading figures in the Old Guard and League of National Security. In 1923 he was the legal officer for Sir John Monash’s special constables, which were used to break the

Melbourne police force. In 1926, following the 1925 maritime strike and the passage of the Crimes Act, he called for the radical amending of that act because “a definite systematic organisation is at work in Australia to overthrow by violence...the constitutional and democratic government of this country.” The Communist Party was pathetically weak and disorganised at that point, so such a statement was a palpable lie; he was actually aiming at the labour movement—a tactic he would apply again and again. Historian of the Old Guard Andrew Moore recounted Latham’s close ties with virtually all the leaders of the Old Guard, and summed up Latham’s role vis a vis that fascist body, “In order to understand the Old Guard it is necessary to conceive of men like Latham waiting discreetly in the wings while others...stood centre stage and took the risks in day-to-day organising.” As some of his close friends and associates among the Old Guard’s leadership also did, Latham trooped to Italy to meet with Mussolini—a fact which Sir Zelman omitted from his biographical sketch of Latham.

After the Synarchy’s UAP replaced the Scullin government, Latham became attorney general, and drafted the *Financial Agreements Enforcement Act*, the essential piece of legislation which was the basis for either an Old Guard physical assault on Lang, or, as happened, Lang’s sacking by the Crown’s NSW Governor Sir Philip



Cowen and Latham fought against an Australian republic. The CEC are republicans.

Game, for violating that act.

Latham’s bitterly anti-labour actions also carried the strong stench of corruption with them, given his strong ties to business. The truth shines through even the highly-sympathetic account of his actions in his entry in the *Australian Dictionary of Biography*: “Minister of industry from 10 December 1928, as well as attorney-general, he harried the maritime, transport and timber-workers’ unions by discriminating application of the provisions of the Arbitration and Crimes Act.... Latham’s reputation for bias towards employers in administration of the law was not wholly justified, at least not in the conspiratorial terms in which it was usually propounded. It is

true that he did not prosecute businessmen with the vigour applied to unionists: Mr. Justice Starke [of the High Court—ed.] made scathing criticism of the settlement [Latham] made in 1928 with the notorious tax evaders, the Solomon brothers. It is true, also that he maintained links with some of the principal enterprises whose interests were affected directly by his decisions as attorney general: throughout the 1920s he held general retainers from a wide range of shipping, mining and other clients.” He suffered, noted his ADB entry, from “his inability to understand union militancy except as the result of seditious agitation.”


In the 1950s, Latham was the founding president of the Australian Congress for Cultural Freedom, the Australian arm of the CIA front, the “anti-communist” Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF). However, the CCF was much more than just a “CIA front”; it was a project of leading one-worldists such as Lord Bertrand Russell, the “pacifist” who advocated pre-emptive nuclear strikes against the Soviet Union, not to “fight communism”, but to establish a one-world dictatorship—the real objective of the CCF.

Throughout his entire career, Latham used “red scares” to harass and crush his nationalist, old Labor political opponents. In his likewise politically motivated call to ban the CEC, the Privy Council’s Sir Zelman is walking in the footsteps of his Old Guard hero.

The ADC/Leibler Gang: Foreign Wars and a Domestic Police State

The failed October 2002 ADC call to ban the CEC is the latest in a more than decade-long, anti-CEC vendetta carried out by a closely interlocked series of organisations pivoted on Mark and Isi Leibler. Though Isi in the late 1990s moved to Israel, the vendetta continued. These organisations include the Anti-Defamation Commission of B’nai B’rith (ADC), the Australian Israel Jewish Affairs Council (AIJAC) chaired by Mark, and the magazine which AIJAC publishes, the pompously-named *The Review*, formerly the *Australia/Israel Review (AIR)*. In its June 2003 issue, *The Review* spat the dummy and proclaimed that “AIJAC is proud to confirm [CEC National Secretary Craig] Isherwood’s contention that both brothers ‘are longtime (sic) bitter enemies of LaRouche and the CEC.’”

By confirming the CEC’s charges, this Leibler rag admitted that that had been, and still was the motivation for the Leibler crowd’s



LaRouche’s “Children of Satan” pamphlets exposed the “neoconservative” cabal around U.S. Vice President Dick Cheney. Right: Neo-con Mark Leibler.

incessant attacks on the CEC, rather than any alleged concern with “anti-defamation”, electoral matters, or anything else. This is the same crowd which in 2003 exercised its muscle to shut down any real discussion in Parliament of the Israeli/Palestinian problem, in favour of unilateral support for the



fascist Sharon government and its butchery of the Palestinians.

Already back in 1992, at just the time the CEC was setting up its national office in Melbourne, Isi Leibler’s magazine, *Without Prejudice* (sic), shrieked that the LaRouche-affiliated CEC had “a disruptive capacity never before seen

in this country.” His brother Mark soon thereafter beat the drums, unsuccessfully, for Parliamentary and Federal Police investigations of the CEC. The Leiblers and their fronts were not so much speaking for themselves, as they were for the financial oligarchy’s international neoconservative political machine of which they are a part, a machine which seized global political power in the wake of 9/11, in particular through the U.S. neo-conservatives’ control over the mentally-challenged U.S. President George W. Bush. This broader apparatus and its chilling goals were laid bare in a pamphlet entitled “Children of Satan: The ‘Ignoble Liars’ Behind Bush’s No-Exit Iraq War”, released on April 9, 2003. The pamphlet was commissioned by U.S. 2004 Presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., and was circulated in hundreds of thousands of copies worldwide, including 30,000 in Australia. The preface to its Australian edition

located the role of the fanatically pro-Iraq war ADC/Leibler gang in the broader picture, excerpts of which follow.

The world has looked on in horror as the United States has adopted the fascist doctrine of “pre-emptive strike” for use against anyone whom it defines as its enemies. This new doctrine spits on the United Nations, on all common notions of morality and justice, and on all international law since the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia which established the principle of sovereign nation states.

The attack against Iraq was the first application of pre-emptive war. But, as leading figures in the U.S., including Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld and Vice President Cheney, have made clear, the war on Iraq is just the first in a planned series. Other immediately-targeted nations include Syria, Iran, and North Korea. At least one of these could likely

Defeat the Synarchists—Fight for a National Bank

see the U.S. use nuclear weapons, as was mooted against Iraq, since the “first use” of nuclear weapons against “rogue states” has been widely advocated by the Rumsfeld/Cheney circles.

Such foreign aggression, together with fascist police state measures at home, such as the notorious “Patriot II” bill of the crazed religious fundamentalist, Attorney General John Ashcroft, signify that the U.S. is rapidly becoming a carbon copy of the fascist regime of Adolf Hitler, or of the first fascist regime in history, that of the Roman Empire, whose world-plundering legions adopted the fasces (a bundle of sticks with an axe protruding) as their symbol....

The Chickenhawks

What you are about to read was first issued by the 2004 Presidential campaign of Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., who is spearheading the resistance within the U.S., as well as internationally, to the fascist transformation of the U.S. now in process. Already, the efforts of LaRouche’s Presidential campaign and his *Executive Intelligence Review* magazine, have made the existence of the “chickenhawk” cabal centred around Vice President Dick Cheney and Pentagon Secretary Donald Rumsfeld notorious internationally. (Most of this cabal were draft-dodgers during Vietnam, but now lust for bloody war everywhere—thus their appellation, “chickenhawks”. They are also known as “neoconservatives”.)

It was LaRouche, who, many months ago, first revealed what international news media, including our own *Four Corners* and others, only later picked up on: the fact that the present war against Iraq had nothing to do with “weapons of mass destruction”, but was planned in detail already in 1991 under then-Defense Secretary Dick Cheney, as embodied in a Pentagon docu-

ment, “Defense Strategy for the 1990s: The Regional Defense Strategy”. The chief premise of that document—which was rejected by President George H.W. Bush (“41”)—was that, following the fall of the Soviet Union, the U.S. must become an unchallenged world empire, and use “preventive war” and “mini-nuclear weapons as deemed necessary.

LaRouche also first blew the whistle on the infamous 1996 “Clean Break” strategy drafted by a team of American neo-cons led by just-resigned Pentagon Defense Policy Advisory Board Chairman Richard Perle, and present Assistant Secretary of Defense for Policy, Doug Feith. That strategy was first designed for then-Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, as embodied in the document, “Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm”. It called for ripping up the 1993 Oslo Accords; junking the “land-for-peace” deal; for the brutal re-occupation of the West Bank and Gaza by the Israeli Defense Forces leading to permanent re-annexation; and for launching a war against Iraq, followed by war against the Baath regime in Syria. The Cheney/Rumsfeld chickenhawk cabal controlling U.S. President George W. Bush has made that document a cornerstone of U.S. policy, though it has been resisted, at least partially, by Secretary of State Colin Powell. The chickenhawks wholeheartedly support Prime Minister Ariel Sharon’s Nazi-like assault on the Palestinians, as do many of the friends and admirers of Australia’s Anti-Defamation Commission of B’nai B’rith.

As the U.S. and international press have frequently noted, many of the neoconservative chickenhawks happen to be Jewish. However, they are not pro-fascist because they are Jewish, but precisely *despite* the fact that

they are Jewish, since their outlook is entirely contrary to the humanistic values of mainstream Judaism, values shared with Christianity and Islam. Rather, they are the “errant weed” within Judaism denounced by the martyred Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin.

In fact, Ariel Sharon and his Likud party are fascists in the strictest sense of the term, both by their genocidal actions against the Palestinians, and through their devotion to the ideas of Likud founder Vladimir Jabotinsky, the Mussolini supporter whom Israel’s founding father David Ben Gurion called “Vladimir Hitler”.

Indeed, there are four telltale markers which define the chickenhawks: 1) their fanatical support for the war against Iraq, and for upcoming possible wars against Syria, Iran, North Korea, etc., 2) their fanatical support for fascist Sharon’s bloody attacks on the Palestinians, including his “transfer” plan to expel all Palestinians from the West Bank and Gaza, 3) their fanatical support for police-state measures domestically, to silence their political opponents, and 4) their fanatical hatred against U.S. 2004 Presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche, who represents the real America of its Founding Fathers, and who has for decades counterposed his policies of economic growth and “peace through development”, to the chickenhawks’ dreams of imperial (“globalist”) conquest and domestic police states.

Australia’s Chickenhawks

Several months before former

Rabin urges leaders to drop local ‘diplomacy’



Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin in 1993 told Isi and Mark Leibler to drop their self-serving representation of Israel to governments in the Asia/Pacific region. In August 1995, Isi defended the fanatical “settlers’ movement” in a bitter public attack on Rabin; three months later, one of that movement assassinated Rabin.

Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin was murdered, he was bitterly attacked by the Melbourne businessman Isi Leibler. Leibler issued an unprecedented open letter to Rabin in August 1995 in which he castigated the Israeli prime minister for criticising the fanatics and Jabotinskyites among the West Bank settlers’ movement. In November of that same year, Rabin was killed by a member of that settlers’ movement which Leibler had defended. Leibler has since moved to Israel, where he has been a vociferous supporter of the war against Iraq and of the fascist Sharon, but the outlook and the institutions with which he was associated, or helped to sponsor in Australia live on, centred in *The Review* (formerly the *Australia/Israel Review*), which was founded by a follower of Jabotinsky and which features articles by leading U.S. chickenhawks, and in the *The Review’s* parent organisation, the Australia Israel Jewish Affairs Council (AIJAC), chaired by his brother Mark. They have screamed bloody murder for a war against Iraq, and have also led the charge for police-state style measures in Australia, both through their lobbying for the notorious “racial vilification” legislation passed in Victoria in 2001, and their advocacy of sim-

ilar draconian legislation at the federal level. They are also longtime bitter enemies of LaRouche and the CEC.

However, the organisation which has really spearheaded the drive for the fascist racial vilification legislation, has been the Anti-Defamation Commission of B’nai B’rith, chaired by longtime Isi Leibler crony, Dr. Paul Gardner. The ADC has stated repeatedly, that the main purpose of such legislation is to silence the Citizens Electoral Council. And, were that not sufficient, the ADC in its October 2002 submission to the Federal Parliament, called for the *banning of a registered political party*, the CEC, solely because the CEC are the Australian co-thinkers of Lyndon LaRouche. Though some of the ADC’s board members, such as Bob Hawke and Malcolm Fraser, have been outspoken against the Iraq war, they apparently have no trouble advocating fascist measures at home.

Indeed, the Leibler-run *The Review* has frequently featured the rantings of Barry Rubin, the chief author of the Blair government’s infamous “sexed-up dossier” regarding Iraq, which has since been proven—as LaRouche charged at the time—to have been utterly fraudulent, based on one known lie after another.

The “Anti-Defamation” Racket

With their fraudulent version of the Holocaust forming the backdrop (see below, “The AIR Crowd: Covering up for the Holocaust”), the usual trick of the *AIR* and the associated “anti-defamation” apparatus in Australia (centred around the Anti-Defamation Commission [ADC] of B’nai B’rith), is to set up a sanctimonious bullfrog’s chorus croaking “anti-semitism” or “racism” against anyone who dares to question or criticise the political or financial activities of the Leiblers and their small circle of super-wealthy friends. But, as *A/N* journalist David Bernstein demanded to know in an October 25, 1996 article entitled “Anti-defamation wars,” regarding the various “anti-defamation” organisations which claim to represent the Australian Jewish community, specifically the Australia Israel Publications (AIP)/*AIR* and the ADC, “Just who has appointed these organisations to that role? Who determines their agendas? Who authorises them to talk ‘on behalf of’ the community on such matters? Who set them up in the first place, and to whom are they ultimately accountable?” He has particularly sharp criticism for the AIP, which he says “is a particularly disturbing example of how an organisation, set up for one clearly defined purpose—to work for a balanced public opinion on Israel, especially in the media—has been able over recent years to usurp for itself a high-profile role



This book named the names of the old British families who run the world’s \$600 billion per year drug trade, and their ADL lackeys went wild.

in such matters as tracking Nazi war criminals, responding to local anti-semitism, attacking Australian politicians on local issues, all areas far removed from its original brief. And then pursue its own agenda in these highly sensitive areas without any clear or direct form of accountability to the communal leadership either at state or at national level.”

The answer to Bernstein’s questions, is that this Australian “anti-defamation” machinery is “ultimately accountable” to the Privy



The Anti-Defamation League (ADL) is an intelligence and dirty tricks unit for the financial oligarchy in the U.S. and Britain, which spies on and infiltrates civil liberties and ethnic groups in the U.S. (The *Amsterdam News* is the major African-American newspaper in the U.S.) The ADL’s main target, however, is Lyndon LaRouche, whom they have instructed the “anti-defamation” apparatus in Australia to attack.

Council. It also has very strong ties to the London-based Institute for Jewish Affairs, to the World Jewish Congress of booze baron Edgar Bronfman, and to the U.S.-based Anti-Defamation League (ADL) of B’nai B’rith. The ADL is the model for the ADC in Australia, which has usually been run by Isi’s associates such as Paul Gardner and Prof. Bernard Rechter, both of whom became board members of the amusingly-titled *Without Prejudice* magazine of Isi’s AIIA. The ADC boasts of its close ties to the

U.S. ADL, as attested to by Dr. Paul Gardner, a board member of Isi’s AIIA, and chairman of the ADC between 1982 and 1988. In the Jewish Year Book for 1985, Gardner wrote, “The Commission [the ADC] is in regular contact with the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith in New York. ADL in America is a powerful, influential and widely respected human rights organisation, with 400 full-time professional staff and a \$17 million annual budget. The flow of relevant information and material from

America to Australia is unending.” In 1992, a scandal erupted in the U.S. when U.S. law enforcement agencies laid numerous criminal charges against the supposed great “civil rights” group, the ADL, for infiltrating police departments across the country, and obtaining classified documents, in order to compile files on 950 organisations and over 20,000 individuals. Raids on ADL offices showed that they had spied on numerous civil rights groups, and even other Jewish organisations. Those spied on

Defeat the Synarchists—Fight for a National Bank

included: the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, the Simon Wiesenthal Centre, the AIDS activist group ACT-UP, the American Civil Liberties Union, the American Indian Movement, the New Jewish agenda, Americans for Peace, and the LaRouche organisation. The liberal *Village Voice* newspaper in New York, in coverage typical of other newspapers across the country, charged that this represented a “massive violation of civil liberties,” and that the ADL had set itself up as a “Jewish thought police.”

The ADL is nominally affiliated with the Jewish charitable, communal organisation, the Order of B'nai B'rith. In fact, it is almost entirely independent of its mother organisation, and was set up in New York in 1913, primarily to attack law enforcement agents investigating Jewish organised crime. It was not even particularly Jewish, because, although the founder of the ADL and its head from 1913 to 1945 was one Sigmund Livingston, he in turn was the chief lawyer for the super-wealthy Episcopalian establishment family, the Moores, who owned several major American corporations, including Nabisco. The Episcopalian and Presbyterian-dominated U.S. establishment sponsored the ADL to do jobs they

did not like to dirty their hands with. (See Table 1) A typical example is the ADL's longstanding war against the LaRouche organisation.

After Mr. LaRouche and his associates at the *Executive Intelligence Review* published their first edition of *Dope, Inc.* in 1978, two *EIR* investigators visited the Episcopalian cathedral of St. John the Divine in New York City, the main headquarters of the old establishment families, which cathedral was then run by Bishop Paul Moore of the Moore family noted above. Given that *Dope Inc.* named the names of many of the old establishment families who had gained their start running drugs to China in the 19th century, and who were still involved in the trade, the *EIR* investigators asked Bishop Moore's assistant, Canon West, what would be done about the “LaRouche problem.” In a cold, ruthless tone, the Canon stated confidently, “We will not get directly involved. We will have our Jewish friends at the Anti-Defamation League deal with Mr. LaRouche and his organization.” Shortly thereafter, the ADL launched the first shots in a decades-long campaign to defame and frame up Mr. LaRouche and his associates. The ADL was part of the combined federal and private task force set up in 1983, which resulted in the frame-up and jailing of Mr. La-

Rouche and a number of his associates, and their sentencing to jail terms of as long as 77 years.

In addition to organised crime, the ADL works with various intelligence agencies, as it did in the LaRouche frame-up. In World War II, it functioned as a covert arm of the British Special Operations Executive (for which Maj. Louis Mortimer Bloomfield also worked) in New York under William Stephenson (“Intrepid”), in close liaison with the FBI.

As for the *AIR*, it is not so much a magazine, as an intelligence organisation along the model of the ADL. According to Prof. W.D. Rubenstein, “AIP maintains probably Australia's best files on extremists and antisemites.” He also added, somewhat ominously, “Much of its influence occurs behind the scenes and may not yet be openly discussed.” As a sort of precursor of the AIP/*AIR*, Isi, according to the *Age* of 30 May, 1974, set up “a secret service style group” with connections to various intelligence agencies, to spy on alleged “antisemites”, “extremists” and “Nazi war criminals,” under the aegis of the Victoria Board of Jewish Deputies which he then headed. Isi's private outfit “liaised with Jewish intelligence organisations overseas,” as well as with “State and Commonwealth police.” The main purpose of the outfit, Isi claimed, was to trace “Nazi war criminals.”

Table 1

The ADL: A front for the “Money Power”

From its foundation in 1913, the Anti-Defamation League has been funded and used by the blue-blood corporate and financial establishment of the U.S., Britain and Canada to do dirty jobs that that establishment would prefer to keep at arm's length.

Similarly, Mark Leibler is a gopher for the financial elite of this country, most of whom are *not* Jewish. His position on the board of Coles Myer, chaired by Wallis Commission and Business Council of Australia head Stan Wallis, is indicative of this. As the *Australian Financial Review* of June 26, 1987 remarked, “Mark's clients at Arnold Bloch Leibler also form a power base—the business and economic base of the country. So much so that a huge blue-chip legal firm...wanted a slice of its coveted entrepreneurial clientele and sought a merger early last year.”

The following are the chief funders of the ostensibly Jewish Anti-Defamation League, most of whom represent *non-Jewish* “old Establishment” families and money:

Atlantic Richfield	Goldman Sachs
Archer Daniels Midland	MetroMedia
Mellon Bank	Shearson Loeb Rhoades
Merrill Lynch	Marriott Corp.
Seagrams	First Boston Corp.
AT&T	Times Mirror
Chase Manhattan	Pepsico
Miami Herald Publishing Co.	United Brands
Shearson American Express	Sharon Steel
Material Services Corp.	Hyatt
Dart Industries	Amex
20 th Century Fox	Warner Communications
First National State Bank of New Jersey	New York Times
Hearst	Mutual Benefit Insurance
Rolls Royce	Sears Roebuck
Corning Glass	Irving Trust
	General Electric
	Citibank

The Review: A Front for the Money Power

The Review (aka the *Australia/Israel Review*, *AIR*) is nothing but a front for an ultra-wealthy, neo-conservative and economic rationalist clique in Australia, masquerading under the cover of “anti-defamation” or “pro-Israel” activities. First of all, Melbourne lawyer specialist Mark Leibler is the chairman of *The Review's* parent body, the Australia/Israel Jewish Affairs Council (AIJAC), and represents an astounding 40 (!) of the BRW's “Rich 200” List, whom he has saved billions in taxes through his speciality in “tax minimisation”—which shifts the burden from those who can best afford it, to those who least can. The fortunes (as of 1998) of those most closely associated with the *AIR/The Review* over the years are listed in Table 2.

The neo-conservatives now plunging the U.S. into foreign wars and domestic fascism—while looting the country blind as in the activities of former Halliburton boss and now Vice President Dick Cheney—were often earlier called the “New Right”. They were distinguished by their hostility to governments, who might choose to promote the Common Good, as opposed to the private looting of privatisation, deregulation, etc. Said longtime board member of *The Review*, Prof. W.D. Rubenstein, speaking about Australia in the late 1970s in the wake of the Mont Pelerin Society's import here earlier in the decade, “most Australian Jewish intellectuals, notably those around *Australia/Israel Review*... were close to the so-called ‘New Right’ in Australia...”

Mark Leibler himself was a typical ‘New Right’ economic ration-



Isi Leibler helped set up *The Review*, pushed “anti-defamation” laws to silence his opponents, then left for Israel, where he supports the butcher Sharon.

alist devotee, as evidenced in a speech he delivered in August 1989 at his Arnold Bloch Leibler law firm, in which he delivered a chilling message to government: get out of the way of economic rationalist looting. Said Leibler, “One of the greatest challenges we face is maintaining the rule of law within our modern democratic society as we move into an era of new economic ‘liberalism’. This means strong and effective resistance to the rising tide of greater rule-creation—the progeny of bureaucracy. There must be no acquiescence in governments and their agents who seek to justify their existence with more restrictions and regulations on economic freedom... Lawyers who do not play an anti-bureaucratic role in the 1990s will be turning their backs on the interests of their clients and, indeed, on the future of Australia as one of the world's most dynamic new economic ‘liberalist’ nations.”

Aside from Leibler's own role in billions of dollars in “tax minimi-



sation” for Australia's ultra-wealthy, some of his cronies at *The Review* had their fingers deeply into the economic rationalist pie as well. For instance, the chief architect of the absolute disaster known as “competition policy”, was Fred Hilmer, a consultant to Rio Tinto for many years, and also the longtime vice-president of *AIR* heavyweight Frank Lowy's \$7 billion (as of 1998) Westfield Holdings. In 1997, Lowy anointed Hilmer to succeed him as Westfield's chairman, even above his own three sons, whom he made joint managing directors.

But the *AIR's* role as a front for the Money Power is perhaps best exemplified in the professional life of longtime *AIR* Board member Prof. W.D. Rubenstein, one of the chief honchos of Australia's “anti-defamation” gang. He is a chronicler and apologist for the elite families of England, and of the power of the City of London, beginning with his article, “Wealth, Elites, and

Table 2

The Oligarchs behind the *AIR*

This sleazy little rag represents only the following super-wealthy elitists and a few of their friends, who are now, or have been leading figures in the *AIR* and/or its parent body chaired by Mark Leibler, the Australia Israel Jewish Affairs Council (formerly Australia/Israel Publications, AIP). The fact that they are nominally Jewish is purely incidental; they represent what the old Labor Party used to call the “Money Power”, which is entirely ecumenical.

NAME	NET WORTH*
Frank Lowy, key figure in Sydney <i>AIR</i> operations Australia's 2 nd richest man after Kerry Packer	\$2.1 billion
Isador Magid, co-founder of AIP, with Jabotinsky follower Robert Zablud	\$165 million
Solomon Lew, key figure in Melbourne <i>AIR</i> operations	\$600 million
Isi Leibler, called for founding of <i>AIR</i>	\$80 million
Mark Leibler, present chairman of <i>AIR</i> . Net worth unknown, but represents 40 people on BRW “200 Rich List”, and several of Australia's top corporations.	Unknown

* According to the May 25, 1998 “Rich 200” list in the *Business Review Weekly*

the Class Structure of Modern Britain”, and continuing through his books, *Capitalism, Culture and Decline in Britain, 1750-1990; Men of Property; and Elites and the Wealthy in Modern British History*. Throughout all of these, he has championed the view (which, ironically would hardly be disputed by the CEC), that Britain's vast empire and continuing world power, was and is based upon a relatively tiny group of wealthy, oligarchical families centred upon the City of London. As he told the Economic History Society of London, the British “industrial revolution” was largely a myth, and Britain's power was always based on the financial clout of the City of London. Rubenstein even boasted that “I am credit-

ed with creating the new orthodoxy in British history. In fact I got my chair at Deakin University for this type of thing.”

Nor is Rubenstein merely an academic. As he himself bragged in his book, *The Jews of Australia* (which was financed by Isi Leibler's Australian Institute of Jewish Affairs), after citing his leadership positions in various organisations controlled by the Leiblers, “It is not an exaggeration to claim that in the 1980s no Australian academic has been closer to Australian Jewry's most senior leadership and there have been few major decisions taken by the community's representative bodies with which he has not been thoroughly familiar and, very often, closely involved.”

Jabotinsky: A Jewish Fascist

In part at the urging of Isi Leibler, the *Australia/Israel Review* was set up in 1976 by Australia/Israel Publications (AIP) under the directorship of Isador Magid and Robert Zablud. Former longtime *AIR* editor Michael Danby eulogised Zablud, whom he called the

“organisational genius” behind *AIR/AIP* in the 19 September-2 October 1989 issue of *AIR*, noting that Zablud's vision of Judaism was inspired by “his mentor Zeev Jabotinsky”, whom Danby called a “much misunderstood centre-right Zionist ideologue.”

The notion that Jabotinsky is “much misunderstood”, is a sick joke. Though nominally Jewish, he was well-known as a leader of one of the international Synarchy's fascist gangs of the 1920s and 1930s. He was an outspoken admirer of Italian fascist Benito

Mussolini, and set up a legion of “black shirts” modeled on Mussolini's and Hitler's thugs, at a training ground which Mussolini gave him in Italy. Israeli founding father and first prime minister David Ben Gurion used to regularly refer to Jabotinsky as

“Vladimir Hitler.” Jabotinsky founded the “Revisionist” (fascist) current of Zionism which directly gave birth to the terrorist Irgun and Stern gangs of the 1930s and 1940s, and to the Likud Party, formerly led by such Irgun/Stern Gang terrorists as

Defeat the Synarchists—Fight for a National Bank

Menachem Begin and Yitzhak Shamir, and now led by Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon. A central tenet of the Likud, from the very outset, was that Israel would take over *all* of what was formerly called Palestine, with no land for the Palestinians.

The AIR's Jabotinskyite slip was showing, when, in 1998 at the height of One Nation's influence, it published a list of 2000 supporters of Pauline Hanson's party, in an obvious attempt to terrorise them, after earlier having published a stolen list of some of the CEC's financial supporters. Holocaust survivors were horrified at AIR's publishing of the One Nation list, which they compared to similar activity of the Nazis. Marika Weinberger, a survivor of Auschwitz and president of the Australian Jewish Holocaust Survivors, told



The Jewish fascist Vladimir Jabotinsky, or "Vladimir Hitler", as Israeli Founding Father David Ben Gurion called him, was the mentor of Robert Zablud, the "organisational genius" behind *The Review*.

the *Australian Jewish News* of July 17, 1998, that the publication was "irresponsible.... It has caused division.... It is not the way Jews operate. Nine members of my mother's family were taken away because their names appeared on a list. About 22,000 Hungarian Jews were taken away because their names were on lists. Jews should know better." Another Holocaust survivor, Mr. Sam Spitzer of Sydney, told the *AJN*, that AIR's publishing the list "has done more harm to the Australian Jewish community than Pauline Hanson could do in 100 years."

Jabotinsky's personal secretary was Benzion Netanyahu, who became head of Jabotinsky's Revisionist Movement after the latter died in the early 1940s. Benzion was also the father of former Israeli Prime Minister

Benjamin Netanyahu, who is an even more fanatical Jabotinskyite than the fascist Ariel Sharon,

and whom the AIR sponsored in a trip to Australia.



U.S. President Harry Truman (l.), Israeli Foreign Minister Abba Eban (c.), and Israeli Prime Minister David Ben Gurion in the late 1940s, shortly after the founding of the state of Israel. Abba Eban later met with LaRouche on their shared concern for a just Middle East peace for both Palestinians and Israelis.

A Typical Synarchist Operative: David Greason

The hallmarks of Synarchy are the use of often-tiny "right-wing" and "left-wing" gangs controlled by financiers, and frequently often run by dirty elements of government intelligence services, in order to manipulate broader social processes. One should compare that profile to the wild career of one David Greason, former columnist for the *Australia/Israel Review* (aka *The Review*).

Throughout most of the 1990s, aside from Mark and Isi Leibler themselves, perhaps the most single high-profile operative in Australia who relentlessly attacked the CEC and LaRouche in public was Greason, who wrote for Isi's *Without Prejudice* magazine, was a longtime columnist for Mark's *Australia/Israel Review*, and was frequently cited in the major media, from all of which he spewed out lies about the CEC/LaRouche. In 1993 he attempted to organise a mass riot against a CEC conference against the globalist, nation-wrecking International Monetary Fund, which necessitated the deployment of dozens of police, including mounted police.



Former longtime AIR journalist David Greason attempts to organise a riot outside a CEC international conference in Melbourne in 1993, requiring the deployment of mounted police.

In his attacks against the CEC, Greason fulminated against alleged "anti-semitism", "conspiracy theories" and anything else which he—a self-confessed compulsive masturbator—could dream up. Yet, according to his own published accounts, he had been, before his employment by the Leiblers, the co-founder of what both

he and the AIR constantly trumpeted to be "Australia's main neo-Nazi parties", National Action and National Front. While founding the right-wing National Action and the National Front, he was simultaneously a member of the *pro-communist* Australia-USSR Friendship Society! He also, while working for the Leiblers, was a sometimes-featured speaker for the violence-prone left-wing group, the International Socialist Organisation (ISO).

He may well have been wearing another hat as well. He once called the editorial offices of LaRouche's

publications in the U.S., and left a message. The phone number he left turned out to be that of an office of the Australian Attorney General. Referring to Greason's rumoured role as an agent for ASIO, a longtime Australian intelligence veteran pointed out to the CEC at the time, "The Attorney General runs ASIO, you know, so him calling from such an office is not a big surprise." That would certainly cohere with this association with Britain's "left-wing" *Searchlight* magazine, a notorious front for British intelligence's domestic police, MI-5.



The U.S. ADL's "Fact-Finding Division" paid its agent James Rosenberg and others to organise neo-Nazi and KKK rallies, including ones which attacked the "commie Jews". Does this happen in Australia? David Greason, former regular columnist for Mark Leibler's *AIR* and sometime columnist for Isi Leibler's *Without Prejudice*, earlier played a key role in founding both major neo-Nazi groups in Australia, National Action and the National Front. Greason later popped up as a featured "anti-Nazi" speaker for the violence-prone International Socialist Organisation (ISO).

So, here we have the extremely curious fact that David Greason, the rumoured police agent and the self-professed founder of National Action and National Front, later turns up in the employ of Isi and Mark Leibler, the chief sponsors of "anti-defamation" activities and legislation, whose activities would presumably benefit greatly from the anti-semitic proclamations

common to such groups, and whose existence the Leiblers' publications and institutions did in fact constantly publicise.

Finally, after the CEC's constant exposure of his Synarchist career, Greason apparently became a liability to the Leiblers, and left their employ. At last hearing, he had gone to work for a merchant bank!


The AIR Crowd: Covering Up for the Holocaust

The role of the Leibler crowd as apologists for the Money Power is perhaps nowhere clearer than in longtime AIR board member Prof. W.D. (Bill) Rubinstein's book, *The Myth of Rescue: Why the democracies could not have saved more Jews from the Nazis*. There, Rubinstein painstakingly recites reasons why the "democracies" could do nothing to save the Jews from the Nazis, concluding that "Hitler, the Nazis and their accomplices—and only they—bear full and total responsibility for the Holocaust." That is an utter, shameless lie.

First of all, as is well-documented, Churchill and the British knew full well from intercepted German police radio messages, precisely where Jews were being killed, and when, but decided to do nothing about it. Much more importantly, as American historian and LaRouche associate Anton Chaitkin, who toured Australia in 1997, demon-

strated in his 1992 book, *George Bush. The Unauthorized Biography*, British circles around Bank of England chairman Montagu Norman and their allies on Wall Street, typified by the Brown Brothers Harriman banking firm headed by President George W. Bush's great-grandfather, and former U.S. President George H.W. (41) Bush's grandfather, George Herbert Walker, provided crucial financing for Hitler's rise to power. In fact, the U.S. government seized the Union Banking Corporation, of which George W. Bush's grandfather Prescott Bush was a director, for violating its Trading With the Enemy Act, by helping the Nazis.

And, while Bush 41's grandfather, and Bush 43's great-grandfather, was financing Hitler, Chaitkin's father Jacob was the chief legal director for the American Jewish Congress' 1930s boycott campaign against all trade with Nazi Germany—over the opposition of B'nai B'rith!



Left. The gate to the Auschwitz death camp. Above: Author Anton Chaitkin exposed the truth which Leibler crony Prof. Bill Rubinstein covered up: that Hitler was installed by powerful financial forces in the City of London and New York, including President George W. Bush's great-grandfather, the head of the Brown Brothers Harriman banking firm.

Defeat the Synarchists—Fight for a National Bank

LaRouche's Record on Fighting Racism

Review of even a small portion of Lyndon LaRouche's activities over the past four decades, shows the rantings of the Anti-Defamation Commission, the Leibler crowd, and the major media in Australia, that LaRouche is a "racist" or "anti-semitic", to be malicious, witting lies. A more extensive profile of LaRouche's activities *against* racism and anti-semitism are featured as Appendix 3 of the CEC's book, *What Australia Must Do to Survive the Depression* and on the CEC's website at <http://www.cecaust.com.au>. We record only a few highlights of that record here.

First of all, perhaps one-half of LaRouche's national leadership in the U.S. is Jewish, as is a large portion of his entire organisation. Jews play a leading role in LaRouche's organisation, for the same reason they played such a dominant role in the U.S. civil rights movements of the 1950s and 1960s: having felt the sting of oppression, they are more keenly disposed than most, to fight it (while still others, such as the ADC/Leibler crowd, have sold their souls to the oppressors, the Money Power). When LaRouche was framed up by the U.S. government and sent to jail for five years in early 1989, one-half of the 33 members of his organisation who were subject to the same legal frame-ups or imprisonment were Jewish. (See the 1989 book *Rail Road!* for details of the frame-up, denounced by former U.S. Attorney General and civil rights activist Ramsey Clark—LaRouche's lawyer—as "representing a broader range of deliberate cunning and systematic misconduct over a longer period of time utilizing the power of the Federal government than any other prosecution by the U.S. Government in my time or to my knowledge.")

Since 1975, LaRouche has played a leading role in putting forward an economic development-centred series of programs as

the cornerstone of an Israeli-Palestinian peace, which would secure justice and a flourishing future for both nations. In the 1970s, he met with Abba Eban, Israel's former Ambassador to the U.S., on this program, and, in 1993, many of his ideas were adopted in the crucial "Economic Protocols" which accompanied the Oslo Accords, the Accords so bitterly hated by the Leibler crowd.

Regarding the 1950s-60s civil rights struggle, which largely dissipated in its original form with the 1968 assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., some of Dr. King's closest associates later joined LaRouche, whom many of them view as the heir to Dr. King in fighting for civil rights. Among others, these have included the Rev. James Bevel, King's Director of Mass Action, who organised the famous "Children's March" in Birmingham, and who ran for Vice President of the U.S. on LaRouche's ticket in 1992, and Mrs. Amelia Boynton Robinson, who first invited Dr. King into Selma, Alabama in the early 1960s. Mrs. Robinson is a legendary figure in the civil rights movement, having been gassed, beaten and left for dead in the famous Selma-to-Montgomery march in 1965. She has also been for many years the Vice President of the international Schiller Institute, founded and chaired by LaRouche's wife, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, and has toured the world on behalf of the LaRouche movement. In her autobiography, *Bridge Over Jordan*, Mrs. Robinson explains why she joined the Schiller Institute.

"I joined the Schiller Institute because I found it to be continuing the civil rights struggle, in the footsteps, as it were, of Martin Luther King. As a board member who has worked with the Schiller Institute since its inception, I have found this organisation more able to carry out the program of Dr. Martin Luther King in the economic area than any



Mrs. Amelia Boynton Robinson, Civil Rights heroine, associate of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and Vice Chairwoman of the Schiller Institute. The Institute was founded by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, European political leader and wife of Lyndon LaRouche.

other that I know. The organisation may not be the most popular, but this is because it is continuing the struggle for civil rights throughout the world, fighting drugs, corruption, injustice and discrimination. It was just such fighting against corruption, and challenging the highest public officials, for which Dr. King gave his life. The Schiller Institute has picked up the pieces of Dr. King's dream."

Dr. King's widow, Coretta Scott King, wrote about *Bridge Over Jordan*, and about Mrs. Robinson, winner of the Martin Luther King, Jr. Freedom Medal, "Amelia Boynton Robinson has crafted an inspiring, eloquent memoir of her more than five decades on the front lines of the struggle for racial equality and social justice. This work is an important contribution to the history of the black freedom struggle, and I wholeheartedly recommend it to everyone who cares about human rights in America."

LaRouche and his wife Helga have also been in the forefront of the fight for economic justice for the world's underdeveloped sector for decades, and in particular for Africa. They helped sponsor the Af-



LaRouche movement leaders Dennis Speed, Anton Chaitkin, and Michael Vitt, with Civil Rights leader Rev. Hosea Williams (right), demonstrating against the statue of KKK founder Albert Pike. Every week during almost the entire decade of the 1990s, the LaRouche organisation carried out demonstrations at the Pike statue in Washington D.C., calling for it to be removed.

rican Civil Rights Movement, chaired by the LaRouches' longtime collaborator, the former President and Attorney General of Uganda, Dr. Godfrey Binaisa.

With the development of his International LaRouche Youth Movement over the last few years, La-

Rouche has recruited hundreds of youth from all possible geographical backgrounds and religions, from Asia, Latin America, Europe and Australia, with African- and Hispanic-American youth particularly heavily represented in the U.S. branch of that youth movement.

LaRouche on Anti-semitism

In October, 2003, Lyndon LaRouche received the following question by email:

Q. I remember when you ran for office a few years back and there were rumours of you being anti-semitic. Could you put

down this accusation, how it arose and what is your attitude about Jews and their influence in the world.

LaRouche replied: The campaign of lies alleging that I am anti-semitic, began with

my successful Summer-Autumn 1968 campaign fight to defeat the anti-semitic rioting launched against the United Federation of Teachers [in New York City -ed.]. This coincided with the fact, that the majority core of our association at that time was composed of young Americans of Jewish ancestry, chiefly second- or third- generation of immigrants from the Yiddish Renaissance circles of eastern Europe. My enemies, who were frightened by my growing political influence of that time, decided to attempt to demoralize the Jewish population with a campaign of lies, alleging that I was in some way "anti-semitic."

This campaign was organized by government agencies including the national headquarters of the FBI, whose released documents expose their role in a plot aimed at my physical elimination through agents within the National Committee of the Communist Party U.S.A. The offices of the editorial board of the *New York Times* were used to generate an attempted cover-up of that FBI-assisted "elimination" plot. During the same general period, related operations were deployed at the direction of National Security Advisor Henry A. Kissinger. About 1978, this operation was continued through Senator Joseph McCarthy's former crony, Roy M. Cohn. Cohn used organized crime-related and kindred circles to conduct an operation through a throwaway Manhattan sheet called *Our Town*, and retained a scruffy leftist character Dennis King to conduct an anti-semitic smear campaign. This campaign was backed up by a fresh attack, this time directed against my Democratic Presidential candidacy, by the *New York Times*, in October 1979, an operation conducted in concert with Roy M. Cohn's organized-crime



Sleaze-ball and dope advocate Dennis King was paid by the CIA-associated Smith Richardson foundation to conduct a smear campaign against LaRouche, charging he is "anti-semitic". The foundation's sponsor H. Smith Richardson was pro-Hitler; he contributed the seed money for the America First Committee, which lobbied against the U.S. joining the war against Nazi Germany. His wife was a proud relative of the Astors of the pro-Nazi Cliveden set in England.

and related circles. Later, King was picked up by a CIA-associated agency, the Smith-Richardson Foundation, an agency associated with the "Iran-Contra" gang of my Oliver-North-associated personal enemies inside the National Security Council.

Today, elements of the story are sufficiently well known that only silly gossiping fools and witting liars continue to circulate the tired, old, fraudulent "anti-semitic" rumor.

Photo credits

Page	Image	Reference
1	Campbell fascist salute	Sydney Morning Herald
29	De Groot cutting ribbon	Mitchell Library
	Windsors and Hitler	International News Photo
32	Gen. Gordon Bennett	NAA Image No. A1200, L33807
33	Ricketson	HWT Ltd.
	Norris	<i>A Century of Life</i>
	Glendyne	<i>Australian Financiers</i>
34	Blamey caricature	<i>Table Talk</i> , 28 October 1926
	ISGS document	NAA: SP 1141/1, 13 P+10
35	W.L. Baillieu	<i>Table Talk</i> , 20 June 1901, State Library of Victoria
37	Watt letter to Brookes	National Library of Australia
	Sir Adrian Watts	<i>Judges of the High Court</i>
38	ISGS	NAA: SP 1141/1, 13 P+10
	Abbott	NAA Image No. M10, 4/5
39	Fascist legion/KKK	Australian Archives
	Campbell addressing mtg	Sydney Morning Herald
40	New Guard mass mtg	Sydney Morning Herald
41	Keith Murdoch	HWT Ltd.
	GSMI	NAA: SP 1141/1, 13 P+10
43	Lloyd-George & Hitler	Heinrich Hoffman Archives
45	George J. Coles	Coles Myer Archives
	Harold Darling	BHP
48	Bank managers	John Fairfax and Sons Ltd
49	Lord Harris	Popperfoto
51	ANZ Bank board, 1970	John Squire Photography
54	New Guard finance ctte	Mitchell Library
58	Bank ad Mrs Freeland	Argus, 12 November 1949