

GUEST COMMENTARY

On Eurofascism

by Sergei Glazyev

Part 2 of 2

The Eurobureaucracy

Of course, Eurofascism today is very different from its 20th-Century German, Italian, and Spanish versions. European national states have receded into the past, entering the European Union and submitting to the Eurobureaucracy. The latter has become the leading political power in Europe, easily quashing any bids for sovereignty by individual European countries. The bureaucracy's power is based not on an army, but on its monopoly over the issuance of currency, over the mass media, and over the regulation of trade, all of which are managed by the bureaucracy in the interests of European big capital. In every conflict with national governments during the past decade, the Eurobureaucracy has invariably prevailed, forcing European nations to accept its technocrat governments and its policies. Those policies are based on the consistent rejection of all national traditions, from Christian moral standards to how sausages are produced.

The cookie-cutter, gender-neutral, and idea-free Europoliticians little resemble the raving führers of the Third Reich. What they have in common is a maniacal confidence that they are in the right, and readiness to force people to obey. Although the Eurofascists' forms of compulsion are far softer, it is still a harsh approach. Dissent is not tolerated, and violence is allowed, up to and including the physical extermination of those who disagree with Brussels' policies. Of course, the thousands who have died during the drive to instill "European values" in Yugoslavia, Georgia, Moldova, and now Ukraine, do not compare with the millions of victims of the German fascist invaders during World War II. But who has tallied up the indirect human casualties from the promotion of homosexuality and drugs, the ruin of national manufacturing sectors, or the degradation of culture? Entire European nations are disappearing in the crucible of European integration.

The Italian word *fascio*, from which "fascism" derives, denotes a union, or something bound together. In its current understanding, it refers to unification without preservation of the identity of what is integrated—whether people, social groups, or countries. Today's Eurofascists are trying to erase not only national economic and cultural differences, but also the diversity of human individuals, including differentiation by sex and age. What's more, the aggressiveness with which the Eurofascists are fighting to expand their area of influence sometimes reminds us of the paranoia of Hitler's supporters, who were preoccupied with the conquest of *Lebensraum* for the superior Aryan race. Suffice it to recall the hysteria of the European politicians who appeared at the Maidan and in the Ukrainian media. They justified the crimes of the proponents of Eurointegration and groundlessly denounced those who disagreed with Ukraine's "European choice," taking the Goebbels approach that the more monstrous a lie is, the more it resembles the truth.

Today the driver of Eurofascism is the Eurobureaucracy, which gets its directions from Washington. The United States supports the eastward expansion of the EU and NATO in



Economist and Presidential Advisor Sergei Glazyev (left) with President Vladimir Putin, are shown here at the "Ukrainian Choice" conference in Kiev, Summer 2013.

every way possible, viewing these organizations as important components of its global empire. The U.S. exercises control over the EU through supranational institutions, which have crushed the nation-states that joined the EU. Deprived of economic, financial, foreign-policy and military sovereignty, they submit to the directives of the European Commission, which are adopted under intense pressure from the U.S.

In essence, the EU is a bureaucratic empire that arranges things within its economic space in the interests of European and American capital, under U.S. control. Like any empire, it strives to expand, and does so by drawing neighboring countries into Association Agreements, under which they hand their sovereignty over to the European Commission. In order to make these countries accept becoming EU colonies, fear-mongering about an external threat is employed, with the U.S.-guided media portraying Russia as aggressive and bellicose, for this purpose. Under this pretext, the EU and NATO moved quickly to occupy the countries of Eastern Europe after the Soviet Union collapsed; the war in the Balkans was organized for this purpose. The next victims of Eurofascism were the Baltic republics, which Russophobic Nazis forced to join the EU and NATO. Then Eurofascism reached Georgia, where Nazis under American guidance unleashed civil war. Today, the Eurofascists are using the Georgian model in Ukraine, in order to force it sign the Association Agreement with the EU, as a subservient territory and a bridgehead for attacking Russia.

Eurasian Integration

The U.S. sees the principal threat to its plans for putting the Eurobureaucracy in charge of the post-Soviet area, as being the Eurasian integration process, which is developing successfully around the Russia-Belarus-Kazakhstan Customs Union. The EU and the U.S. have invested at least \$10 billion in building up anti-Russian networks, in order to prevent Ukraine from taking part in that process. In parallel, using the support of Polish and Baltic Russophobes, as well as media under the control of American media moguls, the United States is inciting European

officials against Russia, with the goal of isolating the former Soviet republics from the Eurasian integration process. The Eastern Partnership program, which they inspired, is a cover for aggression against Russia in the former Soviet area. This aggression takes the form of forcing former Soviet republics to enter EU Association Agreements, under which they transfer their sovereign economic, trade, foreign-policy and defense functions to the European Commission.

For Ukraine, the Association Agreement with the European Union means transferring to Brussels its sovereign functions of regulating trade and other foreign economic relations, technical standards, and veterinary, sanitary, and pest inspections, as well as opening its market to European goods. The agreement contains a thousand pages of EU directives that Ukraine would be required to follow. Every section mandates that Ukrainian legislation be brought into compliance with the requirements of Brussels. Moreover, Ukraine would assume the obligation to comply not only with current Brussels directives, but also future ones, in the drafting of which Ukraine will have no part.

Plainly put, after signing the Agreement, Ukraine is to become a colony of the European Union, blindly obeying its demands. These include requirements which Ukrainian industry is unable to carry out, and which will harm the Ukrainian economy. Ukraine is to completely open its market to European goods, which will lead to a \$4 billion increase in Ukraine's imports and drive uncompetitive Ukrainian industrial products out of the market. Ukraine will be obliged to meet European standards, which would take EU 150 billion of investment in economic modernization. There are no sources for such amounts of money.

According to estimates by Ukrainian and Russian economists, Ukraine, after signing the Agreement, can look forward to a deterioration of its already negative balance of trade and balance of payments, and, as a consequence, default. This year, Ukraine has a projected balance of payments deficit of approximately \$50 billion. Its currency reserves suffice for only three months—one quarter. Even if the full amounts of assistance mentioned in various talks were to materialize, they would win only one or two additional months. Thus, Ukraine under its current regime can expect to experience a drop in the standard of living not by 15 or 20 percent, but by half or two-thirds, with the residents of southeastern Ukraine, who are employed in major industrial plants, being the hardest hit.

The EU would achieve certain advantages from an Association Agreement with Ukraine, by way of an expanded market for its products and the opportunity to acquire devalued Ukrainian assets. U.S. corporations, for their part, would gain access to shale gas deposits, which they would like to supplement with pipeline infrastructure and a market for nuclear fuel elements for power plants. The main goal, however, is geopolitical: After signing the Association Agreement, Ukraine would not be able to participate in the Customs Union with Russia, Belarus, and Kazakhstan. It is for this outcome that the U.S. and the EU resorted to aggression against Ukraine, organizing an armed seizure of power by their protégés. While they accuse Russia of annexing Crimea, they themselves have taken over Ukraine as a whole, by installing a junta under their control. The junta's mission is to strip Ukraine of its sovereignty and put it under the EU, through signing the Association Agreement.



After signing the Association Agreement, "Ukraine is to become a colony of the European Union, blindly obeying its demands. These include requirements which Ukrainian industry is unable to carry out, and which will harm the Ukrainian economy." Shown: the Alchevsk Iron & Steel Works in the Donbass, 2011.

The disaster in Ukraine may be termed aggression against Russia by the U.S. and its NATO allies. This is a contemporary version of Eurofascism, which differs from the previous face of fascism during World War II in that it employs "soft" power with just some elements of armed action in cases of extreme necessity, as well as the use of Nazi ideology as a supplementary rather than an absolute ideology. One of the main defining elements of Eurofascism has been preserved, however, and that is the division of citizens into superior ones (those who support the "European choice") and inferior ones, who have no right to their own opinions and toward whom all is permitted. Another feature is the readiness to use violence and commit crimes in dealing with political opponents. The final aspect that needs to be understood, is what drives the rebirth of fascism in Europe; without grasping this, it is impossible to develop a resistance plan and save the Russian world from this latest threat of Euro-occupation.

Neocons: Maniacal Misanthropes

The theory of long-term economic development recognizes an interrelationship between long waves of economic activity and long waves of military and political tension. Periodic shifts from one dominant technological mode to the next alternate with economic depressions, wherein increased government spending is used as an incentive for overcoming the crisis. The spending is concentrated in the military-industrial complex, because the liberal economic ideology allows enhancement of the role of the state only for national security objectives. Therefore, military and political tension is promoted and international conflicts provoked, to justify increased defense spending.

This is what is happening at present: The U.S. is attempting to resolve its accumulated economic, financial, and industrial imbalances at other countries' expense, by escalating international conflicts that will allow it to write off debts, appropriate assets belonging to others, and weaken its geopolitical rivals. When this was done during the Great Depression of the 1930s, the result was World War II. The American aggression against Ukraine pursues all of the above-mentioned goals. First, economic sanctions against Russia are intended to wipe out billions of dollars of U.S. debt to Russia. A second objective is to take over Ukrainian state assets, including the natural gas transport system,

On Eurofascism

mineral deposits, the country's gold reserves, and valuable art and cultural objects. Third, to capture Ukrainian markets of importance to American companies, such as nuclear fuel, aircraft, energy sources, and others. Fourth, to weaken not only Russia, but also the European Union, whose economy will sustain an estimated trillion-dollar loss from economic sanctions against Russia. Fifth, to attract capital flight from instability in Europe, to the USA.

Thus, war in Ukraine is just business for the United States. Judging by reports in the media, the U.S. has already recouped its spending on the Orange Revolution and the Maidan by carrying off treasures from the ransacked National Museum of Russian Art and National Historical Museum, taking over potential gas fields, and forcing the Ukrainian government to switch from Russian to American nuclear fuel supplies for its power plants. In addition, the Americans have moved ahead on their long-term objective of splitting Ukraine from Russia, turning what used to be "Little Russia" into a state hostile to Russia, in order to prevent it from joining the Eurasian integration process.

This analysis leaves no room for doubt about the long-term and consistent nature of the American aggression against Russia in Ukraine. If we analyze who is influencing U.S. policy, it is not difficult to see that the ones responsible for these decisions are a handful of deranged radical extremists, the so-called Neocons, who see the entire world through the lens of their war to assert world rule. This is a small group of the American oligarchy. And it is also fascism, in its own way, based not on radical nationalism, but on global hegemonism. These Neocons are real misanthropes and Satanists, who are even prepared to drop the atomic bomb!

At the same time, if we study the situation in the USA, there are plenty of sober-thinking people. American business is unenthusiastic about sanctions against Russia; I mean normal business, which seeks a return on investment through production and cooperation, rather than through financial speculation and the destruction of other countries. The majority of American citizens, as well, do not understand the point of fomenting a war in the middle of Europe. Therefore, another factor in determining the further course of events will be the extent to which sanity prevails in Washington.

What we are facing today is not America, not the American people, but the organizers of a string of wars, beginning with Iraq, then Yugoslavia, then Libya, the rest of North Africa, Syria, and on to Ukraine. This grouping of maniacal misanthropes, the Neocons, are prepared to plunge the entire world into chaos, in order to affirm their world dominance.

War Against Russia

To this end, Washington is directing its Kiev puppets to escalate the conflict, rather than the reverse. They are also inciting the Ukrainian military against Russia, aiming to drag Russian ground forces into a war against Ukraine. They are encouraging the Nazis there to initiate new combat operations. This is a real war, organized by the United States and its NATO allies. What has occurred is not merely a coup d'état, and not merely some unexpected outbreak of anti-Russian Nazism. It is a war. It is a war we didn't notice for a long time, but it was prepared gradually, and then moved into its overt phase

several months ago. It is not even a war for Ukraine, but a war against us: against Russia. Those are the goals of the forces guiding the Nazi guerrillas. And this well-prepared, paid for, and organized war represents aggression against Ukraine and against Russia by the relevant circles in the United States, Great Britain, the EU, and NATO. The goal of this war is to defeat, dismember, and annihilate Russia. Just like 75 years ago, it is being waged by Eurofascists against Russia, with the use of Ukrainian Nazis cultivated for this purpose.

We should not mince words. The people who have signed Ukraine's Association Agreement with the EU, signed it with this Nazi government that rests on its machine guns and shoots people, are Eurofascists. Unfortunately, the European Commission has become a "Eurofascist Commission." I insist on this definition, which is historically and conceptually accurate. And it is strange and sad in the 21st Century to see our European partners descend to the level of fascists.

It is surprising, this position of the European countries that are tailing the U.S. and doing nothing to prevent a further escalation of the crisis. They should understand better than anyone, that Nazis can only be stopped with force. The sooner this is done, the fewer victims and less destruction there will be in Europe. That avalanche of wars across North Africa, the Middle East, the Balkans, and now Ukraine, incited by people in the U.S. in their own interests, threatens Europe most of all; and it was the devastation of Europe in two world wars that gave rise to the American economic miracle in the 20th Century. But the Old World will not survive a Third World War. To prevent such a war means that there must be international acknowledgement that the actions of the U.S. constitute aggression, and that the EU and U.S. officials carrying them out are war criminals. It is important to accord this aggression the legal definition of "Eurofascism" and to condemn the actions of the European politicians and officials who are party to the revival of Nazism under cover of the Eastern Partnership.



Russia and immediate neighbours.

Do You Support Argentina— or the Criminal Speculators?

Media Release 4th of July 2014

On behalf of the Citizens Electoral Council, CEC National Secretary Craig Isherwood today endorsed the following emergency call by Schiller Institute founder and chairman Helga Zepp-LaRouche, to defend the nation of Argentina against the attempt by City of London and Wall Street speculative funds to crush it, in order to repay unjust, usurious debt.

Said Isherwood, “In his beautiful exhortation *Evangelii Gaudium*, Pope Francis has denounced the present speculation-based global financial system as ‘the dictatorship of an impersonal economy lacking a human purpose’, as an ‘economy which kills’. At the time of its 2001 default on its international debt, Argentina had a 25 per cent unemployment rate with fully 50 per cent of its citizens living in abject poverty. It renegotiated that debt and has been paying it regularly since 2005, but only because the nation grew by directing credit into its industrial and agricultural sectors. Now, these vulture funds, with the full backing of the U.S. Supreme Court, and of the Obama administration itself as of 2 July, intend to simply destroy Argentina under pretext of paying the debt.

“This is not only evil, but insane because Argentina can not and will not back down, and a full Argentine default would probably blow out the world’s financial bubble of almost \$2 quadrillion in derivatives.

“I am proud to add my signature on behalf of the CEC to that of Mrs. LaRouche and urge all Australian citizens and institutions to do likewise. We must, as the Pope has demanded and for which Mrs. LaRouche has below provided some of the specifics, enact a ‘radical financial reform’ on behalf of the common good of all people, and all nations.”¹

Do You Support Argentina— or the Criminal Speculators?

A battle to the death is ongoing between Argentina and two of the most notorious hedge funds, NML Capital and Aurelius Capital Management, and its outcome will determine whether humanity plunges into disaster and probably annihilates itself in a thermonuclear world war, or whether we get our act together in time and put a new, just world economic order on the agenda.

What is going on?

On the one side, are the unscrupulous mega-speculators, whose greed is insatiable, and who are part of the Anglo-American-dominated imperialist grouping. This grouping is attempting to establish a world empire through such activities as: the 24/7 spying on citizens by the NSA and Britain’s GCHQ; the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), which would give all power to the multinationals and the “Too Big To Fail” banks at the expense of the right of sovereign governments to protect the general welfare of their citizens; the relentless eastward expansion of NATO and the EU, including with an ever more sophisticated Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) system whose clear purpose is to enable thermonuclear first strikes against Russia and China², but which would unleash a thermonuclear holocaust and likely wipe out the human race.

One of the hedge funds, NML Capital Fund, is demanding a payment of \$832 million on the bonds it purchased in default at the scrap price of \$48.7 million only six years ago—a profit of 1,608 per cent! That would force Argentina into bankruptcy, and could very well trigger a systemic crisis of the global financial system.

On the other side stands Argentina, which has emphasized and proven that it wants to pay its debts, but in such a way that the Argentine economy maintains the growth needed to be able to do that. This was also, by the way, the argument by the late Deutsche Bank chairman Hermann Abs at the London Debt Conference in 1953, on the subject of restructuring the German debt.

Argentina has made it clear in an international advertising campaign, that it is paying and will continue to pay, but under conditions that do not kill off its own population and economy.

The recent murderous ruling by the U.S. Supreme Court in support of the hedge funds has triggered an unprecedented wave of solidarity with Argentina: the Organization of American States (OAS)—except for the United States; the G77, with its 133 member states; MERCOSUR (the Southern Common Market); UNASUR (the Union of South American Nations); China, Russia, France, and even 100+ British parliamentarians—i.e., the majority of mankind—are all defending Argentina’s rights against the usurers.

The crucial question here is: Is international law, as it evolved from the Peace of Westphalia in 1648, and as expressed in the UN Charter, still valid, or not? Can and must a sovereign government defend the general welfare of its citizens, or do criminal speculators have the right to use all means, as Shakespeare depicted so vividly in “The Merchant of Venice,” to demand the debtor’s “pound of flesh,” even if that means that the person dies?

There is a breathtaking process underway now among the BRICS countries (Russia, China, India, Brazil, South Africa) and Ibero-America, in which these States are constructing a new, just world economic order, based on building up the real economy, scientific and technological progress, and a vision of the future. This is the idea of a World Land-Bridge that will join peoples and nations as the driver for a world economic renaissance to replace the present, speculation-centered globalist nightmare which is driving the world toward war.

The only thing that the trans-Atlantic camp has to offer is the sacrifice of the common good, of the happiness and the life of its people, in favor of a Frankenstein monster, “the stability of the market,” to which anything and everything should be sacrificed, but which is itself hopelessly bankrupt. This system does exactly what Pope Francis says: It kills. You could also call it satanic.

In the struggle between Argentina and the hedge funds, there is no middle ground. Which side are you on? Which side is your government on? We want an answer!

Signed:

Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Chairman, Schiller Institute

1) http://cecaust.com.au/main.asp?sub=pubs&id=NC_07_12.html

2) http://cecaust.com.au/main.asp?sub=pubs&id=NC_07_07.html